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Abstract
The design phase and construction phase for public works and civil transportation projects over the last century have become extensively specialized. Consequently, design guides and construction manual literature accessible to project managers, design engineers, and construction engineers has become more comprehensive and increasingly detailed, and additional specialized skill sets are now required to manage and administer heavy civil projects. Gaps exist in the available civil engineering literature and no guide or manual that follows the overall life of a public works or civil transportation project through the complete project lifecycle has been developed by an independent author, a local municipal government, or by a state department of transportation.

This project developed an outline to a comprehensive civil engineering manual for managing and administering Alaska municipal public works and State of Alaska DOT&PF highway projects. The outline has brought together necessary elements of design engineering, construction contract administration, construction inspection, materials testing and special inspections, and project management. The manual outline was progressively elaborated in conjunction with several research phases that occurred throughout a four-year period. Literature review of published resources specific to Alaska was followed by interviews with design/construction engineers and project managers (SMEs) from several state departments of transportation.
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Introduction
Due to necessity and coupled with advancements in engineering, construction, and technology over the last century, both the design phase and construction phase for public works and civil transportation projects have become extensively specialized. As design guides and construction manual literature accessible to project managers, designers, and construction engineers has become more comprehensive and increasingly detailed, additional specialized skill sets are now required to manage and administer heavy civil projects. As of early 2022, gaps exist in the available project management (PM), design engineering, and construction engineering literature. Significant investigation by the researcher has revealed no guide or manual that focuses on/follows the overall life of a public works or a civil transportation project through the complete project lifecycle has been developed by an independent author, a local municipal government, or by a state department of transportation.

This project primarily focused on developing an outline to a comprehensive civil engineering manual. This project constructed the outline for a manual that effectively follows the life of a civil transportation project from initiation through closeout by fully integrating elements of design engineering, construction contract administration, construction inspection, materials & special testing, and project management.

Project Purpose
The researcher has identified an opportunity to fill voids in the available PM, design engineering, and construction engineering literature accessible to civil engineers responsible for managing and administering Alaska municipal publics works and State of Alaska DOT&PF highway projects. The objective of this project was to develop an outline to a manual enabling the researcher to perform additional content research. The outline brings together all the necessary elements to guide the researcher’s efforts to ultimately write and publish an unparalleled civil engineering manual. Development of the manual – initiated by crafting of this report and the manual outline – will result in a comprehensive document that integrates the distinct elements of design, construction, and project management in a linear fashion through the entire project lifecycle.
Post Project Benefits

The following benefits are anticipated for Alaska heavy civil construction projects as a result of implementing strategies and approaches described in the final published manual:

- Construction cost savings to project owners.
- Maintenance and operation cost savings to project owners
- Greater early planning
- Reduced drawing and specification conflicts
- Minimized scope changes
- Less field orders and change orders during construction
- Desirably no claims, disputes, or legal actions
- The manual would combine resources and allow terminology differences among the disciplines to be shared.
- Design engineers would have an additional resource to consult when questions specific to construction – construction phasing, construction safety, construction practices / means & methods, construction equipment – arise during the design phase.
- The manual would facilitate effective communication between construction engineers and contractors pertaining to design intent for specific project elements expressed using the specifications and construction drawings
- Contractors could use the manual as an additional resource when estimating and bidding on work.
Research Approach and Methods

Research for this project included five separate phases cumulatively occurring over a 4-year period. The first phase of research included a literature review of published resources common to Alaska, the Kenai Peninsula, the Mat-Su Valley, and the Municipality of Anchorage. Following the preliminary research phase, the second research phase focused on providing greater clarity to the big-picture timeline associated with DOT&PF civil infrastructure projects and their respective delivery models. The third research phase involved conducting telephone interviews with construction/design engineers or project managers from state departments of transportation (DOTs). The fourth research phase included a second round of literature review based upon guidance from the engineering subject matter experts (SMEs). After the SME interviews had taken place and the subsequent literature review had been completed, the final phase formulated research results and analysis from Phase 3. Exhibit 1 graphically presents the five research phases completed to execute the project.

Exhibit 1: Five Research Phases Supporting Project Deliverables

The researcher employed telephone interviews and literature review as the two primary research methods, and a questionnaire was the single data collection instrument. Before presenting the five research phases, design engineering for civil infrastructure will be detailed and construction engineering will be defined. Additionally, civil infrastructure projects will be categorized for both Alaska municipal public works and State of Alaska DOT&PF highway projects.

Design Engineering and Construction Engineering

Civil engineers design and/or contribute to many behind-the-scene infrastructure systems. The broad spectrum of transportation and public works infrastructure includes urban and rural roadway systems, water distribution systems, wastewater systems, storm drainage systems, flood control systems, drainage and river systems, foundation systems, and structural systems. As defined by the researcher, construction engineering – as a domain of professional practice – integrates the subdisciplines of civil engineering e.g., transportation, water resources, structural, geotechnical, environmental, to facilitate the construction of infrastructure.
Civil Infrastructure Project Types

DOT&PF projects generally can be described by the following classifications and categories:

- 1R – preventive maintenance (resurfacing / overlay) projects
- 3R – resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects
- 4R – new construction projects
- Roadway reconstruction projects
- Bridge repair projects
- Bridge replacement projects
- Bridge rehabilitation projects
- Intersection improvement projects
- Traffic and safety improvement projects
- Pedestrian facility projects
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvement projects
- Utility improvement projects
- Slope stabilization projects
- Fish passage culvert projects

Alaska municipal public works projects generally align with one of the following categories:

- Preventive maintenance projects
- Roadway reconstruction projects with new water, sanitary sewer, and storm drain facilities.
- Utility infrastructure projects
- Infrastructure maintenance projects
- Subdivision development projects
- Facility projects – water treatment plants sand sewage treatment plants
Research Phase 1 – Alaska Engineering Resource Literature Review

Literature review conducted during the preliminary research phase focused on design guides, construction manuals, and related literature developed by the State of Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF), the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA), the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

The two primary goals for this research phase included:

- Identify a minimum of 15 transportation engineering, public works, or heavy civil construction resources available to civil engineers practicing in Alaska.
- Develop a comprehensive list of civil engineering subtopics related to structural engineering, environmental engineering, transportation engineering, water resources engineering, traffic engineering, construction engineering, geotechnical engineering, contract administration, owner’s representation, and heavy civil project management.

The researcher used professional experience and advanced web search techniques including truncation, quick searches, and phrase searching to identify literature resources associated with goal number one. These resources including their agency author have been summarized in Exhibit 2. During the 4-year research period, the author additionally completed a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering (BSCE) at the University of Alaska Anchorage. Completion of the BSCE degree program coupled with content from Exhibit 2 facilitated the development of Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4, which respectively provide comprehensive design-related civil engineering and construction and project management-related civil engineering subtopic areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource No.</th>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Agency Author or Publisher</th>
<th>Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A Policy on Geometric Design of Highway and Streets, AKA “the Green Book”</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Highway design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Guidelines for the Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Rural roadway design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Standard Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaires and Traffic Supports</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Structural design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alaska Highway Preconstruction Manual</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>Roadway design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Alaska Construction Manual</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>Construction administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Traffic safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Design Criteria Manual</td>
<td>MOA</td>
<td>Street design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Design and Construction Practices Manual</td>
<td>AWWU</td>
<td>Water and waste water design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Standard Specifications for Highway Construction</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Asphalt Paving Inspector’s Manual</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>HMA laydown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Municipality of Anchorage Standard Specifications</td>
<td>MOA</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Construction Manual for Highway Construction</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Construction administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Culvert &amp; Storm Drain System Inspection Guide</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Soil Mechanics: NAVFAC DM 7.01</td>
<td>US Navy</td>
<td>Geotechnical design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Foundations and Earth Structures: NAVFAC DM 7.02</td>
<td>US Navy</td>
<td>Geotechnical design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Construction Contract Administration Practice Guide</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Contract administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures</td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>The Asphalt Handbook</td>
<td>Asphalt Institute</td>
<td>Hot mix asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Frozen Ground Engineering</td>
<td>Wiley</td>
<td>Arctic engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cold Regions Pavement Engineering</td>
<td>ASCE</td>
<td>Pavement design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Alaska Highway Drainage Manual</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>Water resources engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Alaska Bridges and Structure Manual</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>Bridge design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Alaska Standard Plans Manual</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 2: Civil Engineering Design Standards and Resources Commonly Used by Designers and Resident Engineers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtopic No.</th>
<th>Subtopic Description</th>
<th>Engineering Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reinforced concrete design</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Steel design</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Timber design</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Water quality and water treatment</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wastewater treatment</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NEPA permitting</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Air pollution, solid and hazardous waste</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Design of sanitary sewers</td>
<td>Water &amp; Wastewater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Water treatment plants</td>
<td>Water &amp; Wastewater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pipes, pumps, and distribution systems</td>
<td>Water &amp; Wastewater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Open channel flow &amp; drainage channel design</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Culvert design</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Statistical hydrology</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Runoff and stormwater collection</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Groundwater hydrology</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Roadway design criteria</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Intersection design</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Geometric roadway design</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Flexible pavement design</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rigid pavement design</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>ADA-compliant pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Utility coordination</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Public involvement</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Transportation planning</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Air, rail, and water transportation</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Traffic analysis and operations</td>
<td>Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Traffic engineering studies</td>
<td>Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Principles of traffic flow</td>
<td>Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Geology, origins of soil, and soil properties</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Soil classifications/identification</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Atterberg limits</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Seepage</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Soil compressibility</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lateral earth pressure</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Subsurface soil investigations</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Shallow foundation design</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Deep foundation design</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Slope stability</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Geosynthetics</td>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 3: Design-related Civil Engineering Subtopic Areas**
### Exhibit 4: Construction and Project Management-related Civil Engineering Subtopic Areas

Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 provide the results associated with the second goal of research phase 1. Following refinement of Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 the researcher iteratively updated the main project deliverable, the manual outline, located in Appendix A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtopic No.</th>
<th>Subtopic Description</th>
<th>Engineering Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Construction engineering</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Construction contract administration</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Construction inspection</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>On-site materials testing</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Special inspection &amp; off-site materials testing</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cost estimating</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Alternative delivery methods</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Contract change orders</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>EJCDC and AIA standard form agreements</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>State and municipal standards specifications for highway construction</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Standard construction details</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Stormwater management</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SWPPP preparation</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF and municipal SWPPP inspections</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SWPPP administration</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Owner’s representation</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>As-built drawings</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Delays, claims, and disputes</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Documentation and recordkeeping</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Closeout requirements</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Temporary structures and facilities</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Construction operations and methods</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Work zone and site safety</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Client &amp; quality requirements</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Stakeholder management</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Project cost analysis</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Logistics and project planning</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bidding and letting</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Project management software</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research Phase 2 – Alaska DOT&PF Procurement and Contracting

This research phase focused on providing transparency to the big-picture timeline associated with DOT&PF projects. Two exhibits were developed to illustrate the various timelines that materialize depending on the project delivery model.

In each region of Alaska, four project delivery models are facilitated by DOT&PF to design and construct infrastructure projects. The four primary project delivery models include:

1. DOT&PF in-house design with DOT&PF in-house construction administration (CA).
2. DOT&PF in-house design with consultant CA.
3. Consultant design with DOT&PF in-house CA.
4. Consultant design with consultant CA.

Following identification of the primary project delivery models, the researcher conducted an extensive review of the Central Region DOT&PF procurement and contracting webpages. Exhibit 5 presents the initial findings for Alaska DOT&PF procurement and contracting resources and defines important DOT&PF project timeline elements (State of Alaska, 2022: a, e-g, i).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alaska DOT&amp;PF Planning Tool &amp; DOT&amp;PF Procurement and Contracting Websites</th>
<th>Background &amp; Purpose</th>
<th>Timeline Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)</strong></td>
<td>The STIP is used as a planning tool to assist with the development and maintenance of DOT&amp;PF’s surface transportation infrastructure. The STIP details all surface transportation improvements where partial or full federal funding has been approved. The STIP does not include aviation projects, ports &amp; harbor projects, or wholly state-funded projects. For a selected transportation project, the STIP details the approved funding per fiscal year for the 4-year STIP period. Funding per year is allocated to project phases including right-of-way, preliminary design, utilities, and construction.</td>
<td>DOT&amp;PF projects are first documented and listed in the STIP before they ever reach procurement or construction. The STIP is the 1st step for all DOT&amp;PF projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 5: DOT&PF Project Timeline Elements/Planning, Design and Procurement Resources
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Alaska DOT&amp;PF Planning Tool &amp; DOT&amp;PF Procurement and Contracting Websites</strong></th>
<th><strong>Background &amp; Purpose</strong></th>
<th><strong>Timeline Element</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tentative RFP Advertising Schedule</strong></td>
<td>The DOT&amp;PF uses the Tentative RFP Advertising Schedule to identify upcoming projects where professional design services will soon be solicited. Request for proposals (RFPs) for construction administration services term agreements are also includes on this list.</td>
<td>Projects with a consultant designer exhibit the Tentative RFP Advertising Schedule as the 2nd element in the timeline, following the STIP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Request for Proposal: $200K for FHWA – Over and Under</strong></td>
<td>The DOT&amp;PF uses the RFPs Over $200K list and the RFPs Under $200K list to advertise professional design or construction engineering services. Design projects and construction projects are included in these lists.</td>
<td>For consultant design projects, this timeline element represents the solicitation and execution of a design services agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tentative Advertising Schedule (TAS)</strong></td>
<td>Projects listed on the tentative advertising schedule are anticipated to be advertised/solicited for construction within the next 12 calendar months. TAS-listed projects reflect those projects where design services (DOT&amp;PF or consultant) have not finished, and PS&amp;E documents are still being developed.</td>
<td>This timeline element is amid the design engineering and complete design engineering elements for all delivery models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bid Calendar</strong></td>
<td>Projects detailed on the bid calendar were previously listed on the Tentative Advertising Schedule and represent those projects the Department is currently soliciting for bids from construction general contractors. Bid calendar projects reflect those projects where PS&amp;E design services are complete, aside from addendum, interpretation during bidding, etc. These projects will be constructed by a prime contractor with administration of the contract facilitated by the either the Department or a consultant.</td>
<td>For all delivery models, this timeline element occurs directly prior to establishing a construction contract.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 5 Continued**

The researcher used Exhibit 5 as a starting point to develop a separate DOT&PF project delivery timeline for each of the four delivery models. Exhibit 6 presents project delivery timelines for all four delivery models.
Exhibit 6: DOT&PF Project Delivery Timelines
While Exhibit 6 presents general project delivery timelines, the researcher developed Exhibit 7 to communicate the progression of the construction plan set. The plan set, or construction drawings, are prepared by the design engineer of record and graphically communicate the project design intended for construction. The plan set is perhaps the most critical component of the contract document package, described later in the Research Phase 5 section of the report. The project design is progressively developed through several stages. The design phases do not necessarily correlate with the timeline elements presented in Exhibit 6, however. Exhibit 7 presents the plan set categories for the progressive design phases and describes how design documents are modified to become record drawings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Level</th>
<th>Plan Set Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-50%</td>
<td>Local Review</td>
<td>Early design package without complete set of applicable survey, H&amp;H, geotechnical, utility, and traffic data. Design(s) non-finalized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-75%</td>
<td>Plans-in-hand</td>
<td>Progressive design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>PS&amp;E Review</td>
<td>Pre-final design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Certification Set</td>
<td>Final design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>As Advertised</td>
<td>The plan set used by contractors to perform quantity take-offs and ultimately develop their bids – fundamentally the Cert. Set but includes last minute adjudications / corrections the designer made to accommodate owner comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% +</td>
<td>As Awarded / Conformed Copy</td>
<td>The plan set the contractor builds with. This plan set is the As Advertised package plus any pre-contract revisions – additions/modifications from addenda issued during the bidding process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% +</td>
<td>As-built Set / Red Line As-Builts</td>
<td>This plan set results from the construction administration team marking up a copy of the As Awarded plans, illustrating how the infrastructure was actually constructed. Minor or major departures from the planned design – impacting the permanent elements – are documented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% +</td>
<td>Record Drawings</td>
<td>Developed by the owner or at owner’s direction based upon red line as-builts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 7: Design & Construction Plan Set Development**
Research Phase 3 – Construction/Design Engineer or Project Manager Interview Analysis

A questionnaire was developed by the researcher to gather data specific to engineering design manuals, local municipal design manuals, DOT construction manuals, construction engineering training, the resident engineer position, and the presence of voids in the available transportation engineering literature. As detailed below in Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9, forty-five design engineers, construction engineers, and project managers from twenty-three state DOTs were contacted. In total, six telephone interviews were conducted, representing an outreach response rate of thirteen percent. Additional results and analysis from the SME telephone surveys are described later in the Research Phase 5 section of this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency No.</th>
<th>State DOT</th>
<th>Contacted</th>
<th>Surveyed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 8: State DOTs Contacted and Surveyed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agencies/State DOTs Contacted</th>
<th>23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State DOT Engineers Contacted</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State DOT Engineers Surveyed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach Success Rate</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 9: Research Data Table
Research Phase 4 – State Specific DOT Literature Review

The fourth research phase included a secondary round of literature review based upon guidance from the engineering SMEs. The researcher accessed the Department of Transportation websites for Georgia, Florida, New Jersey, Alabama, Oklahoma, and North Carolina and investigated the available civil engineering literature on their respective webpages. The Florida, Georgia, and New Jersey DOTs were determined to have the most robust online resources out of the DOT websites sampled. The Alabaman, Oklahoma, and North Carolina DOTs identified to have less applicable design engineering literature.

This section of the report highlights design engineering and construction engineering information obtained from the Florida, Georgia, and New Jersey DOT websites. Supplemental civil engineering background information is also provided in this section to add context to the highlighted design/construction elements obtained from the respective DOTs.

Florida Department of Transportation

Design Criteria

The FHWA has determined controlling design criteria to be those design parameters having substantial importance to the safety and operational performance of a roadway.

These design criteria, or design parameters, must conform to the Green Book on all federally funded projects. Furthermore, AASHTO and FHWA have designated ten design parameters as controlling design criteria. The controlling design criteria are applicable to all projects on the National Highway System (NHS), a network of critical highways throughout the United States (Federal Register, 2016). On DOT&PF facilities (2020) the ten controlling design criteria for high-speed NHS roadways with design speed greater than or equal to 50 mph include:

1. Design speed
2. Lane width
3. Shoulder width
4. Horizontal alignment
5. Superelevation
6. Maximum grade
7. Stopping sight distance
8. Cross-slope
9. Vertical clearance
10. Structural capacity
Only two controlling design criteria are necessitated for low-speed NHS roadways – design speed less than 50 mph – and they include:

1. Design speed
2. Structural capacity

Review of Florida DOT resources (Florida Department of Transportation, 2016) revealed Exhibit 10 which provides vertical clearance criteria for several roadway functional classifications and railroads. The railroad facilities in Florida require a significantly larger vertical clearance (approximately 7-ft min.) compared to interstate, arterial, and collector roadway facilities in Florida.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Below</th>
<th>Facility Above (All: Interstate, Freeway, Arterial, Collector, Railroad)</th>
<th>Signs/Signals/Peds</th>
<th>DMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FDOT New Const.</td>
<td>FDOT RRR</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>16.5'</td>
<td>16'</td>
<td>16’(14’ Alt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.5’</td>
<td>16’(14’ Alt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16’ New/14’ Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector, Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.5’</td>
<td>23’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.25’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad: Elect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 10: Florida DOT Vertical Clearance Criteria**

Based on Exhibit 10, the researcher reviewed the Alaska Highway Preconstruction Manual and located a comparable DOT&PF vertical clearance table for statewide NHS facilities, shown below with Exhibit 11.

**Exhibit 11: Alaska DOT&PF Vertical Clearance Criteria**

* Clearance values shown include a 6 in. allowance for future resurfacing of the roadway.
** From the Port of Anchorage to the North Slope the clearance of roadways underpassing railroads shall be 18 ft.
DOT&PF’s inclusion of pedestrian facility vertical clearance requirements is noted as one of the significant differences between Exhibit 10 and Exhibit 11.

Constructability Reviews and Constructability Evaluations

AASHTO defines constructability review as the following:

A process that utilizes construction personnel with extensive construction knowledge early in the design process of projects to ensure that the projects are buildable, while also being cost-effective, biddable, and maintainable. (AASHTO, 2000)

Constructability reviews strive to resolve any plans, specifications, and engineer’s estimate (PS&E) errors, omissions, conflicts or ambiguities by identifying problems or potential problems that could be encountered during construction. Furthermore, AASHTO clarifies constructability reviews should contribute to the following outcomes:

1. The project as communicated by the plans and specifications can be constructed using appropriate construction methods, materials, and techniques.
2. The plans and specifications provide sufficiently clear and concise information allowing contractors to prepare competitive, cost-effective bids.
3. Following construction of the project as specified by the drawings and contract documents, the owner agency is able to operate and maintain the facility infrastructure in a cost-effective manner.

As supported by Pettee (2012), additional benefits gained by performing a constructability review include the following:

- Cost (construction and maintenance) and/or time savings to the owner
- Greater early planning
- Reduced drawing and specification conflicts
- Minimized scope changes
- Less field orders and change orders during construction
- Desirably no claims, disputes, or legal action

In contrast to constructability reviews which take place during the design process, post-construction reviews, or constructability evaluations, occur near or following project closeout. Constructability evaluations focus on determining whether design professionals (engineers, architects, etc.) successfully developed complete, accurate, practical, and cost-effective PS&E documents. Post-construction reviews are valuable to owners since these reviews can eliminate repeated errors, ambiguities, and mistakes that increase projects costs or negatively impact project schedules (AASHTO, 2000).
A review of Florida DOT resources revealed a constructability evaluation form intended for in-house design projects (State of Florida Department of Transportation, 2018). The evaluation form contained eight separate constructability criteria to be scored. For scoring, the form contained a performance rating scale ranged numerically from 1 to 5. The highest rating (5) indicates outstanding performance for the eight constructability criteria. Outstanding performance includes the following attributes:

- The design feature had no changes resulting in cost or time increases.
- All plan set details were clear, constructible and no requests for information were needed.
- Minimal post-design services were utilized.
- No follow up post construction contracts needed to fix design flaws.
- No premium costs incurred.
- For unforeseen issues, the engineer of record consistently exceeded expectations for teamwork, responsiveness, and clarity which minimized impact to project cost and time increases.

The next seven pages of this report present Exhibits 12-19. These exhibits contain the eight constructability criteria from the FDOT constructability evaluation form and provide an evaluation of the assorted sub-criteria associated with each of the eight criteria.

### Exhibit 12: Roadway Design Features Constructability Evaluation

The most important elements and design considerations for a strong construction plan are arguably contained within Exhibit 12. Transportation and public works infrastructure require suitable foundations, and sub-criteria item 4 addresses subsurface soil explorations and geotechnical requirements. Sub-criteria items 1 and 2 detail important components commonly required for construction drawings. Plan and profile sheets, detail sheets, cross-sections, and roadway structural sections are standard requirements for pavement management, reconstruction, utility improvement, and new roadway construction projects.

Error-filled plans coupled with a nonresponsive design engineer of record expose an owner agency to significant risk. If a prime contractor has notified the engineer of record/owner of a contract document
issue – from confusing detail sheets to plan and profile sheet notes contradicting specifications – and a timely response was not reciprocated, the contractor could perform the work per plan but in error. In the described circumstance, rework could be required at the owner’s expense.

Utility coordination and utility conflicts are addressed with sub-criteria item 3 from Exhibit 12. New construction and roadway reconstruction projects commonly require utility adjustments, relocations, and accommodations due to the general project scope. As part of the project planning process, competent design engineers are able to identify possible or probable utility conflicts and determine appropriate mitigation measures. Unexpected utility conflicts can be costly to the owner, the contractor, or both depending on the circumstances. Moreover, utility conflicts can disrupt the project schedule and potentially delay critical path tasks and the project completion date.

Exhibit 13 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria for traffic control design features including signage and pavement markings. The researcher recognizes the benefits of resident engineers and constructors evaluating detail sheets, the sign summary, and signage and striping sheets near project closeout or post-construction. Two key benefits include contractor input regarding design suitability and input related to sign or signpost materials. A competent design engineer with an appropriate project budget is able to identify utility conflicts, obstructions, or sensitive project areas likely to impact signage work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Signing and Pavement Marking Features (weight = 0.10)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Required level of detail for the signing and pavement marking plans was included in the plans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Foundation designs for large sign structures were included with adequate soil boring information and location was selected considering the presence of existing utilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Appropriate pay items were included with adequate quantities to meet the project requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average Score (Total Score / Number of sub-criteria rated)</td>
<td>Not Rated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 13: Signing and Pavement Marking Features Constructability Evaluation**

Exhibit 14 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria for drainage design features. Competent water resource engineers provide sufficient hydraulic and hydrologic (H&H) design information depending on project scope. Regardless the quantity of drainage structures for a project, the contract documents need to provide the contractor with a complete and accurate picture of both the existing conditions and the to-be-built infrastructure. A complete and accurate picture of existing conditions refers to H&H data, established vertical and horizontal control and known elevations, and a general idea of subsurface soil and groundwater conditions. A complete and accurate picture does not indicate all above-grade and below-grade site conditions are fully understood or defined.

Exhibit 15 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria for major and minor structure design features. Major structures are significant cost components for public infrastructure projects and resultingly prime
contractors often specialize in large structure types including retaining walls, fish passage and box culverts, tunnels, or bridge structures such as pedestrian underpassings, wildlife overcrossings, and multi-span timber, steel, and concrete bridges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Drainage Features (weight = 0.12)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Provided roadway plans that clearly addressed the required drainage details (identification and adequacy of all required pipe and drainage structure locations, positive drainage provided for each phase of construction, and sufficient RWW is allowed for trenching drainage structures).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Existing drainage patterns were considered in the design and were able to be maintained during construction until the final drainage patterns could be established.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Appropriate pay items were included with adequate quantities to meet the project requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 14: Drainage Features Constructability Evaluation**

Competent design engineers address sub-criteria items 1-7 collectively through the contract documents. The researcher recognizes the benefit of specialized contractors evaluating the constructability of structures with geologic or environmental challenges, structures requiring utility relocations, and high-value bid items that infrequently occur in a project region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. Structures (weight = .12)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Structural Plans are clear. All details readily constructible with adequate clearances between existing and new components allowing for construction access; dimensions are consistent; adequate structure vertical clearance over entire travelway.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Construction conflicts with underground/overhead utilities were anticipated and addressed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Large or heavy members can be transported without exceeding weight and size limitations of existing roads, bridges or hauling equipment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Critical temporary walls identified and detailed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Effect of construction on nearby structures addressed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Post tensioning and reinforcing details complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Subsurface features such as rock, old foundations, water table, artesian conditions etc. were considered in the foundation design and were addressed in the plans. Structure foundation can be constructed within Right of Way.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Appropriate pay items were included with adequate quantities to meet the project requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 15: Structures Constructability Evaluation**

Exhibit 16 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria for traffic maintenance and temporary traffic control. Traffic and pedestrian control are significant cost and safety components of DOT construction projects. Traffic control must be carefully planned in order to maintain access for vehicle and pedestrian users of a corridor. Depending on the scope of the project, traffic control challenges and traffic control measures can vary widely. Urban projects require planning to adequately direct traffic through alternative routes, often necessitating temporary pedestrian routes. Rural projects require an observant eye by the contractor and resident engineer towards construction zone roadway conditions, as unpaved surfaces are
susceptible to degradation caused by traffic, wind, and precipitation. Rural projects also experience a greater level of interaction between construction-related traffic and standard roadway traffic.

All temporary traffic control operations employed by contractors must conform to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. Additionally, public agencies strive to minimally disrupt traffic along high mobility routes particularly during fishing season and tourist season. To mitigate these varied challenges and traveling public requirements, competent design engineers and owners often including phasing plans, temporary traffic control plans (TCPs), and permanent construction signage (PCS) traffic control plans as part of the PS&E package. The researcher recommends design engineers evaluate and consider bolstering their traffic control sheets (phas ing plans, TCPs, PCS TCPs, etc.) specifically for roadway reconstruction, bridge rehabilitation, new roadway construction, and utility improvement projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E. Maintenance of Traffic (weight = .14)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Project phasing addresses all significant work items including utility relocation, drainage construction, structures and intersection construction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 MOT signing properly utilized. Temporary pavement and detours are adequate to effectively meet traffic needs. Lane closure restrictions were identified and reflected the traffic volumes experienced during construction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Work zones widths were adequate to meet the needs of construction equipment including swing radii, and ingress and egress. Dropoffs due to construction operations were considered and protection needs were addressed including attainment if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Intersection traffic control needs were addressed including any necessary temporary devices. Adequate turn lanes were provided to avoid traffic backups of through lanes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pedestrian, bicycle, ADA needs were considered and addressed in the MOT plans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Appropriate pay items were included with adequate quantities to meet the project requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average Score (Total Score / Number of sub-criteria rated)** Not Rated

**Exhibit 16: Traffic Maintenance Constructability Evaluation**

Exhibit 17 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria for traffic signal and facility lighting design. As previously indicated, unforeseen utility conflicts are burdensome to the owner, contractor, resident engineer, and often utility companies and regulatory agencies. Sub-criteria item 1 addresses the importance of signal pole location due diligence being completed by the design engineer to accommodate construction. Sub-criteria 2 focuses on the signal and lighting needs for maintenance and operation personnel, perhaps the most vital long-term end users of transportation and public work infrastructure. For example, urban and rural projects each include categorical maintenance requirements. Urban projects are more likely to include public utility systems and pedestrian facilities, whereas rural projects frequently include roadside ditches, cross culverts, and approach culverts. An experienced design engineer understands the lifecycle for public works infrastructure, requests input from M&O personnel early in the design process, and makes reasonable accommodations to increase the efficiency and/or lower the cost of maintaining specific systems (water, sewer, drainage, etc.), facilities (treatment plants, etc.), or individual project elements such as roadside barriers (guardrail) or landscaping (trees, shrubs, grass, etc.).
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Exhibit 17: Signal and Lighting Constructability Evaluation

Exhibit 18 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria for contract documents’ conformance to federal, agency, and local permit requirements. A permit can be defined as a temporary authorization to perform or use something in support of a transportation infrastructure project. A wide variety of authorizations, depending on the permitting authority, are encompassed in that broad definition. Typical construction permits include but are not limited to the following:

- Oversize/overweight load permits
- Utility permits
- Temporary construction easements
- Construction general permits
- Excavation dewatering permits
- Fish habitat permits
- Aquatic resource permits
- Flood hazard permits
- Specific borough habitat protection district permits
- Temporary water use authorization permits
- Nationwide permits

The project owner will obtain select preliminary environmental permits and the prime contractor is contractually obligated to obtain the remaining permits required by various authorities having jurisdiction. Additionally, project permits are a function of the specific project scope and geographic location. The researcher has identified that large earthwork projects occurring in environmentally sensitive areas are a project category that require a sizable number of permits.

Exhibit 18: Conformance to Permit Requirements Constructability Evaluation
Exhibit 19 presents constructability evaluation sub-criteria related to the designer’s ability to develop a coordinated design/contract document package. Through professional experience, the researcher has determined the contract document package is an ambiguous term, and that contract document packages vary between local and state construction projects. Furthermore, project owners can be unsophisticated or lack adequate staffing to manage their capital improvement efforts. As a result, consultant engineering firms are often tasked with design phase, procurement phase, and construction phase services to aid project delivery and provide owner’s representation. Presented below are three contract document package categories the researcher has encountered.

For municipal public works infrastructure projects, the contract documents frequently include the following:

1. Construction drawings / plan set
2. Referenced municipal, city, or borough construction standard details
3. Standard specifications for construction
4. Special provisions
5. Bonding and certifications
6. Pre-contract revisions / addenda
7. Construction contract
8. Modifications / change orders (if required)

Alternatively, when Engineering Joint Contract Documents Committee (EJCDC) or American Institute of Architects (AIA) standard form agreements are used for public works infrastructure projects, the contract documents frequently include the following:

1. Construction drawings / plan set
2. Referenced municipal, city, or borough construction standard details
3. Standard specifications for construction
4. Special provisions
5. General conditions of the contract
6. Supplementary conditions of the contract
7. Bonding and certifications
8. Pre-contract revisions / addenda
9. Owner-contractor agreement
10. Modifications / change orders (if required)
For state DOT transportation infrastructure projects, the contract documents commonly include the following:

1. Construction drawings / plan set
2. Referenced state construction standard details
3. Standard specifications for highway construction
4. Standard modifications
5. Special provisions
6. Pre-contract revisions / addenda
7. Bonding and certifications
8. Construction contract
9. Modifications / change orders (if required)

In practice during construction, the resident engineer and the contractor will primarily rely upon the construction drawings, the construction details, the standard specifications, and the special provisions to build various infrastructure elements. It should be noted that for both municipal public works and state DOT highway projects, H&H reports, subsurface investigation reports, and record drawings generally are not contract documents. These informational drawings, data, and reports are instead categorized as reference documents. Additionally, shop drawings – drawings, etc. prepared by the contractor to communicate work completion or detail – are not part of the contract document package.

### Exhibit 19: Contract Document Coordination Constructability Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H. Overall Coordination of Contract Documents (weight = .16)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The plans were properly supported and complimented by the specifications and were not in conflict.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Individual Plan Components were consistent with one another and were not in conflict.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Appropriate pay items were included with adequate quantities to meet the project requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Communicated and coordinated with all Department offices, Local Agencies, Utilities during design to meet the project requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Score (Total Score / Number of sub criteria rated) = Not Rated

**Georgia Department of Transportation**

**Distressed Pavement**

Pavement structures includes one or several layers that transfer and distribute vehicular loading to the underlying existing subgrade soils (Andersland & Ladanyi, 2003). The design life for flexible pavement is a function of the project classification. For hot mix asphalt (HMA) overlay projects and design standard update projects, the pavement design life can range from 15-20 years, whereas on full depth reclamation and new construction projects the pavement design life can range from 30-40 years. The researcher should note that flexible pavements utilizing design lives that are decades long will still require
appropriate pavement maintenance treatments likely 15-20 years following construction. Portland cement concrete (PCC) is an appropriate and cost-effective material for pavements in many parts of the US, though Alaska DOT&PF and local communities generally use HMA as their pavement material. PCC pavements are considered rigid pavement and the design life can be considerably longer than flexible pavements (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2010).

Exhibit 20 presents twenty separate AC pavement / flexible pavement distress root causes described by the Pavement Interactive on their Pavement Distresses webpage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Pavement Distress Root Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Loss of subgrade, subbase, or base course support due to poor roadway cross-slope or inadequate roadside drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vehicular loading exceeds design loading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Inadequate structural support – too thin of structural layers (subbase, base, surface).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Inadequate construction techniques.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Poor HMA mix design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Improper HMA air void content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Asphalt binder aging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aggregate segregation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>HMA mix contamination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Poor HMA manufacturing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Excessive subgrade moisture content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Subgrade settlement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pavement fatigue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Moisture infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Subbase rutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Improper mix design (excessive asphalt binder content, excessive mineral filler, inadequate quantity of angular aggregate particles).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Vehicular braking or turning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>High water table and poor structural drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Frost susceptible subbase or base course materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Spring thaw and snow mounded roadway shoulders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 20: AC Pavement Distress Root Causes**

Exhibit 20 provides primary and secondary reasons why AC pavements or flexible pavements fail. The next section of this report explores the particular categorizations of pavement failure, also known as pavement distress. As shown below with Exhibit 21, the FHWA has classified AC pavement failures/degradations into six categories with fifteen specific AC pavement distress types (FHWA, 2014). Moreover, Exhibit 22 illustrates how jointed PCC pavement failures include four distress categories and sixteen distress types, while Exhibit 23 presents how continuously reinforced PCC pavement failures include three distress categories and sixteen distress types.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distress Category</th>
<th>Distress Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Cracking</td>
<td>1. Fatigue cracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Block cracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Edge cracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Longitudinal cracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Reflection cracking at joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Transverse cracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Patching and Potholes</td>
<td>7. Patch/patch deterioration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Potholes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Surface Deformation</td>
<td>9. Rutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Shoving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Surface Defects</td>
<td>11. Bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12. Polished aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13. Raveling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Miscellaneous Distresses</td>
<td>14. Lane-to-shoulder drop-off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15. Water bleeding/pumping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 21: AC Pavement Distress Categories and Types
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distress Category</th>
<th>Distress Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Cracking</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Corner breaks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Durability cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Longitudinal cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Transverse cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Joint Deficiencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Joint seal damage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Spalling – longitudinal joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Spalling – transverse joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Surface Defects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Map cracking and scaling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Polished aggregate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Popouts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Miscellaneous Distress</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Blowups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Faulting of transverse joints and cracks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Lane-to-shoulder drop-off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Lane-to-shoulder separation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Patch/patch deterioration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Water bleeding/pumping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 22: Jointed PCC Pavement Distress Categories and Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distress Category</th>
<th>Distress Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Cracking</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Durability cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Longitudinal cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transverse cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Surface Defects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Map cracking and scaling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Polished aggregate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Popouts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Miscellaneous Distress</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Blowups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Transverse construction joint deterioration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Lane-to-shoulder drop-off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Lane-to-shoulder separation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Patch/patch deterioration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Punchouts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Spalling – longitudinal joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Water bleeding/pumping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Longitudinal joint seal damage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 23: Continuously Reinforced PCC Pavement Distress Categories and Types
AC Pavement Treatments
Implementing appropriate AC pavement treatments along roadway corridors is considered a best practice as part of state or local agency pavement management programs. A review of Georgia DOT design manuals revealed a Guide for Pavement Preservation Treatments (Georgia Department of Transportation, 2014). The Guide includes an AC pavement treatment decision matrix that recommends specific AC pavement treatments for the varied pavement distress conditions presented with Exhibit 21. The matrix includes thirteen AC pavement treatment types which are shown with Exhibit 24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment No.</th>
<th>AC Pavement Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chip seal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cold in-place recycling &amp; foamed asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Crack seal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fog seal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Full depth reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hot in-place recycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Micromilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Microsurfacing and slurry seal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Open graded interlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Overlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pothole patching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ultra-thin bonded wearing course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>White topping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 24: Recommended AC Pavement Treatments, Georgia DOT

Certain AC pavement treatments, such as full depth reclamation and cold in-place recycling supplemented with foamed asphalt, are appropriate for several types of pavement distress. Conversely, other AC pavement treatments such as fog seal and micromilling are only appropriate for a few select pavement distress types.

PCC Pavement Treatments
The Guide recommends several PCC pavement treatment methods as detailed in Exhibit 25, and additionally provides a PCC pavement treatment selection matrix which is presented with Exhibit 26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment No.</th>
<th>PCC Pavement Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diamond grinding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dowel bar retrofit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Joint sealing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Partial depth repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Full depth repair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 25: Recommended PCC Pavement Treatments, Georgia DOT
Schedule Delays

Critical path method (CPM) schedules are a contractual requirement for federally funded DOT projects. The CPM schedule requirement often extends to local municipal public works projects.

The CPM schedule graphically represents a contractor’s plan to execute a project. The critical path is the longest path/work sequence that determines the project completion date. A delay is an activity or event that postpones the ultimate completion of a work task or the project (American Society of Civil Engineers, 2022). When analyzing project schedules, the focus should be on critical delays, or those delays that negatively impact the critical path.

From an owner’s viewpoint, critical delays can be categorized as either excusable or non-excusable. Excusable delays include all delays where the contractor is entitled to a time extension. Additionally, excusable delays can be compensable where a contractor is entitled to time and money, or they can be non-compensable where a contractor is entitled to a time extension but not additional money (ASCE, 2022).

Following review of Georgia DOT resources, the researcher identified an interoffice memo focusing on twelve specific drivers/areas the GDOT has identified as being responsible for critical delays during the planning, design, or construction project phases. Exhibit 27 presents the twelve project areas GDOT identified as contributing to schedule delays.

Exhibit 26: Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Treatment Selection Matrix
Concrete curing is a critical work task associated with most concrete structures and elements. The Portland Cement Association (PCA) defines curing as:

The maintenance of a satisfactory moisture content and temperature in concrete for a sufficient period of time during and immediately following placing so that the desired properties may develop. (PCA, 2016).

Strength, freeze-thaw resistance, permeability resistance, scaling resistance, abrasion resistance, and volume stability are all hardened concrete properties influenced and improved by proper curing. The PCA also describes an optimal temperature of 50 degrees F to 60 degrees F to maximize hardened concrete properties. Frequently, concrete cannot be placed, finished, and cured in the optimal temperature range.
As a result, contractors need to address considerations for hot weather concreting and cold weather concreting depending on the project circumstances. A review of New Jersey DOT resources identified the *Construction Scheduling Manual* which contained Exhibit 29. This Exhibit indicates concrete formwork for piles, footings, retaining walls, and abutment walls shall not be removed until a 24-hr curing period has elapsed. The Exhibit also indicates formwork for wing walls, pier caps, arches, culverts, approach slabs, and bridge decks are to remain in place for a 14-day curing period. Additionally, Exhibit 29 provides curing periods for the aforementioned structural elements for cold weather concreting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Form Removal</th>
<th>Form Removal with Strength</th>
<th>Cold Weather Curing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrier Curb</td>
<td>Concrete holds shape</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piles</td>
<td>24 HOURS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footing</td>
<td>24 HOURS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abutment Wall</td>
<td>24 HOURS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast in Place Retaining Wa</td>
<td>24 HOURS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing Walls</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>3 DAYS 3000 PSI</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battered Columns</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>3 DAYS 4000 PSI</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pier Caps</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>3 DAYS 4000 PSI</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arches</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>7 DAYS 4000 PSI</td>
<td>7 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culverts</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>7 DAYS 4000 PSI</td>
<td>7 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Slab</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>7 DAYS 4000 PSI</td>
<td>7 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck</td>
<td>14 DAYS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Sidewalk</td>
<td>24 HOURS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Parapet and Barrier</td>
<td>Concrete holds shape</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL ELSE</td>
<td>24 HOURS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 DAYS at 60 °F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 29: Concrete Curing Time Table**

Construction Contract Change Order Contingencies

Change orders are a contract instrument used to modify some element of the agreement between an owner and a contractor. Change orders arise due to several reasons including unforeseen conditions, owner directed, plan and specification errors and omissions, and plan quantity changes. Resultingly, change orders can modify the plans or specifications and frequently result in an adjustment to project cost and schedule. Although change orders for DOT projects share common components (main change order documents, backup documents, etc.), each change order on every project is unique.
For public infrastructure projects, the resident engineer or construction project manager normally determines the need for a change order. Construction contractors are encouraged to initiate or request contract changes as appropriate, including value engineering circumstances where the contractor could potentially provide significant cost savings to a project.

The researcher recognizes on Alaska DOT&PF projects, the construction project manager approves change orders up to $25K whereas the group chief approves any change order above $25K. The resident engineer for DOT projects cannot approve change orders.

A review of New Jersey DOT resources revealed the *Cost Estimating Guideline* (2019). The *Guideline* contained Exhibit 30 which provides construction change order contingency amounts for various project types such as new construction, resurfacing, etc. Exhibit 30 is a resource for NJDOT employees during the development of conceptual project cost estimates. As detailed by the Exhibit, projects with construction contracts valued between $100-500K are allocated a total contingency amount of $25K for change orders, while projects valued over $15M are allocated a total contingency amount of $0.5M. The researcher notes by happenstance that a value of $25K is applied to DOT&PF project manager’s change order approval threshold and change order contingency total amounts for conceptual cost estimates. No correlation exists between the processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Federal Participating Items in Millions of $</th>
<th>Construction Change Order Contingency Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 to 0.1</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 to 0.5</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 to 5.0</td>
<td>25,000 + 4% of amount in excess of $500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 to 10.0</td>
<td>205,000 + 3% of amount in excess of $5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0 to 15.0</td>
<td>355,000 + 2% of amount in excess of $10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0 and Above</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 30: Contingency Amounts for Construction Change Orders**
Research Phase 5 – Research Results and Analysis

As detailed in the Research Phase 3 section of this report, six design/construction engineers and project managers responsible for overseeing the development of state DOT projects were interviewed. The questions were tailored to agency-level engineers and projects managers and were specific to the following areas:

- Engineering design manual update frequency
- Variance between state DOT and local municipal engineering design manuals
- Processes employed by state DOTs to develop construction manuals
- Internal engineering and project management training available to DOT personnel
- Construction inspection as a prerequisite to becoming a resident engineer
- Transportation engineering literature void assessment

This section of the report presents results from the SME interviews. Each interview question is presented with an exhibit showcasing particular state DOT results. Analysis conducted by the researcher specific to each interview question follows presentation of the results.

Question #1

Results

Exhibit 31 presents results for the first interview question, which is centered around the frequency state DOTs update their roadway engineering design manual.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE DOT</th>
<th>ENGINEER or PM RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>N/A – did not know.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Continuously updated through Design Bulletins. Design manuals are updated every 1-2 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Updates/modifications occur as design standards change. Design manuals are updated on an ongoing basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>Every 3-5 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Design manual is currently being updated and has not been updated since 1992.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>Every 6 years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 31: Engineering Design Manual Update Frequency

Analysis

The Florida and New Jersey DOTs provide the most frequent design manual updates. The Alabama and North Carolina DOTs update their design manuals less frequently. The roadway design manual for the
Oklahoma DOT had not been updated for over 25 years – an informational note the researched did not expect to uncover.

**Question #2**

**Results**

Exhibit 32 presents results for the second interview question. The second SME interview question focuses on the variance between state DOT and local municipal engineering design manuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE DOT</th>
<th>ENGINEER or PM RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Most local municipalities piggyback on the Georgia design manuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>The “Florida Greenbook” developed by FDOT is used by my local agencies and municipalities. Some local agencies have their own design manuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Very little variance exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>N/A – did not know.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Smaller towns essentially use the state DOT standards and drawings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>N/A – did not know.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 32: Variance Between State DOT and Local Municipal Engineering Design Manuals**

**Analysis**

Engineers and project managers from the Georgia, Florida, New Jersey, and Oklahoma DOTs indicated little variance exists between local municipal and state DOT engineering design manuals. Based on professional experience in Alaska, the researcher has determined several divisions from the *Municipality of Anchorage Standard Specifications (MASS)*, and the associated construction standard details, share commonality with divisions and standard details from the Alaska DOT&PF *Standard Specifications for Highway Construction*. However, the MOA and AWWU oversee public works utility projects unlike the DOT&PF. As a result, MASS includes several divisions such as sanitary sewers and water systems not found in the DOT&PF *Standard Specifications*. Furthermore, the City of Homer, the City of Sitka, the City of Kodiak, and the City of Valdez each have standard public works specifications specific to their community. Examination of these standard specifications not surprisingly reveals a tendency towards mirroring and adopting content from the MOA’s MASS and not the DOT&PF’s *Standard Specifications*.

**Question #3**

**Results**

Exhibit 33 presents results for the third interview question. This question focuses on processes DOTs employ to develop their construction manuals.
What processes has your state’s DOT employed to develop their construction manual?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE DOT</th>
<th>ENGINEER or PM RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>A running list of bridge construction and road construction issues are developed. Semiannual updates to the construction manual incorporate any substantive issues needing addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>A statewide committee reviews the construction manual yearly and updates appropriate sections as necessary based on current issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>The construction manual has not been updated in several years. Construction Advisories have been provided by NJ DOT for construction administration personnel to help administer construction projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>For the last eight years, the construction manual has been going through a continuous update. Resident engineers have been consulted during this process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>N/A – did not know.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>N/A – did not know.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 33: Processes Employed by State DOTs to Develop Construction Manuals

Analysis

The Florida and Georgia DOTs respectively provide yearly and on-going updates to their construction manuals. The Florida DOT uses a statewide committee for updates whereas the Georgia DOT develops specific bridge construction and road construction lists for update consideration. The Construction Manual published by the Alaska DOT&PF provides guidance for inspecting and administering highway, airport, and marine construction projects. The Construction Manual contains eighteen chapters – seven were last updated in 2021, six chapters were last updated in 2017, three were last updated in 2014, and two chapters were updated in 2019 and 2020. Therefore, approximately half the Manual has been updated within one calendar year and nearly the remainder of the manual has been updated within the last five years.

Question #4

Results

Exhibit 34 presents results for the fourth interview question. The fourth SME interview question focuses on internal engineering and project management training available to DOT personnel.
What type of internal training programs are available for design engineers, construction engineers, and project managers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE DOT</th>
<th>ENGINEER or PM RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>An internal program for construction staff is available and geared towards improving knowledge in the field.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Florida   | • A complete construction engineering & inspection certification process  
           • Project Administration School  
           • Project Management Institute (PMI) training  
           • Professional engineer training program  
           • Construction training safety awareness |
| New Jersey | • Project management training  
            • Construction administration and inspection training. |
| Alabama   | Case by case basis. Specialized training occurs as necessary for topics including claims, critical path method scheduling, etc. Project managers perform the duties of the resident engineer. |
| Oklahoma  | Not a lot of significant training. |
| North Carolina | Several design-focused classes including Civil3D, and one-on-one on-the-job (OJT) training. |

Exhibit 34: Internal Engineering and Project Management Training Available to DOT Personnel

Analysis

Based on the SME responses, the Florida DOT provides the most comprehensive collection of civil/construction engineering and project management training content out of the sampled DOTs. The Georgia and New Jersey DOTs have less robust training programs, but wide-ranging nonetheless. The Oklahoma DOT was noted to not have a significant training program. In Alabama DOT construction projects are contractually administered by project managers. By contrast, in Alaska, Washington, California, and many other states, the DOT uses a resident engineer, not a project manager, to act the owner’s designated representative responsible for administering the construction contract in accordance with the PS&E and additional contract documents.

Question #5

Results

Exhibit 35 presents results for the fifth interview question. This question focuses on construction inspection experience being a prerequisite to becoming a resident engineer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE DOT</th>
<th>ENGINEER or PM RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Yes – internally, REs work up through the ranks. With consultants, the REs also have inspection experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>In the past, yes, a significant number of REs would work their way up through the inspection ranks. Currently, REs are required to be degreed and professionally licensed. They tend to work their way up through internship type programs. FDOT has adopted a consultant-based inspection program. Although many upper-level construction project administration and engineering personnel are FDOT employees, all jobsite inspection functions are performed by consultant employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Construction administration teams can include inspectors, technicians, and a resident engineer. Most REs were inspectors at one time. Several tests must be passed before employees can move up in the rankings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>No, most resident engineers do not work their way up through inspection. Most are hired on as project managers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Yes, and ODOT wants professional engineers as their resident engineers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>It is a mix – most resident engineers did not come up through the inspection ranks. Several were hired directly as resident engineers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 35: Construction Inspection as a Prerequisite to Becoming a Resident Engineer**

**Analysis**

Engineers from the Georgia and Oklahoma DOTs indicate construction inspection experience is indeed a requirement before advancing to or being hired as a resident engineer. Additionally, in Florida a resident engineer must also be a professional engineer, and in Oklahoma the DOT prefers but not requires their resident engineers to be professionally licensed. The New Jersey DOT indicates most resident engineers previously acted as inspectors. The Alabama DOT was the outlier for this question. The SME response indicated project managers responsible for contract administration generally do not have inspection experience.

**Question #6**

**Results**

Exhibit 36 presents results for the sixth interview question. The final question from the SME interviews assesses whether voids exist in the available literature for engineers managing transportation projects.
Does a void exist in the available literature for engineers managing transportation projects?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE DOT</th>
<th>ENGINEER or PM RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 36: Transportation Engineering Literature Void(s) Assessment

Analysis

50% of the SMEs indicated a void or several voids exist within the available civil engineering literature. If the North Carolina DOT response (unsure) is excluded, the concurrence percentage increase to 60%.
Research Conclusions

Research conducted in support of this project enabled the author to develop an outline to a comprehensive civil engineering manual for managing and administering Alaska municipal public works and State of Alaska DOT&PF highway projects. The manual outline effectively follows the life of a civil transportation project from initiation through closeout by fully integrating the distinct elements of design engineering, construction contract administration, construction inspection, materials testing and special inspection, and project management. The outline manual was iteratively developed in conjunction with several research phases. This section of the report presents conclusions from each research phase.

Phase 1 to Phase 5

Efforts for the first research phase produced a list of civil engineering design standards and resources commonly used by designers and resident engineers, and design-related civil engineering subtopic areas and construction and project management-related civil engineering subtopic areas respectively presented by Exhibits 2-4. An early iteration of the manual outline was also developed.

The second research phase provided transparency to the big-picture timeline associated with DOT&PF projects. This phase also detailed the progression of a traditional construction plan set. These endeavors are showcased with Exhibits 5-7.

Following the development of a transportation engineering questionnaire, forty-five design engineers, construction engineers, and project managers from twenty-three state DOTs were contacted. In total, six telephone interviews were conducted, representing an outreach response rate of thirteen percent.

The fourth research phase included a secondary round of literature review based upon guidance from the engineering SMEs. The Florida, Georgia, and New Jersey DOTs were determined to have the most robust online resources out of the DOT websites sampled. A large portion the supplemental civil engineering background information provided to highlight specific design and construction elements has been drawn from the researcher’s professional experience. Exhibits 10-30 were developed to present several specific design and construction elements including:

- Design criteria
- Constructability reviews and constructability evaluations
- Distressed pavement
- AC pavement treatments
- PCC pavement treatments
- Schedule delays
- Concrete curing
Construction contract change order contingencies

The final phase – Phase 5 – formulated research results and analysis from Phase 3. Results from Phase 5 research support the author’s assessment that voids exist in the available literature. 50% of the SMEs indicated a void or several voids exist within the engineering literature available for managing transportation projects. The concurrence percentage increases to 60% when the North Carolina DOT response (unsure) is excluded.
Product Development

In conjunction with crafting this report, the primary project objective was to develop a comprehensive civil engineering manual outline that effectively follows the life of a civil transportation project from initiation through closeout by fully integrating elements of design engineering, construction contract administration, construction inspection, materials & special testing, and project management. Appendix A presents the Manual Outline (MO) fulfilling the central project objective. The Manual Outline is organized as follows:

- Volume 1 – Design Engineering
- Volume 2 – Procurement Phase
- Volume 3 – Construction Engineering
- Volume 4 – Project Management

The researched used a combination of professional trade and engineering experience, technical and vocation education & training, and six principle civil engineering resources to ultimately develop the Manual Outline. The six resources include:


The Manual Outline is both complex and simple, and disseminating dozens of MO components was notably very challenging. The researcher used progressive elaboration as an integration and development tool. Accordingly, the product presented in Appendix A is significantly more refined than earlier iterations. Elements from this project report also contributed to development of the MO and are appropriately distributed throughout the four volumes.
Recommendations for Further Research

The secondary objective associated with assembly of the manual outline included identifying design engineering, construction engineering, and project management domains and subtopics areas to further investigate after project completion.

Content areas highlighted throughout this report recommended for further research include:

1. Alaska DOT&PF procurement and contracting
2. DOT&PF project delivery models
3. Design & construction plan set development
4. Roadway design criteria
5. Constructability reviews and constructability evaluations
6. AC pavement treatments and distressed pavement
7. Schedule delays
8. Concrete curing
9. Construction contract change order contingencies

Additionally, the collective seventy-two civil engineering subtopic areas presented by Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 are recommended for further research.

A review of the Manual Outline located in Appendix A reveals forty-two total modules – ten design engineering modules, nine procurement phase modules, eleven construction engineering modules, and twelve project management modules – recommended for further research.
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Appendix A

1 STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING
   1.1 Reinforced Concrete Design
   1.2 Steel Design
   1.3 Timber Design
   1.4 Bridge Design
   1.5 Tunnel Design
   1.6 Fish Passage and Box Culvert Design
   1.7 Underpassing and Overcrossing Design

2 ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
   2.1 Water Quality and Water Treatment
   2.2 Wastewater Treatment
   2.3 NEPA and Environmental Permitting
   2.4 Air Pollution, Solid and Hazardous Waste

3 WATER AND WASTEWATER ENGINEERING
   3.1 Design of Sanitary Sewers
      3.1.1. Design Capacity
      3.1.2. Design Flow & Peak Design Flow
      3.1.3. Sanitary Sewer Main Slope
      3.1.4. Sanitary Sewer Service Connection and Extension Slope
      3.1.5. Minimum Pipe Size – Gravity Sewer Main
      3.1.6. Minimum Pipe Size – Force Sewer Main
      3.1.7. Minimum Pipe Size – Private Sewer Line
      3.1.8. Authorized Pipe Materials and Fittings
      3.1.9. Unauthorized Materials and Fittings
      3.1.10. Standard Depth of Cover & Minimum Depth of Cover
      3.1.11. Deep Service Risers
      3.1.12. Sanitary Sewer Manholes
      3.1.13. Sanitary Sewer Service Lines
3.1.14. Sanitary Sewer Lift Stations

3.2 Water Treatment Plants

3.3 Pipes and Pumps

3.4 Water Distribution Systems
   3.1.1. Design Data
   3.1.2. Existing Grid Network
   3.1.3. Authorized Pipe Materials and Fittings
   3.1.4. Unauthorized Materials and Fittings
   3.1.5. Main Lines Minimum Sizes
   3.1.6. Commercial and Industrial Service Connections and Extensions
   3.1.7. Residential Service Connection and Extensions
   3.1.8. Depth of Bury
   3.1.9. Dead Ends
   3.1.10. Fire Hydrants and Flow Requirements
   3.1.11. Crossings
   3.1.12. Valves
   3.1.13. Mainline Live Taps
   3.1.14. Thrust Restraintts
   3.1.15. Special Structures – Pumping Stations, Storage Tanks, Diversions Valves, Meter Vaults, etc.
   3.1.16. Large Diameter Transmission Mains
   3.1.17. Water Service Connections into Main
   3.1.18. Water Service Extension Meters
   3.1.19. Corp Stops and Curb Stops
   3.1.20. Key Boxes
   3.1.21. Booster Stations
   3.1.22. Pressure Regulating Valve (PRV) Stations

3.5 Air Pollution, Solid and Hazardous Waste

4 WATER RESOURCES
   4.1 Open Channel Flow and Drainage Channel Design
   4.2 Culvert Design
   4.3 Storm Drain System Design
   4.4 Statistical Hydrology
4.5 Runoff and Stormwater Collection

4.6 Groundwater Hydrology

5 TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING

5.1 Geometric Roadway Design
    3.1.1. Functional Classification for Motor Vehicles
    3.1.2. Content Classification for Geometric Design
    3.1.3. Multimodal Considerations
    3.1.4. Elements of Design
    3.1.5. Design Criteria
    3.1.6. Horizontal Alignment
    3.1.7. Vertical Alignment
    3.1.8. Cross-section Elements
    3.1.9. Local Roads in Rural Areas
    3.1.10. Local Streets in Urban Areas
    3.1.11. Collectors in Rural Areas
    3.1.12. Collectors in Urban Areas
    3.1.13. Arterials in Rural Areas
    3.1.15. Freeways in Rural Areas
    3.1.16. Freeways in Urban Areas

5.2 Intersection Design

5.3 Grade Separations and Interchange Design

5.4 ADA-compliant Pedestrian Facilities

5.5 Flexible Pavement Design

5.6 Rigid Pavement Design

5.7 Highway Lighting and Lighting Design

5.8 Roadside Barrier Design

5.9 Standard Sign Design

5.10 Striping Design

5.11 Traffic & Pedestrian Control Design

5.12 Vegetation and Landscaping Design

5.13 Transportation Planning
5.14 Utility Coordination
5.15 Public Involvement
5.16 Air, Rail, and Water Transportation

6 **TRAFFIC ENGINEERING**
   6.1 Traffic Analysis and Operations
   6.2 Principles of Traffic Flow
   6.3 Traffic Engineering Studies

7 **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING**
   7.1 Geology, Origins of Soils, and Soil Properties
   7.2 Soil Classifications / Identification
   7.3 Atterberg Limits
   7.4 Seepage
   7.5 Soil Compressibility
   7.6 Lateral Earth Pressure
   7.7 Subsurface Soil Investigations
   7.8 Permafrost
   7.9 Shallow Foundation Design
   7.10 Deep Foundation Design
   7.11 Retaining Wall Design
   7.12 Slope Stability
   7.13 Geosynthetics

8 **PROGRESSIVE DESIGN**
   8.1 Phases of Design
   8.2 Plan Set Development
   8.3 Specifications
   8.4 Cost Estimates & Engineer’s Estimates
   8.5 Quantity Calculations
   8.6 Design Reports
8.7 Design Surveys
8.8 Design Review
8.9 Architectural Design

9 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
  9.1 Best Management Practice (BMP) Guides
  9.2 2021 Alaska Construction General Permit
  9.3 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) Preparation
  9.4 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Preparation
  9.5 Arctic Stabilization & Biotic Soil Media

10 MISC. DESIGN
  10.1 Arctic Engineering
VOLUME 2 – PROCUREMENT PHASE

1 STRATEGIC PLANNING
   1.1 Owner’s Representation

2 TRADITIONAL PROJECT DELIVERY
   2.1 Owner’s Representation
   2.2 Design-Bid-Build – Competitive Bidding

3 A/E SELECTION AND CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS
   3.1 Owner’s Representation

4 PUBLIC PROCUREMENT PROCESS
   4.1 Phase 1 – Solicitation Preparation
   4.2 Phase 2 – Solicitation Advertisement
   4.3 Phase 3 – Proposal or Bid Evaluation
   4.4 Phase 4 – Contract Award
   4.5 Phase 5 – Contract Management & Administration

5 ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METHODS
   5.1 Design Build (DB)
   5.2 Progressive Design Build (PDB)
   5.3 Best Value Procurement (Design-Bid-Build Plus Parameter)
   5.4 Construction Manager General Contractor (CM/GC)

6 CONTRACT DOCUMENT PACKAGE CATEGORIES
   6.1 Municipal Public Works Infrastructure Projects
   6.2 EJCDC / AIA Standard Form Agreement Public Works Infrastructure Projects
   6.3 DOT&PF Transportation Infrastructure Projects

7 STANDARD FORM CONTRACTS
   7.1 EJCDC E-Series / Engineering Series
   7.2 EJCDC C-Series / Construction Family Series
   7.3 AIA A-Series / Owner-Contractor Agreements
   7.4 AIA B-Series / Owner-Architect Agreements
   7.5 AIA C-Series / Architect-Consultant Agreements
   7.6 AIA G-Series / Construction Administration Forms
8 Construction Specifications Institute
   8.1 MasterFormat Specifications
   8.2 Construction Administration Documentation

9 Alaska DOT&PF Procurement and Contracting
   9.1 STIP
   9.2 Tentative RFP Advertising Schedule
   9.3 Request for Proposal: $200K
   9.4 Tentative Advertising Schedule (TAS)
   9.5 Bid Calendar
   9.6 Primary Project Delivery Models
THE ALASKA PROJECT MANAGEMENT, DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION MANUAL

VOLUME 3 – CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING

MODULE NUMBER AND DESCRIPTION

1 MUNICIPAL CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TASKS
2 MUNICIPAL CLOSEOUT PACKAGES
3 DOT&PF CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION
4 DOT&PF’S FHWA PROJECT CLOSEOUT PACKAGE
5 MEMO TO DOT&PF QA ENGINEER FOR MATERIAL SUBMITTAL
6 CA PROJECT RECORDS DELIVERED TO DOT&PF QUALITY ASSURANCE UNIT SATISFYING COMPLETE PROJECT CLOSEOUT
7 INTERNAL DOT&PF FINAL CLOSEOUT PACKAGE
8 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
9 DOT&PF STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION
10 DOT&PF STANDARD CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS
11 MUNICIPAL STANDARD SPECS AND STANDARD CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS
1 MUNICIPAL CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION TASKS

1.1 Preliminary Activities

4.1.1. Project Management (Scope, Schedule, Budget Mgmt.), Invoicing
4.1.1. Coordination With Contractor and Contract Administration
4.1.1. PM Software Set-Up
4.1.1. SWPPP Review, Approval, Management
4.1.1. Preconstruction Meeting
4.1.1. Scheduling, Logistics
4.1.1. Mobilization
4.1.1. Safety Planning, Training, And Coordination
4.1.1. Develop Connect Cards
4.1.1. Develop Submittal Register
4.1.1. Develop Material Summary and Special Inspection Schedule

1.2 Construction Administration

1.2.1. Project Management (Scope, Schedule, Budget Mgmt.), Invoicing
1.2.2. Submittal Reviews and Processing
1.2.3. On-Site Inspection and Owner’s Representation
1.2.4. SWPPP Oversight and Management
1.2.5. RFIs/DCVRs
1.2.6. Change Orders
1.2.7. Pay Application Recommendations
1.2.8. Reoccurring Progress Meetings
1.2.9. Demobilization

1.3 Closeout

1.3.1. Develop Close-Out Documentation Summary/Checklist
1.3.2. Contractor As-Built Coordination
1.3.3. Commissioning Plan and Oversight of System Integration
1.3.4. Record Drawings
1.3.5. QA of Close-Out Documentation, Connect Cards, and Record Drawings
1.3.6. Coordination with DEC for Approval to Operate
1.3.7. Contractor Coordination for Commissioning
2  MUNICIPAL CLOSEOUT PACKAGES
   2.1  Photo/Video Records of Pre-Construction Conditions
   2.2  A Complete Set of Final As-Built Drawings
   2.3  Construction Contract
   2.4  Construction Correspondence
   2.5  Project Permits
   2.6  Change Order Documentation
   2.7  Processed Pay Requests
   2.8  Owner’s Representative Daily Reports and Photo Logs
   2.9  All Water Main Flushing and Testing Supporting Documentation
   2.10 Sewer Line Pressure Testing or CCTV Records
   2.11 Additional Pay Quantity Documentation
   2.12 All Material Test and Special Inspection Reports
   2.13 Certificate of Substantial Completion and Certificate of Completion

3  DOT&PF CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION
   3.1  Construction Overview
   3.2  Project Funding & Expenditures
   3.3  Preliminary Activities
   3.4  Field Office Set-Up & Recordkeeping
   3.5  Field Lab Set-Up, Equipment & Recordkeeping
   3.6  Managing Staff
   3.7  Administrative Programs
   3.8  Contract Administration in the Office
   3.9  Contract Administration in the Field
   3.10 Documenting & Reporting the Contractor’s Progress
   3.11 Sampling and Testing the Contractor’s Work
   3.12 Contractor Payments
3.13 Contract Changes

3.14 Contract Time

3.15 Final Field Construction Activities

3.16 Project Closeout

4 DOT&PF’S FHWA PROJECT CLOSEOUT PACKAGE

4.1 Final Construction Report

4.1.1. Final Estimate Assembly / Package

  * Certification of Final Estimate
  * Contractor’s Release
  * Summary of Quantities
  * Materials Diploma / Materials Certificate
  * As-built plans
  * Department of Labor tax Clearance
  * Department of Revenue tax Clearance
  * Department of Labor Notice of Completion
  * Alaska Railroad Release

4.1.2. Final Construction Report Summary Sheet

4.1.3 Report on Design Recommendations

4.1.4. Report on Claims

4.1.5. Explanation of Overruns and Underruns

4.1.6. Summary of Change Documents

4.1.7. ROW Clearance Forms

5 MEMO TO DOT&PF QA ENGINEER FOR MATERIAL SUBMITTAL

5.1 Materials Closeout Includes

5.1.1 Final Progress Estimate – Summary of Quantities

5.1.2. Copy of All Executed / Signed Change Orders

5.1.3. Completed Material Summary / Materials Testing Summary

5.1.4. All Field Test Results

5.1.5. All Lab Test Results

5.1.6. Project Materials Report (PMR) for Minor Quantity Items

5.1.7. All Correspondence Related to Failing Materials

5.1.8. Completed Materials Certification List (MCL) – Signed
5.1.9 Materials Certificates
5.1.10 Memorandum of Exceptions

6 CA PROJECT RECORDS DELIVERED TO DOT&PF QUALITY ASSURANCE UNIT SATISFYING COMPLETE PROJECT CLOSEOUT

6.1 Contract Document Files
6.1.1. Conformed Contract
6.1.2. Letter of Award and Notice to Proceed (NTP)
6.1.3. Approved Subcontracts
6.1.4. Project Funding Agreement and Bid Tab
6.1.5. Progress Schedules
6.1.6. Directives
6.1.7. Permits
6.1.8. Change Orders & Supplemental Agreements – Complete
6.1.9. Change Orders & Supplemental Agreements – Backup
6.1.10. Requests for Proposals (RFPs)
6.1.11. Interim Work Authorizations (IWAs)
6.1.12. Utility Agreements
6.1.13. Professional Service Agreements
6.1.14. Approved Traffic Control Plans (TCPs)
6.1.15. TCS and Flagger Certifications
6.1.16. Truck MAVW & Scale Certification
6.1.17. Preconstruction Conference

6.2 Correspondence and Report Files
6.2.1. Correspondence – State to Contractor
6.2.2. Correspondence – Contractor to State
6.2.3. Requests for Information (RFIs)
6.2.4. Claims
6.2.5. Correspondence with District
6.2.6. Correspondence with Government Agency
6.2.7. Correspondence with Local Utilities
6.2.8. Progress Meetings
6.2.9. Safety Meetings
6.2.10. Inspector Daily Reports
6.2.11. Project Engineer’s Diary
6.2.12. Regional QA Review Memo/Responses
6.2.13. Labor Compliance Interview
6.2.14. Commercially Useful Function (CUF)
6.2.15. EEO Utilization
6.2.16. Non-Material Submittals
6.2.17. Certified Payroll
6.2.18. SWPPP
6.2.19. Final Closeout Package

6.3 Pay Estimate, Quantity, and Pay Item Files
6.3.1. Pay Item Files
6.3.2. Lump Sum Agreements
6.3.3. Stockpiled Materials
6.3.4 Progress Estimates
6.3.5. Time and Materials Work

6.4 Material Files
6.4.1. Submittals
6.4.2. Materials Certifications
6.4.3. Materials Testing
6.4.4. Fabrication Testing

6.5 Administrative Files
6.5.1. Master Index
6.5.2. State Funding Information
6.5.3. Federal Funding Agreements
6.5.4. Letters of Assignment
6.5.5. Personnel Certifications
6.5.6. Overtime Requests
6.5.7. Personal
6.5.8. Stock Requests
6.5.9. Misc. Bills & Vouchers
6.5.10. Equipment Inventory
6.5.11. Photographic Records
6.5.12. Safety
6.6 Design and Project Development Data Files
   6.1.1. Material Sites, Mining Plans, Blasting
   6.1.2. Design Files, Pre-Award Information, and Computations
   6.1.3. Right of Way Information
   6.1.4. Project Survey Data

7 INTERNAL DOT&PF FINAL CLOSEOUT PACKAGE
   7.1 Final Construction Report Routing and Distribution Sheet
   7.2 Final Acceptance Letter
   7.3 Transmittal of Final Documents Letter – Certified Mail
   7.4 FHWA Project Closeout Package Checklist
   7.5 Final Estimate Review Report
   7.6 Certification of Final Estimate
   7.7 Final Progress Estimate – Summary of Quantities
   7.8 Estimate Summary by Funding Report
   7.9 Contractor’s Release
   7.10 Final Construction Report Summary Sheet
   7.11 Letter of Project Completion
   7.12 Division 670 Manufacturer Warranty for Inlaid MMA Pavement Markings (if applicable)
   7.13 Explanation of Overruns and Underruns
   7.14 Summary of Change Documents
   7.15 Project Records Index
   7.16 DBE Clearance
   7.17 Project Materials Certification / Materials Diploma
   7.18 Materials Testing Summary / Materials Acceptance Summary
   7.19 Materials Certification List
   7.20 Department of Revenue Tax Clearance
   7.21 Department of Labor Tax Clearance Request Form for Contractors
7.22 Department of Labor Tax Clearance – Notice of Completion
7.23 As-Built Memorandum to Quality Assurance Engineer
7.24 Right-of-Way Clearance (if applicable)
7.25 Land Survey Monument Record (if applicable)
7.26 Environmental Clearance Forms
7.27 Catalog Cuts and/or M&O Manuals Transmittal Letter (if applicable)
7.28 FHWA – Final Inspection of Federal-aid Project
7.29 Project History
7.30 Lessons Learned / Report on Design Recommendations
7.31 Report on Claims (if applicable)
7.32 Alaska Railroad Release (if applicable)
7.33 Copy of Piledriving Records (if applicable)

8 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
8.1 SWPPP Review and Approval
8.2 DOT&PF SWPPP Inspections
8.3 Municipal SWPPP Inspections
8.4 SWPPP Administration
8.5 SWPPP Closeout

9 DOT&PF STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION
9.1 General Provision Division
  9.1.1. Bidding Requirements and Conditions
  9.1.2. Award and Execution of Contract
  9.1.3. Scope of Work
  9.1.4. Control of Work
  9.1.5. Control of Materials
  9.1.6. Legal Relations and Responsibility to Public
  9.1.7. Prosecution and Progress
  9.1.8. Measurement and Payment
  9.1.9. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (Dbe) Program
9.2 **Earthwork Division**

9.2.1. Clearing and Grubbing
9.2.2. Removal of Structures and Obstructions
9.2.3. Excavation and Embankment
9.2.4. Structure Excavation for Conduits and Minor Structures
9.2.5. Excavation and Fill for Major Structures
9.2.6. Filter Blanket

9.3 **Bases Division**

9.3.1. Aggregate Base and Surface Course
9.3.2. Subgrade Modification
9.3.3. Reconditioning
9.3.4. Subbase
9.3.5. Stockpiled Material
9.3.6. Asphalt Treated Base Course
9.3.7. Emulsified Asphalt Treated Base Course
9.3.8. Crushed Asphalt Base Course

9.4 **Asphalt Pavement and Surface Treatments Division**

9.4.1. Hot Mix Asphalt Pavement
9.4.2. Tack Coat
9.4.3. Prime Coat
9.4.4. Seal Coat
9.4.5. Surface Treatment
9.4.6. Rumble Strips

9.5 **Structures Division**

9.5.1. Concrete for Structures
9.5.2. Prestressing Concrete
9.5.3. Reinforcing Steel
9.5.4. Steel Structures
9.5.5. Piling
9.5.6. Timber Structures
9.5.7. Bridge Barriers and Railing
9.5.8. Waterproofing Membrane
9.5.9. Removal of Concrete Bridge Deck
9.5.10. Mechanically Stabilized Earth Wall
9.5.11. Forms and Falsework
9.5.12. Field Painting of Steel Structures
9.5.13. Concrete Surface Treatments
9.5.14. Drilled Shafts
9.5.15. Expansion Joints, Bearings, and Waterstops
9.5.16. Temporary Crossings
9.5.17. Commercial Concrete

9.6 Miscellaneous Construction Division
9.6.1. Metal Flume Downdrains
9.6.2. Structural Plate Pipe
9.6.3. Culverts and Storm Drains
9.6.4. Manholes and Inlets
9.6.5. Underdrains
9.6.6. Guardrail
9.6.7. Fences
9.6.8. Sidewalks
9.6.9. Curbing
9.6.10. Ditch Lining
9.6.11. Riprap
9.6.12. Sacked Concrete Slope Protection
9.6.13. Monuments and Markers
9.6.14. Concrete Barrier
9.6.15. Standard Signs
9.6.16. Thaw Pipe and Thaw Wires
9.6.17. Railroad Crossings
9.6.18. Seeding
9.6.19. Soil Stabilization
9.6.20. Topsoil
9.6.21. Planting Trees and Shrubs
9.6.22. Rest Area Facilities
9.6.23. Block Sodding
9.6.24. Calcium Chloride for Dust Control
9.6.25. Pipe Hand Rail
9.6.26. Sanitary Sewer System
9.6.27. Water System
9.6.28. Geotextile for Embankment and Roadway Separation, Stabilization and Reinforcement
9.6.29. Geotextile for Subsurface Drainage and Erosion Control
9.6.30. Paving Fabric
9.6.31. Silt Fence
9.6.32. Geogrid for Embankment and Roadway Stabilization and Reinforcement
9.6.33. Insulation Board
9.6.34. Gabions
9.6.35. Driveways
9.6.36. Mobilization and Demobilization
9.6.37. Erosion, Sediment, and Pollution Control
9.6.38. Construction Surveying and Monuments
9.6.39. Traffic Maintenance
9.6.40. Services to be Furnished by the Contractor
9.6.41. CPM Scheduling
9.6.42. Signals and Lighting
9.6.43. Electric Load Centers
9.6.44. Traffic Markings

9.7 Materials Division
9.7.1. Hydraulic Cement and Supplementary Cementitious Materials
9.7.2. Asphalt Materials
9.7.3. Aggregates
9.7.4. Masonry Units
9.7.5. Joint Materials
9.7.6. Concrete and Plastic Pipe
9.7.7. Metal Pipe
9.7.8. Paints
9.7.9. Reinforcing Steel and Wire Rope
9.7.10. Fence and Guardrail
9.7.11. Concrete Curing Materials and Admixtures
9.7.12. Miscellaneous
9.7.13. Structural Timber, Lumber, and Piling
9.7.14. Preservatives for Timber
9.7.15. Steel for Piles
9.7.16. Structural Steel
9.7.17. Steel Forgings
9.7.18. Steel, Gray-Iron and Malleable-Iron Castings
9.7.20. Prestressing Steel and Fittings
9.7.21. Bridge Railing
9.7.22. Waterstops
9.7.23. Seed
9.7.24. Fertilizer
9.7.25. Topsoil
9.7.26. Soil Stabilization Material
9.7.27. Geosynthetics
9.7.28. Sign Materials
9.7.29. Waterproofing Membrane
9.7.30. Signals and Lighting Materials

10 DOT&PF STANDARD CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS

10.1 Bridges and Structures
10.1.1. Cantilevered Retaining Wall Type I
10.1.2. Cantilevered Retaining Wall Type I – High Seismic Cantilevered Retaining Wall Type II
10.1.3. Cantilevered Retaining Wall Type II – High Seismic Cantilevered Retaining Wall Type III
10.1.4. Cantilevered Retaining Wall Type III – High Seismic Cantilevered Retaining Wall Details
10.1.5. Bin Walls

10.2 Construction Barricades and Signing
10.2.1. Location of Double Traffic Fine Signs
10.2.2. Interim Pavement Markings

10.3 Drainage Culverts and Sewers
10.3.1. Culvert Pipe & Arch Installation Details
10.3.2. Pipe And Arch Tables
10.3.3. Pipe and Arch Tables (Steel Pipe)
10.3.4. Pipe and Arch Tables (Plastic Pipe)
10.3.5. Pipe and Arch Tables (Spiral Rib Pipe)
10.3.6. Culvert End Sections
10.3.7. Culvert Bevels
10.3.8. Culvert Marker Post
10.3.9. Culvert Circulating Thaw Pipe
10.3.10. Culvert Thaw Wire Installation
10.3.11. Remote Thaw Wire Installation
10.3.12. Manholes, Frame and Cover
10.3.13. Stormdrain Manhole Frame and Grate Details
10.3.14. Curb Inlet Box, Frame & Grate
10.3.15. Inlet Frames and Grates
10.3.16. High-Capacity Curb Inlet Box Frame and Grate
10.3.17. High-Capacity Inlet Frames and Grate (Field and Gutter Inlets) Type “A” Inlet Boxes
10.3.18. Headwalls – Cast-in-Place – Type I
10.3.19. Headwalls – Cast-in-Place – Type II
10.3.20. Headwalls – Precast – Type I
10.3.21. Headwalls – Precast – Type II
10.3.22. Type “C” Inlet Box, 18 Inch Pipe on 4:1 Slope
10.3.23. Type “D” Outlet Box, 18 Inch Pipe on 4:1 Slope
10.3.24. Type “C” Inlet Box, 24 Inch Pipe on 4:1 Slope
10.3.25. Type “D” Outlet Box, 24 Inch Pipe on 4:1 Slope

10.4 Erosion and Landscaping
10.4.1.1 Slope Rounding and Warping

10.5 Fences and Gates
10.5.1. Chain Link Fence
10.5.2. Chain Link Fence Gate
10.5.3. Woven Wire Fence
10.5.4. Woven Wire Fence with Barbed Wire

10.6 Guardrail, Median Barriers and Crash Cushions
10.6.1. Standard Guardrail Hardware (Nuts, Bolts, and Washers)
10.6.2. Standard Guardrail Hardware (Rail and Splices)
10.6.3. Standard Guardrail Hardware (Terminal Connectors)
10.6.4. Standard Guardrail Hardware (Miscellaneous)
10.6.5. Standard Guardrail Hardware (Flexible Delineators)
10.6.6. MASH Box Beam Guardrail
10.6.7. Steel Post W31 Guardrail
10.6.8. Wood Post W31 Guardrail
10.6.9. Steel Post Modified Thrie-Beam Guardrail
10.6.10. Guardrail Post Installation
10.6.11. W31 Guardrail Transition Details
10.6.12. W31 Downstream End Anchor
10.6.13. W31 Guardrail Buried-In-Backslope Terminal
10.6.14. Widening for Guardrail End Terminals
10.6.15. W31 Short Radius Guardrail
10.6.16. Long Span W31 Guardrail
10.6.17. MASH Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition
10.6.18. MASH “F” Shape Concrete Barrier

10.7 Intersections, Approaches and Pavement Design
10.7.1. Curb Cut, Curb and Gutter and Curb Ramp Details
10.7.2. Parallel Curb Ramp
10.7.3. Perpendicular Curb Ramp
10.7.4. Accessible Parking
10.7.5. Superelevation Transition

10.8 Luminaires and Lighting Standards
10.8.1. Lighting Standards
10.8.2. Junction Boxes for Electroliers and Traffic Signals
10.8.3. Type 1 Load Center

10.9 Miscellaneous
10.9.1. Survey Monument
10.9.2. Brass Cap Monument and Monument Case
10.9.3. Mailbox Location
10.9.4. Mailbox Installation
10.9.5. Mailbox Mounting and Anchoring Details
10.9.6. Cable Safety Rail

10.10 Sign and Sign Supports
10.10.1 Sign Framing
10.10.2 Bracing for Signs Mounted on Single Post
10.10.3 Post Mounted Sign Offset and Height
10.10.4 Sign to Sign Post Connections
10.10.5 Pole and Mastarm Sign Mounting
10.10.6 Light Sign Structure Post Embedment
10.10.7 Sign Framing
10.10.8 Bracing for Signs Mounted on Single Post
10.10.9 Post Mounted Sign Offset and Height
10.10.10 Sign to Sign Post Connections
10.10.11 Pole and Mastarm Sign Mounting
10.10.12 Light Sign Structure Post Embedment
10.10.13 Sign Post Base and Foundation
10.10.14 Sign Post Base and Foundation Behind Barrier
10.10.15 Overhead Sign Mounting

10.11 Traffic Control
10.11.1. Guide Marker Placement
10.11.2. Recessed Pavement Markers
10.11.3. Pavement Marking Applications
10.11.4. Pavement Marking Applications
10.11.5. Pavement Marking Symbol Dimensions
10.11.6. Crosswalk Location at Signalized Intersections
10.11.7. Milled Rumble Strips
10.11.8. Traffic Signal Hardware
10.11.9. Traffic Signal and Accessories Foundation
10.11.10. Loop Detector Installation
10.11.11. Controller Cabinet Foundation
10.11.12. Concrete 42-inch Dia. Signal Pole Foundation
10.11.13. Concrete 48-inch Dia. Signal Pole Foundation
10.11.14. Signal Pole with 15 to 35’ Mastarm
10.11.15. Signal Pole with 40 to 50’ Mastarm
10.11.16. Signal Pole with 55 to 65’ Mastarm
10.11.17. Signal Pole with 70 to 75’ Mastarm

10.12 Utilities
   10.12.1. Thrust Blocks

11 Municipal Standard Specs and Standard Construction Drawings

11.1 Municipal Standard Specifications – General Provisions Division
   11.1.1. Bidding Requirements and Conditions
   11.1.2. Award and Execution of Contract
   11.1.3. Scope of Work
   11.1.4. Control of Work
   11.1.5. Legal Relations and Responsibilities
   11.1.6. Measurement and Payment
   11.1.7. Forms

11.2 Municipal Standard Specifications – Earthwork Division
   11.2.1. Exploratory Test Pits
   11.2.2. Clearing and Grubbing
   11.2.3. Removal of Trees
   11.2.4. Removal of Sidewalk
   11.2.5. Removal of Curb and Gutter
   11.2.6. Removal of Pavement
   11.2.7. Grading Existing Surfaces
   11.2.8. Dewatering
   11.2.9. Trench Excavation and Backfill
   11.2.10. Trench Excavation, Backfill and Compaction for Service Connections
   11.2.11. Furnish Trench Backfill
   11.2.12. Furnish Bedding Material
   11.2.13. Furnish Filter Material
   11.2.14. Drain/Filter Rock
   11.2.15. Furnish Foundation Backfill
   11.2.16. Unclassified Fill and Backfill
   11.2.17. Classified Fill and Backfill
   11.2.18. Leveling Course
   11.2.19. Cobbles
   11.2.20. Riprap
11.2.21. Geotextile Fabric
11.2.22. Insulation
11.2.23. Disposal of Unusable or Surplus Material
11.2.24. Reconstruct Driveway
11.2.25. Pipe Casing
11.2.26. Shoring, Sheeting and Bracing/Shoring

11.3 **Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Earthwork Division**

11.3.1. Typical Sections – 20'/24’ Strip Paved and RAP Streets
11.3.2. Typical Sections – Secondary Streets
11.3.3. Typical Sections – Primary Streets
11.3.4. Typical Section – Deep Excavation
11.3.5. Typical Section – Alley
11.3.6. Typical Section – Pathway
11.3.7. Driveway Connection Detail
11.3.8. Trench Backfill and Bedding Layout
11.3.9. Pipe Insulation
11.3.10. Class B Bedding Material
11.3.11. Class C Bedding Material
11.3.12. Class D Bedding Material
11.3.13. Foundation Materials
11.3.14. Type II Classified Fill and Backfill
11.3.15. Type II-A Classified Fill and Backfill
11.3.16. Type V Classified Fill and Backfill
11.3.17. Type VI Classified Fill and Backfill
11.3.18. Leveling Course
11.3.19. Pipe Encasement
11.3.20. Compaction of Backfill within the Right-of-Way

11.4 **Municipal Standard Specifications – Portland Cement Concrete Division**

11.4.1. PCC Curb and Gutter & PCC Valley Gutter
11.4.2. PCC Sidewalk
11.4.3. PCC Curb Ramps
11.4.4. Structures and Retaining Walls
11.4.5. Concrete Masonry Retaining Walls
11.4.6. Concrete – Building Structures
11.4.7. PCC Cluster Mailbox Base
11.4.8. Concrete Parking Bumpers
11.4.9. Colored Concrete
11.4.10. Sidewalk Joint Sealant

11.5 **Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Portland Cement Concrete Division**

11.5.1. Curb and Gutter Cross Sections
11.5.2. Curb and Gutter Termination Transition
11.5.3. Typical Section – Valley Gutter
11.5.4. Typical Section – Median/Curb Nose
11.5.5. Steel Curb Facing
11.5.6. Driveway Curb-cut with Attached Sidewalk
11.5.7. Driveway Curb Return with Attached Sidewalk
11.5.8. Perpendicular Curb Ramp
11.5.9. Parallel Curb Ramp
11.5.10. Accessible (Type 1A/2A) Curb and Gutter Sections
11.5.11. Curb Ramp Clearances
11.5.12. Pedestrian Push Button Access
11.5.13. Curb Type Retaining Wall – 2’ to 3’
11.5.14. Sidewalk Retaining Wall – 6” to 24”
11.5.15. Sidewalk Retaining Wall – 2’ to 5’

11.6 **Municipal Standard Specifications – Asphalt Surfacing Division**

11.6.1. Seal Coat
11.6.2. Prime Coat
11.6.3. Tack Coat
11.6.4. Crack and Joint Sealant
11.6.5. Asphalt Concrete Pavement / Hot Mix Asphalt
11.6.6. Permanent Asphalt Speed Humps
11.6.7. Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP)
11.6.8. Bituminous Surface Treatment
11.6.9. Pavement Rotomilling
11.6.10. Remove and Replace Asphalt Surfacing
11.6.11. In-Place Full Depth AC Pavement Reclamation and Leveling Course
11.6.12. Pavement Seam Repair Infrared Method
11.7 Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Asphalt Surfacing Division
11.7.1. Typical Resurfacing Detail – Gravel
11.7.2. Typical Resurfacing Detail – Non-Gravel Surfaces
11.7.3. Standard Speed Hump

11.8 Municipal Standard Specifications – Sanitary Sewers Division
11.8.1. Furnish and Install Pipe
11.8.2. Sanitary Sewer Concrete Structures
11.8.3. Sanitary Sewer Pipe Connections and Extensions
11.8.4. Sanitary Sewer Flow Control
11.8.5. Existing Manhole Modifications
11.8.6. Sewer Closed Circuit Television Inspections
11.8.7. Remove and Dispose of Existing Cesspools or Septic Tanks

11.9 Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Sanitary Sewers Division
11.9.1. Sanitary Manhole - Type A - Pipe 8” to 24”
11.9.2. Sanitary Manhole - Type B - Pipe Dia 30” to 36”
11.9.3. Sanitary Manhole - Type C - Pipe Dia 40” to 48”
11.9.4. Type A and B Manhole Base Plan
11.9.5. Manhole Heights
11.9.6. Copolymer Polypropylene Manhole Step
11.9.7. Sewer Service Connect for On-Site Lift Station 1-1/2” and 2”
11.9.8. Manhole Cover
11.9.9. Manhole Frame
11.9.10. Watertight Manhole Ring
11.9.11. External Drop Connection
11.9.12. Internal Drop Connect
11.9.13. Typical Beaver Slide Manhole
11.9.14. Sanitary Sewer Service (Complete)
11.9.15. Large Diameter Lateral Connection to Concrete Pipe
11.9.17. Sanitary Sewer Service Connection (R.O.W. Only)
11.9.18. Sanitary Sewer Cleanout Cover
11.9.19. Sanitary Cleanout
11.9.20. Special Manhole and Cleanout Details (inside protective well radius)
11.9.21.  Horse Shoe Sanitary Sewer Manhole Detail
11.9.22.  Contractor Field Installation Notes Sanitary Example
11.9.23.  Manhole Cone Adjustment
11.9.24.  Manhole Ring Adjustment

11.10  **Municipal Standard Specifications – Storm Drain Systems Division**

11.10.1.  Furnish and Install Pipe
11.10.2.  Subdrains
11.10.3.  Connections to Existing Manholes or Catch Basins
11.10.4.  Manholes and Catch Basin Manholes
11.10.5.  Watertight Manhole Frames and Cover
11.10.6.  Adjust Storm Drain Manhole Cone to Finish Grade
11.10.7.  Adjust Storm Drain Manhole Ring to Finish Grade
11.10.8.  Construct Catch Basin
11.10.9.  Relocate Catch Basin or Catch Basin Manhole
11.10.10. Remove Manhole or Catch Basin
11.10.11. Adjust Catch Basin to Finish Grade
11.10.12. Abandon Catch Basin Lead
11.10.13. Construct Storm Cleanout
11.10.14. Adjust Storm Cleanout to Finish Grade
11.10.15. Construct Drop Connection
11.10.16. Flume Downdrain
11.10.17. Footing Drain Services
11.10.18. Construct Open Ditch
11.10.19. Culvert
11.10.20. Fin Drain
11.10.21. Oil and Grit Separator
11.10.22. Heat Trace System
11.10.23. Prepare Pipe for Lining
11.10.24. Storm Drain Closed Circuit Television Inspections
11.10.25. Cured in Place Pipe Lining

11.11  **Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Storm Drain Systems Division**

11.11.1.  CPEP Storm Pipe Connection
11.11.2.  Corrugated Metal Pipe Band Detail
11.11.3.  Subdrain
11.11.4. Storm Drain Manhole Type I - Pipe 24”
11.11.5. Storm Drain Manhole Type II - 24” to 36”
11.11.6. Storm Drain Manhole Type III
11.11.7. Storm Drain Manhole Cover
11.11.8. Storm Drain Top Intake Cover
11.11.9. Storm Drain Beehive Intake Cover
11.11.10. Manhole Heights
11.11.11. Precast Concrete Reducing Slab (72” or 48” to 26”)
11.11.12. Precast Concrete Reducing Slab (72” to 48”)
11.11.13. Precast Concrete Two Hole Reducing Slab (72” to two 25 1/2”) 55-14
11.11.14. Precast Concrete Reducing Slab (112” to 72”)
11.11.15. Precast Concrete Reducing Slab (140” to 72”)
11.11.16. Precast Concrete Reducing Slab (168” to 72”)
11.11.17. Manhole Cone Adjustment
11.11.18. Manhole Ring Adjustment
11.11.19. Catch Basin Inlet Frame and Hood for Type 1 Curb and Gutter
11.11.20. Catch Basin Inlet Grates for Type 1 Curb and Gutter
11.11.21. Catch Basin Inlet for Type 2 Curb and Gutter
11.11.22. Precast Catch Basin
11.11.23. Storm Drain Cleanout
11.11.24. Storm Drain Drop Connection (2’ Min Drop)
11.11.25. Storm Drain Drop Connection (4’ Min Drop)
11.11.26. Footing Drain Service Detail
11.11.27. Driveway Culvert Details

11.12 Municipal Standard Specifications – Water Systems Division
11.12.1. Furnish and Install Pipe
11.12.2. Furnish and Install Valves
11.12.3. Furnish and Install Fire Hydrants
11.12.4. Water Service Lines
11.12.5. Furnish and Install Galvanic Anodes
11.12.6. Temporary Water Systems
11.12.7. Decommission Water System and Components

11.13 Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Water Systems Division
11.13.1. MJ Cap and Plug
11.13.2. Relocate Water Main (Sewer/Storm Drain)
11.13.3. Tracer Wire
11.13.4. Joint Bonding
11.13.5. Typical Pipe Angle Marker
11.13.6. Thrust Block
11.13.7. Mid-Span Thrust Block
11.13.8. Typical Valve Box (VB)
11.13.9. Dust Pan with Thaw Wires
11.13.10. Single Pumper “L” Base Fire Hydrant Assembly
11.13.11. Double Pumper “L” Base Fire Hydrant Assembly
11.13.12. Fire Hydrant Guard Posts
11.13.13. 1” Water Service Connect
11.13.14. Water Service Connect - 1-1/2” and 2”
11.13.15. Irrigation System
11.13.16. Adjust Service Key Box
11.13.17. Small Diameter Water Service Under Foundation
11.13.18. Large Diameter Water Service Under Foundation
11.13.20. Anode Detail
11.13.21. Anode Wire Connection

11.14  Municipal Standard Specifications – Construction Surveying Division

11.14.1. Project Control
11.14.2. Field Notes
11.14.3. Party Chief’s Daily Diary
11.14.4. Clearing and Grubbing Stakes
11.14.5. Cross Sections
11.14.6. Slope Stakes
11.14.7. Grade Stakes
11.14.10. Sanitary Sewer Systems
11.14.11. Major Structures
11.15  Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Construction Surveying Division

11.15.1.  Field Book Index
11.15.2.  Control Reference Points
11.15.3.  Monument Recovery and Horizontal Control
11.15.4.  Vertical Control
11.15.5.  Clearing Limits
11.15.6.  X-Sections/Slope Stakes
11.15.7.  Grade Stakes/Blue Tops
11.15.8.  Drainage Structures
11.15.9.  Retaining Wall Structures
11.15.10. Storm Sewer Layout
11.15.11. Drainage Stakes
11.15.12. Curb and Gutter Stakes
11.15.13. Water Line Layout

11.16  Municipal Standard Specifications – Miscellaneous Division

11.16.1.  Adjust Gas Valve Key Box to Finish Grade
11.16.2.  Adjust Gas Valve Manhole to Finish Grade
11.16.3.  Adjust Electric/Telephone Manhole
11.16.4.  Adjust Electrical Vault
11.16.5.  Adjust Utiliduct Lid
11.16.6.  Remove Pipe
11.16.7.  Reset Fence
11.16.8.  Reset Parking Meters
11.16.9.  Traffic Markings
11.16.10. Standard Signs
11.16.11. Traffic Maintenance
11.16.12. Bollards
11.16.13. Remove Guardrail
11.16.15. Temporary Group Mailboxes
11.16.16. Relocate Mailbox
11.16.17. Chain Link Fence
11.16.18. Silt Fence
11.16.19. Soil Stabilization
11.16.20. Flexible Delineators

11.17 **Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Miscellaneous Division**

11.17.1. Standard Location for New Utilities
11.17.2. Locations for Existing Utilities (Approval Required for New Utilities)
11.17.3. Typical Water and Sewer Locations
11.17.4. Adjustment for Gas Valve Key Box (1/4” thru 4”)
11.17.5. Adjustment for Gas Valve Manhole
11.17.6. Standard Method for Shoring Phone/Conduit ACS Approved Method and Procedure #86-1
11.17.7. Striping Notes
11.17.8. Intersection Approach Striping
11.17.9. Left-Turn Pocket Approach Striping
11.17.10. Raised Median Approach and Two Lanes Drive to Right Striping
11.17.11. Left-Turn Pocket Approach from Two Way Center Left-Turn Lane Striping
11.17.12. Two Way Center Left Turn Lane Striping
11.17.13. Passing on Both Sides of an Obstruction
11.17.14. Layout Templates for Stencils
11.17.15. Approach to Railroad Crossing on Two-Lane Two-Way Highway
11.17.16. Typical Uncurbed Return with Pathway
11.17.17. Typical Uncurbed Return without Pathway
11.17.18. Typical Curb Return with Sidewalk
11.17.19. Typical Curb Return without Sidewalk
11.17.20. Post Mounted Sign with Shoulder
11.17.21. Post Mounted Sign with No Shoulder
11.17.22. Post Mounted Sign with Guardrail
11.17.23. Post Mounted Sign Curb without Sidewalk
11.17.24. Post Mounted Sign Curb with Parkway and Sidewalk
11.17.25. Post Mounted Sign Curb with Sidewalk without Parkway
11.17.27. Post Mounted Sign Secondary Panel Height and Sign Positioning
11.17.28. Street Name Signs
11.17.29. Sign on Round Post
11.17.30. Street Name on Round Post
11.17.31. Concrete Foundation for Sign Post
11.17.32. 12” Plate Installation Detail with Supplemental 8” D3-D1
11.17.33. Signs Signal Pole Mast Arm Sign Mounting
11.17.34. Wood Bollard
11.17.35. Removable Wood Bollard
11.17.36. Removable Steel Rectangular Bollard
11.17.37. Removal Circular Bollard
11.17.38. Guard Rail Detail
11.17.39. Cluster Mailbox Location
11.17.40. Fence Details

11.18 Municipal Standard Specifications – Landscaping Improvements Division
11.18.1. Landscaping
11.18.2. Topsoil
11.18.3. Seeding
11.18.4. Sod
11.18.5. Landscape Edging
11.18.6. Landscape Fabric
11.18.7. Willow Staking
11.18.8. Site Furnishings
11.18.9. Modular Concrete Block Wall
11.18.10. Boulders

11.19 Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Landscape Improvements Division
11.19.1. Shrub Planting Detail
11.19.2. Conifer Planting Detail
11.19.3. Deciduous Tree Planting Detail
11.19.4. Boulder

11.20 Municipal Standard Specifications – Traffic Signals and Illumination Division
11.20.1. Excavation and Backfilling
11.20.2. Removing and Replacing Improvements
11.20.3. Foundations
11.20.4. Mast Arms, Poles, and Steel Pedestals and Posts
11.20.5. Conduit
11.20.6. Junction Boxes
11.20.7. Expansion Fittings
11.20.8. Conductors
11.20.9. Wiring
11.20.10. Fused Splice Connections
11.20.11. Bonding and Grounding
11.20.12. Load Centers
11.20.13. Wood Poles
11.20.14. Miscellaneous
11.20.15. Controller Assemblies
11.20.16. Vehicle Detectors
11.20.17. Vehicle Signal Heads
11.20.18. Pedestrian Signals
11.20.19. Pedestrian Pushbuttons
11.20.20. Flashing Beacons
11.20.21. Luminaires
11.20.22. Ballasts
11.20.23. Falsework Lighting
11.20.24. Interconnect Cabinet
11.20.25. Protective Post Assembly
11.20.26. Salvaging Electrical Equipment

11.21 Municipal Standard Construction Drawings – Traffic Signals and Illumination Division
11.21.1. Saw Cut Trench
11.21.2. Concrete Foundation Load Center Type 1A
11.21.3. Concrete Foundation Load Center Type 1
11.21.4. Concrete Foundation Type 1 Load Center Section AA
11.21.5. TS2 Controller Cabinet Foundation
11.21.6. Concrete Foundation TS2 Controller Section AA
11.21.7. Concrete Foundation TS2 Controller Section BB
11.21.8. Vault Type “M” Controller Cabinet Foundation
11.21.9. Poured Concrete Luminaire Pole Foundation
11.21.10. Signal Pole Foundation Details Concrete 42” Diameter
11.21.11. Signal Pole Foundation Notes Concrete 42” Diameter
| 11.21.13. | Driven Steel Pile Light Pole Foundation |
| 11.21.14. | Luminaire Pole Handhole Details |
| 11.21.15. | Pedestrian Push Button Pole |
| 11.21.16. | Signal Pedestal Pole |
| 11.21.17. | Pedestal Signal Pole Slip-Base and Adapter Detail |
| 11.21.18. | Luminaire Clearances |
| 11.21.19. | Flange-Mounted Luminaire Pole |
| 11.21.20. | Luminaire Arm Detail |
| 11.21.21. | Driven Steel Pipe Pole Assemblies |
| 11.21.22. | Flange-Mounted Detail for Concrete Luminaire Base |
| 11.21.23. | Concrete Luminaire Base Slip-Base Detail |
| 11.21.24. | Signal Pole Details |
| 11.21.25. | Signal Pole Notes |
| 11.21.27. | Signal Pole 40’ to 50’ Mastarm Elevation View |
| 11.21.28. | Signal Pole 55’ to 65’ Mastarm Elevation View |
| 11.21.29. | Signal Pole Upper Section Options Part 1 |
| 11.21.30. | Signal Pole Upper Section Options Part 2 |
| 11.21.31. | Side-Mounted Signal Details |
| 11.21.32. | Pedestrian Push Button Assembly |
| 11.21.33. | Post Top and Mast Arm Mounted Signal Details |
| 11.21.34. | HDPE/PVC Transition Detail |
| 11.21.35. | Type IA Junction Box |
| 11.21.36. | Type II Junction Box |
| 11.21.37. | Type III Junction Box |
| 11.21.38. | Post Mounted Load Center - Type 3 |
| 11.21.39. | Post Mounted Load Center - Type 2 |
| 11.21.40. | Pad Mounted Load Center - Type 1A |
| 11.21.41. | Pad Mounted Load Center - Type 1 |
| 11.21.42. | Load Center Wiring Diagram “A” |
| 11.21.43. | Panel Schedule for Wiring Diagram “A” |
| 11.21.44. | Load Center Wiring Diagram “B” |
| 11.21.45. | Panel Schedule for Wiring Diagram “B” |
| 11.21.46. | Load Center Wiring Diagram “C” |
11.21.47. Panel Schedule for Wiring Diagram “C”
11.21.48. Load Center Wiring Diagram “D”
11.21.49. Panel Schedule for Wiring Diagram “D”
11.21.50. Load Center Wiring Diagram “E”
11.21.52. Load Center Wiring Diagram “F”
11.21.53. Panel Schedule for Wiring Diagram “F”
11.21.54. Load Center Wiring Diagram “G”
11.21.55. Panel Schedule for Wiring Diagram “G”
11.21.56. Conduit Encased Loop Detector
11.21.57. Loop Detector Home Run
11.21.58. Opticom Detector Installation Details
11.21.59. Signal Heads
11.21.60. Speed Limit Sign Beacon
11.21.61. Mast Arm Mounted Sign Beacons
11.21.62. Warning Sign Beacon
11.21.63. Splice Detail Loop Detector Leads
11.21.64. Signal Head Wiring Details
11.21.65. Interconnect Cable Termination Cabinet
11.21.66. Protective Post Assembly
VOLUME 4 – PROJECT MANAGEMENT

1 CLIENT, SUBCONSULTANT & CONTRACT MANAGEMENT
   1.1 Owner’s Representation

2 SCOPE MANAGEMENT
   2.1 Owner’s Representation

3 SCHEDULE MANAGEMENT
   3.1 Owner’s Representation

4 QUALITY MANAGEMENT
   4.1 Owner’s Representation

5 RISK MANAGEMENT
   5.1 Owner’s Representation

6 COST & EARNED VALUE MANAGEMENT
   6.1 Owner’s Representation

7 STAKEHOLDER & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
   7.1 Owner’s Representation

8 PROJECT INITIATION
   8.1 Owner’s Representation

9 PROJECT PLANNING
   9.1 Owner’s Representation

10 PROJECT EXECUTION
    10.1 Owner’s Representation

11 PROJECT MONITORING & CONTROLLING
    11.1 Owner’s Representation

12 PROJECT CLOSEOUT
    12.1 Owner’s Representation