

SPECIAL REPORT NO. 4

CONTRACT NO. AA550-CT6-61

485

ALASKA OCS SOCIOECONOMIC STUDIES PROGRAM
SMALL COMMUNITY POPULATION IMPACT MODEL

PREPARED FOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
ALASKA OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OFFICE

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC THROUGH THE
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE
5285 PORT ROYAL ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office, in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its content or use thereof.

ALASKA OCS SOCIOECONOMIC STUDIES PROGRAM
SMALL COMMUNITY POPULATION IMPACT MODEL

Prepared by
Lee Huskey and Jim Kerr
Institute of Social and Economic Research
University of Alaska

June 1980

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	VII
INTRODUCTION	1
SCIMP: A SMALL COMMUNITY IMPACT MODEL FOR ALASKA COMMUNITIES	5
Introduction	5
The Baseline Population.	6
The Development Sector	14
The Operations Sector	19
The Secondary Sector.	25
Summation Sector	31
A FRAMEWORK FOR PARAMETER SELECTION	35
Introduction	35
Economic Base Multipliers	37
Labor Response Rates.	44
Conclusion	49
BERING-NORTON OCS LEASE SALE APPLICATION.	51
Introduction	51
Base Case Growth of the Nome Census Division.	51
Base Case Growth	53
OCS Impact	57
Sensitivity Tests.	59
APPENDIX A: PROGRAM LISTING FROM SMALL COMMUNITY IMPACT MODEL.	A-1
APPENDIX B: OUTPUT FROM SMALL COMMUNITY IMPACT MODEL.	B-1
REFERENCES.	R-1

LIST OF TABLES

1. SCIMP Output Reports	34
2. Support Sector Employment Per Basic Sector Employee	41
3. Alaska Multipliers	43
4. Exogenous Employment Inputs	52
5. Population Distribution, 1980.	54
6. Labor Force Participation Rates	55
7. Base Case Growth	56
8. OCS Operations and Development Employment.	58
9. OCS Development	60
10. Sensitivity of SCIMP Impact Results to Parameter Assumptions	61-62

I. INTRODUCTION

As part of the Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program, the Institute of Social and Economic Research has developed a model which can be used to project the impact of OCS development on small Alaska communities or regions. This model's development was documented in the OCS Technical Report Number 24, Design of a Population Distribution Model. The model has been used on a number of occasions to forecast small-area population growth. It has been used to estimate the effect on the census divisions of OCS development in the Northern and Western Gulf of Alaska. These forecasts were used in the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statements for OCS lease sales number 46 and number 55.

This paper describes an extension of this previous modeling effort. The extension is along two major lines suggested by the application of the model. First, changes to the structure of the model were made. The original model produced reasonable results; this was judged both examination of a historic case and the model's response to changes in parameter values. The model, however, was cumbersome to use and did not produce estimates of all of the information required by the OCS office. Changes in the structure of the model have made it easier to use and have produced projections of the larger amount of information required by the OCS office.

The second extension of the population distribution model involved an examination of some of the important model parameters. Sensitivity

tests performed when the model was applied to the Kenai oil boom experience showed the results of the model to be highly sensitive to parameter assumptions. Two of the most important parameters are the local labor market response rates (the rate at which local labor responds to exogenous employment opportunities) and the multiplier (the rate at which local employment increases to serve the exogenous sector). Since the model is simply an accounting framework which describes the process of growth, the values of these parameters determine the growth projected for the region. The most accurate method of estimating these parameters would involve survey research in the specific area of study. Time and financial constraints often do not allow this effort. For these reasons, a framework for estimating these parameters should be developed. Part of this study begins the development of such a framework; the work is based on specific Alaskan research, as well as research in other areas. It attempts to establish ranges for the selection of the parameters.

Projections of the future can be used by policy makers to determine future demands and needs for services or to examine the effects of alternative policy choices. The model described below can be used for both purposes. It can be used to assess the future need for services in small Alaskan communities, as well as to examine the impact of OCS development under alternative assumptions.

Like all projections, those produced by this model are probabilistic. The structure of the model may not capture all of the important relationships; the parameters may not accurately reflect future structural change;

and the assumed growth of the exogenous variables may not take place.

All of these affect the likelihood of any one forecast's actually occurring, but they do not limit the usefulness of the model to decision makers. The range of possible futures can be examined by testing the sensitivity of any results to important parameters. The sensitivity of a forecast can be tested by examining the effect on the results of changing these parameters.

This report describes a small community impact model (SCIMP) which can be used to describe the population and employment impacts of OCS development. Section II describes the model itself; this section highlights the major changes introduced in this research. The third section provides an idea of the range of values which the important parameters can take. Section IV provides an example of the model in use; the model is used to examine the impact of OCS development in the Bering Sea-Norton Sound on Nome. The sensitivity of the model to various assumptions is examined.

II. SCIMP: A SMALL COMMUNITY IMPACT MODEL FOR ALASKA COMMUNITIES

Introduction

Service bases used in Alaska OCS development will, in most cases, be located in or near small, rural Alaska communities. The undeveloped, remote nature of Alaska coastal communities in major lease sale areas guarantees this. The employment associated with OCS development is, in all cases, large relative to the size of these coastal communities. The in-migration into these small communities as a result of OCS development will be one of the major impacts of OCS activity. Both the social and economic character of these communities may be changed by this population in-migration. The need to assess the population impacts of OCS development was the original reason for the development of a population impact model.

This section describes the most recent version of the small community impact model. Emphasis is placed on explaining the population dynamics described by the model. The model description will highlight changes from the original verison of the model (Huskey, Serow, Volin, 1979).

The present version of the model maintains the most significant features of the original. Changes which have been made reflect both the application of the original model in specific analysis of the impacts of proposed OCS lease sales (Nebesky and Huskey, 1979; and Porter and Huskey, 1979) and discussions with the Alaska OCS office about required information for

these impact analyses. The present model is similar to the original in its logical structure. It is an economic-demographic interaction model which stresses the link between the local labor supply and in-migration. It is also an accounting model with the flexibility of parameter choice which is required for application in alternative areas of rural Alaska. The most significant changes have been made to the baseline sector; these changes make this sector easier to project and more accurately describe the population dynamics.

The present model projects growth in four separate sectors--the baseline sector, the development sector, the operations sector, and the secondary sector. In each of these sectors, the model projects separately the major demographic events--births, deaths, and migration--which determine population change. Population is projected for each period of the projection cycle by adding changes in these demographic events to the previous period population. Although these are separate sectors, they are linked through labor supply and demand considerations in each sector. The remainder of Section II describes each sector of the model.

The Baseline Population

The population which would reside in the community in the absence of OCS development is the baseline population. Changes in the level of this population are important to the analysis of OCS impact for two reasons. First, the relative impact of OCS development will depend on the size of the affected community. A given size OCS development will have a smaller relative effect the larger the community serving as its base. Secondly,

the level of baseline population is important as a source of labor supply for OCS employment.

The community will experience population change in the absence of OCS development. Population will change as a result of three demographic events--births, deaths, and migration. The excess of births over deaths determines the natural change in the population. Births and deaths are a function of the age and sex distribution of the population. Migration into and out of the community also affects the population levels. Migration is affected by changes in the employment opportunities and the amenities in the community. The model describes each of these determinants of population change.

A cohort survival approach is used to model the growth of the baseline population. Natural increase is projected by applying age-sex-race-specific fertility and survival rates to the appropriate cohort population. Once these rates are determined, the levels of births and deaths are determined by the population in a specific cohort. Application of these rates to the previous period population provides a projection of the survived population at the end of the period. Survival and fertility rates are assumed to remain constant throughout the projection period.

Community population levels will also be affected by the level of in- and out-migration. The model accounts for two types of migration: migration determined by employment opportunities and noneconomic migration. Non-economic migration is modeled by applying age-sex-race-specific migration

rates to the survived population; these rates are assumed to remain constant throughout the projection period. Migration rates define the net migration as a function of the cohort-specific survived population. Noneconomic migration includes such types of migration as moving for school or migration connected with retirement.

One of the major improvements in the current model involves the addition of a description of the baseline sector economy. Growth of employment opportunities and the baseline labor force determine the level and direction of economic migration. The labor force in the baseline is determined by applying age-sex-race-specific labor force participation rates to the specific cohorts. The size of the labor force is determined by the size and distribution of population by cohorts; labor force participation rates are assumed to remain constant throughout the projection period.

An economic base model of the local economy is used to project total employment. Economic base models assume a major distinction can be made between basic industries in which the level of activity is determined outside the region and nonbasic or support industries which exist to provide goods and services to the local community. In an economic base model, once the level of basic employment is determined, the level of support sector employment is determined by a series of multipliers which describe the relationship between the two sectors. The model describes four basic sectors (manufacturing-fishing, state and federal government, mining and special projects construction, and military) and two support

sectors (local construction-transportation and finance-trade-services). In addition, the model projects the level of local government employment as a function of population and revenue. The model requires assumptions about the level of exogenous employment by sector and local government revenues and produces estimates of total and support sector employment.

Migration occurs to clear the local labor market; in-migration occurs when employment opportunities exceed the labor force, and out-migration occurs in the opposite case. When economic migration occurs, migration may be in excess of the amount needed to bring labor force and employment into equilibrium; the model allows two additional types of migration. One source of additional migration may be migrants who come to the community because of the employment opportunities but do not find employment. The model allows migration to occur until some equilibrium level of unemployment is reached or some proportion of this level is reached. The second source of additional migration includes the dependents of economic migrants. An age-sex-race distribution is applied to the number of direct migrants which distributes both direct migrants and their dependents to age-sex-race cohorts.

The model projects total population by adding the economic-induced migrants to the survived population, the noneconomic migrants, and the military/dependent population. Population is disaggregated into those not in the labor force, those in the labor force but unemployed, and those employed.

The baseline portion of the model is described below. The following convention will be observed in defining the cohorts for population variables: $X(A,S,R)$ where X is the variable, A is the age cohort, S is the sex cohort, and R is the race cohort.

BASELINE POPULATION

Survived Population and Noneconomic Migration

1.
$$BBTH(1,S,R) = SXR(1,S,R) * \left[\sum_{A=1}^{14} FR(A,2,R) * BPOP(A,2,R) (-1) \right]$$
2.
$$BP(A,S,R) = SR(A,S,R) * BPOP(A,S,R) (-1)$$
3.
$$BSPP(1,S,R) = [BBTH(1,S,R) + F(1) * BP(1,S,R)] * MR(1,S,R)$$
4.
$$BSPP(A,S,R) = [(1-F(A-1)) * BP(A-1,S,R) + F(A) * BP(A,S,R)] * MR(A,S,R)$$
5.
$$BSPP(14,S,R) = [(1-F(13)) * BP(13,S,R) + BP(14,S,R)]$$

Baseline Economy

6.
$$LF = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R LFPR(A,S,R) * BSPP(A,S,R)$$
7.
$$EML = L\emptyset + L1 * BPOP(-1) + L2 * REV$$
8.
$$EMS = M10 + M11 * EMG + M12 * EMA + M13 * EMX + M14 * EMM$$
9.
$$EMC = M20 + M21 * EMG + M22 * EMA + M23 * EMX + M24 * EMM$$
10.
$$TE = EML + EMS + EMC + EMG + EMA + EMX$$

Economic Migration

11. $UNE = LF - TE$
12. $BEMG = \left(\frac{TE}{1-U} - LF \right) * B2$
13. $BPOP(A,S,R) = IF BEMG \leq 0 THEN BSPP(A,S,R) + C1(A,S,R) * BEMG$
 $ELSE BSPP(A,S,R) + C2(A,S,R) * BEMG$

Total Baseline Population

14. $BPOPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R BPOP(A,S,R)$
15. $BASP(A,S,R) = BPOP(A,S,R) + EMM * C3(A,S,R)$
16. $BASPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R BASP(A,S,R)$
17. $NLF = BPOP - (LF + BEMG)$
18. $U = LF + BEMG - TE$
19. $TOTE = TE + EMM$

Required Inputs:

$SXR(1,S,R)$ = Distribution of sex at birth by race.

$FR(A,2,R)$ = Age and race-specific fertility rates which measure births per woman in each age-race cohort.

$SR(A,S,R)$ = Age, sex, and race specific survival rates which describe the probability that a member of a specific cohort survives over the period.

$MR(A,S,R)$ = Age, sex, and race specific migration rates which define cohort specific net migration as a proportion of the cohort population.

$F(A)$ = The age-specific advancement rate. This rate defines the proportion of the population which advances to the next cohort; it is greater than zero when the cohort contains more years than the projection cycle.

$LFPR(A,S,R)$ = Age, sex, and race specific labor force participation rate which describes the proportion of each cohort which is in the labor force.

$L\emptyset, L1, L2$ = Local government employment multipliers which describe the relation between the specific variable and local government employment.

REV = The level of exogenous revenue to the local government; requires assumed level for every year in the projection period.

$M\emptyset, M11, M12, M13, M14, M20, M21, M22, M23, M24$ = Basic-nonbasic multipliers which describe the increase in the specific nonbasic industry employment with an increase of one employee in the specific basic sector.

EMG = State and federal government employment; requires assumed level for each year of the projection period.

EMA = Employment in fisheries and manufacturing; requires assumption for each year of the projection period.

EMX = Employment in mining and special projects construction; requires assumption for each year of the projection period.

EMM = Military employment; requires assumption for each year of the projection period.

$U\emptyset$ = Equilibrium unemployment rate.

$B2$ = Parameter of adjustment.

$C1(A,S,R), C2(A,S,R)$ = Migrant and dependent age-sex-race distributions which describe the number of direct and dependent migrants per direct migrant in each cohort. Distributions differ for out- and in-migration.

$C3(A,S,R)$ = Military and dependent age-sex-race distribution.

Model Outputs

BBTH(A,S,R) = Births by age, sex, and race.

BSPP(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific survived civilian population which is adjusted for noneconomic migration.

LF = Baseline labor force.

EML = Baseline local government employment.

EMS = Baseline employment in finance, trade, and services.

EMC = Baseline employment in local construction and transportation.

TE = Total baseline employment.

BEMG = Economic baseline migrants.

BPOP(A,S,R) = Baseline civilian population by age, race, and sex which includes economic migrants.

BPOPP = Total baseline civilian population.

BASP(A,S,R) = Age-race-sex specific total population which includes military.

BASPP = Total baseline population.

NLF = Population not in the labor force.

U = Unemployed population.

TOTE = Total civilian and military population.

Note: Excludes definition of those variables only used internally by the model.

The Development Sector

This version of the community impact model describes OCS induced population growth in three sectors: development, operations, and secondary. The distinction between the two direct sectors--development and operations--is necessary because of assumed differences in demographic characteristics and tenure of the associated in-migrants. In all sectors, the major determinants of migration are the same; in-migration occurs so that the local labor market clears. In-migrants in the development phase are assumed to reside in the community for only a short time, which reflects the temporary nature of their employment. Because of this, they are assumed to bring fewer dependents than in the operations phase.

The major determinant of OCS in-migration in the development phase is demand for labor to work in the development phase of the project. Local residents supply labor to the project, but any excess labor demand must be met through in-migration of workers. Project labor demand is assumed to have two components: imported labor demand and local labor demand. It is assumed that a portion of the labor will be imported from outside the community because of special skills which are required and not found in the local community or because of previous contact of the employees with the contractor, which includes management and supervisory personnel. It is assumed that the remainder of the OCS development jobs could be filled by community residents. The model requires information on OCS development employment in each component of demand.

Local labor supplied to the project is a function of the size of the community and the willingness of the local population to take OCS jobs. The model describes the willingness of local labor to take OCS jobs by assumed labor response rates which describe the proportion of population supplying labor to the project. The response of the community population to OCS employment opportunities is assumed to vary across mutually exclusive components of the population--employed, unemployed, and not in the labor force.

In-migration of direct development workers occurs to fill the gap between local labor supply and the local labor demand. In-migration equals the total imported development employment plus the migrants required to fill the gap between local labor demand and supply. The level of direct development migration determines the associated dependent migration, which determines the total population effect of the development sector. The model uses a series of age-sex-race specific multipliers to project the number of in-migrant dependents as well as the age-sex-race distribution of the direct in-migrants. These multipliers describe the number of dependents and employees in each age-sex-race cohort per direct migrant.

Two additional assumptions about the location of direct migrants are required before the total population impact on the community can be determined. First, the number of workers in the OCS enclave are determined. Enclave development is typical of Alaska resource development in remote areas and involves the location of employees in camps where

all services are provided. Enclave workers are assumed to bring no dependents. Secondly, direct in-migrants are adjusted to subtract out those who may commute from outside the community. This is not very likely in most remote areas of the state where there are no alternative places in commuting range. Assumed rates of enclave and residency are required by the model.

The final step in the development phase is to adjust each labor supply group (employed, unemployed, not in the labor force) by reducing them by the members who have taken OCS development jobs. The labor supplied from each group forms a pool of labor. It is assumed that labor is drawn directly from this pool without recognition of the labor supply group, so the number of local employees is subtracted from each labor supply group in proportion to the total supply.

The product of this sector of the model is an age-sex-race profile of the impact population for each year of the projection period. This process is repeated for each year in which development occurs; implicitly, this assumes migrants leave after each year. The model also produces estimates of the total direct employed migrants as well as the migrants in the enclave.

DEVELOPMENT PHASE

Employment Induced Migration

1. $LS1 = P1 * TE + P2 * U + P3 * NLF$
2. $DEMP = DDL + DIMPT$
3. $D1 = DDL - LS1$
4. $D2 = \text{IF } D1 \leq 0 \text{ THEN } 0 \text{ ELSE } D1$
5. $DEMG = D2 + DIMPT$
6. $DEME = E1 * DEMG$
7. $DEMR = G1 * (DEMG - DEME)$

Population

8. $\text{Dem}(A,S,R) = (DEMR + DEME) * DE(A,S,R)$
9. $DDM(A,S,R) = DEMR * DD(A,S,R)$
10. $DPOP(A,S,R) = \text{Dem}(A,S,R) + DDM(A,S,R)$
11. $DPOPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R DPOP(A,S,R)$

Adjust Local Labor Supply

12. $TE1 = \text{IF } D1 \geq 0 \text{ THEN } TE * (1-P1) \text{ ELSE } TE * (1-P1 * (DDL/LS1))$
13. $U1 = \text{IF } D1 \geq 0 \text{ THEN } U * (1-P2) \text{ ELSE } U * (1-P2 * (DDL/LS1))$
14. $NLF1 = \text{IF } D1 \geq 0 \text{ THEN } NLF * (1-P3) \text{ ELSE } NLF * (1-P3 * (DDL/LS1))$

Required Inputs

P1,P2,P3 = Labor response rate which describes the proportion of the specific population group which will supply labor to the OCS development sector.

DDL = Project development demand for local labor; requires yearly assumptions.

DIMPT = Project development demand for imported labor; requires yearly assumptions.

E1 = Proportion of in-migrant development workers in an OCS enclave.

G1 = Proportion of OCS nonenclave in-migrants residing in the community.

DD(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant dependents which describes the number of dependents per direct migrant in each cohort.

DE(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant employees.

Outputs

LS1 = Local labor supplied to the development sector.

DEMP = Total OCS development sector employment.

DEMG = Total direct development employee migration.

DEME = Development sector enclave employment.

DEMR = Development sector nonenclave community residents.

DEM(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific direct employee migrant population.

DDM(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific dependent migrant population.

DPOP(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific total OCS development impact population which includes enclave and resident population.

DPOPP = Total OCS development impact population.

TE1,U1,NLF1 = Components of labor status groups adjusted for local residents taking OCS development sector jobs.

Note: Excludes definitions of those variables only used internally by the model.

The Operations Sector

Tenure characteristics are the main difference between jobs in the development and operations sectors of an OCS project. Operations employment is assumed to be long term and permanent, in contrast to the temporary nature of development employment. Because of the long-term nature of this employment and the assumed stability of population which this brings, new immigrants cannot be assumed to fill OCS jobs each period. The migrant population assumes a permanence in the community and the social, demographic, and economic considerations which alter the composition of this population over time must be considered. The OCS operations sector-induced population is subject to turnover and out-migration as well as to fertility and mortality.

In-migration is determined by the model in much the same manner as in the development phase. Project operations demand is determined exogenously in both its imported and local components. This local project labor demand is matched with local labor supplied to the operations phase of OCS activity to determine the in-migration required to fill the gap between local labor demand and labor supply. Local labor supplied to the operations sector is determined by the assumed labor response rates for each local labor status group. Total direct in-migration is that required to clear the local labor market plus the imported operations employment. In-migrant operations employment is adjusted for enclave and nonlocal residents in the same way as development employment. Resident, nonenclave employed migrants are assumed to bring dependents at rates determined by assumed dependent-to-employee distributions.

The long-term, permanent nature of operations employment opportunities and the stability of the population introduces an additional source of labor supply in any year--the direct OCS operations employees from the previous period. Migrant operations employees from the previous year are subject to mortality and turnover which reduces the labor supplied the project from this group. Mortality is described by an assumed series of age-sex-race specific survival rates as in the baseline sector. Rates describing the proportion of employees in any age-race-sex specific cohort remaining in the community after one year are used to describe turnover and adjust survived population by out-migrants. Those workers who remain from the previous period are allocated among the local and imported labor and enclave, nonenclave resident, and nonresident employees. These remaining operations employees are a source of labor supply for the operations sector (the labor response rate of this group is one). Because of the existing source of migrant labor, the model only calculates changes in the migrant population. The existing migrant labor is assumed to be the first choice to fill OCS operations jobs. When the existing migrant operations labor supply exceeds operations employment demand, as a result of a reduction in the employment levels, out-migration occurs. Once the level of local employment in operations is projected, each labor status group is adjusted to reflect this employment.

Dependent migration follows the pattern established by employment-related migration. The previous year's dependent migrant population is subject to mortality, out-migration, and fertility. These are all described by age-sex-race specific rates. Migration of dependents is assumed to be

determined by the migration of the employed population. The change in dependent migrant population is determined by the change in the number of operations sector employed migrants in any year. Age-sex-race specific dependents per employee multipliers are used to project the dependent population in each cohort.

The model produces projections of the total impact population by age, race, and sex for each year of the projection period. Projections also include the total employed migrants and the location of this employment in enclaves and in the community.

OPERATIONS SECTOR

Survived Population

1. $OEP(A,S,R) = SR(A,S,R) * OEM(A,S,R)(-1)$
2. $ODP(A,S,R) = SR(A,S,R) * ODM(A,S,R)(-1)$
3. $OBTH(1,S,R) = SXR(1,S,R) * \left[\sum_{A=1}^{14} FR(A,2,R) * ODM(A,2,R)(-1) \right]$
4. $OSEP(A,S,R) = [(1-F(A-1)) * OEP(A-1,S,R) + FA * OEP(A,S,R)] * TO(A,S,R)$
5. $ODSP(1,S,R) = [OBTH(1,S,R) + F(1) * ODP(1,S,R)] * TD(1,S,R)$
6. $OSDP(A,S,R) = [(1-F(A-1)) * ODP(A-1,S,R) + F(A) * ODP(A,S,R)] * TD(A,S,R)$
7. $OSDP(14,S,R) = [(1-F(13)) * ODP(13,S,R) + ODP(14,S,R)] * TD(14,S,R)$

Employment-Induced Migration

8. $OSEPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R OSEP(A,S,R)$
9. $Z1 = \text{IF } TOEM(-1) \text{ GT } \emptyset \text{ THEN } (OSEPP/TOEM(-1)) \text{ ELSE } \emptyset$
10. $OSENR = Z1 * OENR(-1) - \text{nonresident}$
11. $OSEPT = OSEPP + OSENR$
12. $OEMP = ODL + OIMPT$
13. $LS2 = P4 * TE1 + PS * U1 + PL * NLF1$
14. $OSL = OSEPT - Z1 * OIMPT(-1)$
15. $O1 = ODL - OSL$
16. $O2 = O1 - LS2$
17. $\begin{aligned} NOEMG &= \text{IF } O1 \text{ LT } \emptyset \text{ THEN } O1 + (OIMPT - Z1 * OIMPT(-1)) \\ &\quad \text{ELSE } (\text{IF } O2 \text{ LT } \emptyset \text{ THEN } (OIMPT - Z1 * OIMPT(-1)) \\ &\quad \quad \text{ELSE } (O2 + OIMPT - Z1 * OIMPT(-1))) \end{aligned}$
18. $NOEME = E2 * NOEMG$
19. $NOEMR = G2 * (NOEMG - NOEME)$
20. $NOENR = NOEMG - NOEME - NOEMR$

Population

21. $N1(A,S,R) = \text{IF } OSEPP \text{ EQ } \emptyset \text{ THEN } \emptyset \text{ ELSE } (OSEP(A,S,R)/(OSEPP))$
22. $OSDPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R OSDP(A,S,R)$
23. $N2(A,S,R) = \text{IF } OSDPP \text{ EQ } \emptyset \text{ THEN } \emptyset \text{ ELSE } (OSDP(A,S,R)/OSDPP)$

24. $NOEM(A,S,R) = \text{IF } NOEMG \text{ LT } \emptyset \text{ THEN } (NOEME + NOEMR) * N1(A,S,R)$
 ELSE $(NOEME + NOEMR) * OE(A,S,R)$
 25. $NODM(A,S,R) = \text{IF } NOEMG \text{ LT } \emptyset \text{ THEN } NOEMR * N2(A,S,R) * (OSDPP/OSEPP)$
 ELSE $NOEMR * OD(A,S,R)$
 26. $OEM(A,S,R) = NOEM(A,S,R) + OSEP(A,S,R)$
 27. $ODM(A,S,R) = NODM(A,S,R) + OSDP(A,S,R)$
 28. $OPOP(A,S,R) = OEM(A,S,R) + ODM(A,S,R)$
 29. $OPOPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R OPOP(A,S,R)$
 30. $TOEM = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R OEM(A,S,R)$
 31. $OENR = NOENR + OSENTR$
 32. $OEME = E2 * [TOEM + OENR]$

Adjust Local Labor Supply

33. $TE2 = \text{IF } O1 \text{ LE } \emptyset \text{ THEN } TE1 \text{ ELSE } (\text{IF } O2 \text{ GE } \emptyset \text{ THEN } TE1 * (1-P4)$
 ELSE $TE1 * (1-Pr * (O1/LS2)))$
 34. $U2 = \text{IF } O1 \text{ LE } \emptyset \text{ THEN } U1 \text{ ELSE } (\text{IF } O2 \text{ GE } \emptyset \text{ THEN } U1 * (1-P5)$
 ELSE $U1 * (1-P5 * (O1/LS2)))$
 35. $NLF2 = \text{IF } O1 \text{ LE } \emptyset \text{ THEN } NLF1 \text{ ELSE } (\text{IF } O2 \text{ GE } \emptyset \text{ THEN } NLF1 * (1-P6)$
 ELSE $NLF1 * (1-P6 * (O1/LS2)))$

Required Inputs

$T_0(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race specific turnover rates of operations sector migrant employment which describes remaining proportion of previous year migrants. In-migrant workers who leave operations employment through turnover are assumed to leave the community.

$TD(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race specific turnover rates for dependent migrants.

ODL = Operations sector demand for local labor; requires yearly assumptions.

$OIMPT$ = Operations sector demand for imported labor; requires yearly assumptions.

P_4, P_5, P_6 = Labor response rates which describe the proportion of each respective population group which would supply labor to the operations sector of the OCS project.

E_2 = Proportion of in-migrant operations workers in an OCS enclave.

G_2 = Proportion of OCS nonenclave in-migrants residing in the community.

$OE(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant operations sector employees.

$OD(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant dependents which describes the number of dependents per direct migrant in each cohort.

Model Outputs

LS_2 = Local labor supplied to the operations sector.

$OEMP$ = Total OCS operations sector employment.

$NOEMG$ = The change in the level operations sector in-migrant employees.

$OEM(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race specific distribution of operations sector in-migrant population.

$ODM(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race specific distribution of operations sector dependent in-migration population.

$OPOP(A,S,R)$ = Age-sex-race specific distribution of total operations sector impact population which includes enclave and resident population.

OPOPP = Total OCS operations impact population.

TOEM = Total OCS operations resident employment which includes enclave employment.

OEME = Operations sector enclave employment.

TE2 ,U2 ,NLF2 = Components of labor status groups adjusted for local residents taking OCS operations sector jobs.

Note: Excludes definition of those variables only used internally by the model.

The Secondary Sector

The population impacts of OCS development are not limited to the direct effects of development and operations employment. Another important component of migration is that which responds to secondary employment opportunities. Increased OCS employment may result in an expansion of support sector employment opportunities in the community which may also lead to migration. This version of the model is distinguished by a separate secondary response sector which combines the secondary response to both OCS development and operations employment.

The model allows three sources of secondary employment expansion. The first is the increase in local government employment. Because much of rural Alaska has only limited local government, we cannot expect a proportional expansion. Local government employment will be affected by both the population increase and revenues which result from OCS development, such as increased property taxes from the location of service base or production facilities. The second source of secondary employment is the expansion of the local support sector employment to serve the additional

OCS employees and their dependents. This relationship is described in the model by a series of multipliers which are applied to the direct OCS employment to determine the level of necessary secondary employment. The multiplier describes the support sector employment per employee in the OCS sector. The model allows multipliers to differ between operations and development sectors and between enclave and nonenclave employment. The response of the secondary sector may be less to development activity since it is temporary and less to the enclave sector since it has little interaction with the community. The final component of the secondary employment response is the replacement of those community residents who took jobs on the OCS project.

Migration in the secondary sector is determined as in the other sectors; direct employee migration occurs to clear the local labor market and determines the level of dependent migration. The assumed levels of OCS employment and exogenous revenues determine, through assumed multipliers, the induced local government and support sector labor demand. The assumed local labor response to direct OCS employment opportunities determines the labor required to replace local labor which has taken OCS jobs.

The potential local labor supply for secondary employment is determined by assumed labor response rates. It is assumed that labor will be supplied from only the unemployed and not-in-the-labor-force sectors of the community population since employed residents will not be able to improve their economic position. There are two additional sources of labor supply assumed in the secondary sector, the in-migrant support

sector population from the previous year and the dependents of in-migrants in the operations and development sectors. As in the operations sector, secondary employee in-migrants are assumed to take long-term, permanent jobs and remain in the community from one period to the next. These migrants are subject to mortality and turnover, and the remaining migrants supply labor for secondary employment. Dependents of direct OCS employees are assumed to supply labor as determined by assumed labor force participation rates. Labor is assumed to be chosen for secondary employment-- first from local residents, secondly from the previous year's secondary employee migrant population, and finally from direct OCS dependents. Migration occurs in any year to fill excess labor demand. Migration may be negative if the locally supplied labor plus the previous year's migrants exceed the secondary labor demand.

Dependent migration is determined by a series of age-sex specific multipliers which describe the dependent population per secondary employee by cohort. Dependents of these migrants are subject to births, deaths, and out-migration.

A final component of the secondary response is the migration of individuals in response to OCS employment opportunities who do not find work and become unemployed migrants. As in the baseline sector, this migration is assumed to be a function of an equilibrium unemployment rate. Unemployed migrants are also assumed to bring dependents.

The model produces projections of the total population response from this sector by age, sex, and race cohorts. Projections of the increased secondary employment are also produced.

SECONDARY RESPONSE

Survived Population (includes turnover)

1. $SEP(A,S,R) = SR(A,S,R) * SEM(A,S,R)(-1)$
2. $SDP(A,S,R) = SR(A,S,R) * SDM(A,S,R)(-1)$
3. $SBTH(1,S,R) = SXR(1,S,R) * \left[\sum_{A=1}^{14} FR(A,2,R) * SDM(A,2,R)(-1) \right]$
- *4. $SSEP(A,S,R) = [(1-F(A-1)) * SEP(A-1,S,R) + F(A) * SEP(A,S,R)] * TO(A,S,R)$
5. $SSDP(1,S,R) = [SBTH(1,S,R) + F1 * SDP(1,S,R)] * TD(1,S,R)$
6. $SSDP(A,S,R) = [(1-F(A)) * SDP(A-1,S,R) + F(A) * SEP(A,S,R)] * TD(A,S,R)$
7. $SSDP(14,S,R) = [(1-F13) * SDP(13,S,R) + SDP(14,S,R)] * TD(14,S,R)$

Employment-Induced Migration

8. $SSEPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R SSEP(A,S,R)$
9. $DLS = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R ([ODM(A,S,R) + DOM(A,S,R)] * LFPR(A,S,R))$

10. $SEML = N11 * (OPOPP - OEME)(-1) + N12 * (DPOPP - DEME)(-1)$
 $+ N13 * XREV(-1) + N14 * (SPOPP)(-1)$
11. $SEMS = N15 * (OEMP - OEME) + N16 * (DEMP - DEME) + N17 * (OEME + DEME)$
12. $SEMC = N18 * (OEMP - OEME) + N19 * (DEMP - DEME) + N20 * (OEME + DEME)$
13. $STE = SEML + SEMS + SEMC + (TE - TE2)$
14. $LS3 = P7 * U2 + P8 * NLF2$
15. $S1 = STE - LS3$
16. $S2 = S1 - SSEPP$
17. $S3 = S2 - DLS$
18. $NSEMG1 = \text{IF } S1 < 0 \text{ THEN } S1 \text{ ELSE } (\text{IF } S2 < 0 \text{ THEN } S2$
 $\text{ELSE } (\text{IF } S3 < 0 \text{ THEN } 0 \text{ ELSE } S3))$
19. $NSEMG = \text{IF } SSCPP + NSEMG1 < 0 \text{ THEN } -SSEPP \text{ ELSE } NSEMG1$

Population

20. $SSDPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R SSDP(A,S,R)$
21. $R1(A,S,R) = \text{IF } SSEPP \neq 0 \text{ THEN } 0 \text{ ELSE } (SSEP(A,S,R)/SSEPP)$
22. $R2(A,S,R) = \text{IF } SSDPP \neq 0 \text{ THEN } 0 \text{ ELSE } (SSDP(A,S,R)/SSDPP)$
23. $NSEM(A,S,R) = \text{IF } NSEMG < 0 \text{ THEN } NSEMG * R1(A,S,R)$
 $\text{ELSE } NSEMG * SD(A,S,R)$
24. $NSDM(A,S,R) = \text{IF } NSEMG < 0 \text{ THEN } NSEMG * R2(A,S,R) * (SSDPP/SSEPP)$
 $\text{ELSE } NSEMG * SD(A,S,R)$
25. $SEM(A,S,R) = NSEM(A,S,R) + SSEP(A,S,R)$
26. $SDM(A,S,R) = NSDM(A,S,R) + SSDP(A,S,R)$
27. $SPOP(A,S,R) = SEM(A,S,R) + SDM(A,S,R)$

$$28. SPOPP = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R SPOP(A,S,R)$$

$$29. SEMM = \sum_A \sum_S \sum_R SEM(A,S,R)$$

Unemployed Migrants

$$30. U3 = IF S1 GE \emptyset THEN U2 * (1-P7) ELSE U2 * (1-P7 * [STE/LS3])$$

$$31. NLF3 = IF S1 GE \emptyset THEN NLF2 * (1-P8) ELSE NLF2 * (1-P8 * [STE/LS3])$$

$$32. UMG = Y1 * [U\emptyset * (LF + BEMG + NLF - NLF3) - U3]$$

$$33. UM = UMG + UMG * UDEP$$

Required Inputs

N11,N12,N13,N14,N15,N16,N17,N18,N19,N20 = Basic-nonbasic multipliers which describe the increase in the industry employment with an increase in the level of the specific variable.

P7,P8 = Labor response rates which describe the proportion of each respective population group which would supply labor to the secondary sector.

SE(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant secondary sector employees.

SD(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant dependents which describes the number of dependents per direct migrant in each cohort.

XREV = Local government revenues produced by the OCS project.

UDEP = The number of dependents per unemployed migrant.

X2(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race distribution of in-migrant unemployed and dependent population which describes the number of unemployed and dependents per direct migrant in each cohort.

Model Outputs

SEML = Local government employment increase resulting from OCS development.

SEMS = Increased employment in the local service, trade, and finance industries as a result of OCS development.

SEMC = Increased employment in the local construction and transportation industries as a result of OCS development.

DLS = Labor supplied by direct OCS employee dependents.

LS3 = Local labor supplied to the operations sector.

NSEMG = The change in the level of secondary sector in-migrant employees.

SEM(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific distribution of secondary sector in-migrant employees.

SDM(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific distribution of secondary sector dependent in-migrant population.

SPOP(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific distribution of secondary sector impact population.

SPOPP = Total OCS secondary sector impact population.

SEMM = Total OCS secondary employed in-migrants.

U3,NLF3 = Components of labor status groups adjusted for local residents taking OCS secondary sector jobs.

UMG = Total unemployed migrants.

UM = Total unemployed and dependent migration.

Note: Excludes definition of those variables used only internally by the model.

Summation Sector

The final sector of the model provides summaries of important variables which result from the assumptions and relationships in the model. This sector provides projections of local residents employed in each sector

of the OCS activity--development, operations, and secondary--as well as the total level of local employment. It also projects total OCS population impact and total population. Total employment by industry is also projected. This sector also provides projections of total OCS employment and the enclave component of this employment. Table 1 describes the four output reports the model produces and the information contained in each.

SUMMATION

1. DLE = DEMP - DEMG
2. OLE = OEMP - (TOEM + OENR)
3. SLE = IF S1 GE Ø THEN (STE - S1 - (TE-TE2)) ELSE (STE - (TE-TE2))
4. TLE = DLE + OLE + SLE
5. TOCSP = OPDPP + DPOPP + SPOPP + UM
6. TOTPOT = BASPP + TOCSP
7. TOTPP(A,S,R) = OPOP(A,S,R) + DPOP(A,S,R) + SPOP(A,S,R) + UM
* X2(A,S,R) + BASP(A,S,R)
8. TEML = EML + SEML
9. TEMS = EMS + SEMS
10. TEMC = EMC + SEMC
11. TEMX = EMX + DEMP + OEMP
12. TOCSE = DEMP + OEMP + STE - (TE-TE2)
13. ENCL = DEME + OEME

Model Outputs

DLE = Local residents employed in the development sector.

OLE = Local residents employed in the operations sector.

SLE = Local residents employed in the secondary sector.

TLE = Total local residents employed in OCS sectors.

TOCSP = Total OCS impact population.

TOTPOP = Total population.

TOTPP(A,S,R) = Age-sex-race specific total population.

TEML = Total local government employment.

TEMS = Total service, finance, and trade employment.

TEMC = Total local construction and transportation employment.

TEMX = Total mining and special project construction employment
(includes OCS).

TOCSE = Total OCS impact employment.

ENCL = Total OCS enclave population.

Note: Excludes definition of those variables used only internally by
the model.

TABLE 1. SCIMP OUTPUT REPORTS

<u>Report #1</u>	<u>Report #2</u>	<u>Report #3</u>	<u>Report #4</u>	<u>Report #5</u>
BASP(A,S,R)*	BBTH	DEMP	TLE	TOTPOP
BASPP	EML	DDL	DLE	TEML
DPOP(A,S,R)	EMS	DIMPT	OLE	TEMS
DPOPP	EMC	DEMGT	SLE	TEMC
OPOP(A,S,R)	EMG	DEME		TEMX
OPOPP	EMA	DPOPP		
SPOP(A,S,R)	EMX	DEMR		
SPOPP	EMM	OEMP		
TOTPP(A,S,R)	BPOPP	ODL		
TOTPOP	BASPP	OIMPT		
	TE	DPOPP		
	U	OPOPP		
	NLF	TOEM		
	BEMG	OEME		
		DLS		
		XREV		
		SEML		
		SEMS		
		SEMC		
		SEMM		
		UMG		
		UM		
		TOCSE		
		ENCL		
		TOCSP		

* All provided in five-year intervals.

III. A FRAMEWORK FOR PARAMETER SELECTION

Introduction

In a test application, the original population impact model projected the historical growth of population and employment in the Kenai Census Division for the period of the Kenai oil boom (1960-1975) with reasonable accuracy. Sensitivity tests showed the results were highly sensitive to the parameter assumptions.

The small community population impact model is an accounting model. This model describes the population dynamics involved in community growth. Its structure is both consistent and theoretically correct. However, the model is simply a structure. Forecasts depend on assumptions about parameters. The model itself offers no preconception of the level of these parameters, and they must be chosen for each study.

This is the only type of model which is general enough to be used in a wide variety of rural Alaska communities. The alternative would be a statistical or econometric model estimated at the census division level. Such a model, which would develop parameter assumptions from historical relations, offers two problems. First, a separate model would have to be estimated for each census division. This would require more time and resources than is often available. Secondly, many of the smaller census divisions in Alaska provide no consistent historical relationships. The primary reason for this is the small size of the economies. These small

economies may also experience structural change because the change associated with OCS development is relatively large. Knowledge of historical relationships would be of no help in assessing potential future changes when this is the case.

The SCIMP model would ideally be used in conjunction with a detailed study of the local economy which would determine the parameter levels. In many cases, such an analysis would not be possible because of time and money constraints. A substitute, although not a perfect substitute, would be to develop a general framework for selecting the parameters in any specific studies. A first step toward the development of such a framework is developed in this section.

This section investigates ranges for multipliers and labor response rates. These rates have been isolated in past studies as the most important for projections. Assumptions about other parameters such as survival and fertility rates will be improved with the results of the 1980 census. The following analysis will examine each of these sets of parameters. A short description of theoretical considerations will be followed by the analysis of both national and Alaska empirical work. Based on this analysis, we will suggest ranges of parameters which can be used with the SCIMP model to make projections.

Economic Base Multipliers

In the present version of the population impact model, the growth of the local economy is described in terms of economic base theory. Economic base theory is widely used in regional analysis. This theory assumes the region grows primarily as a result of increased export activity to other regions and that the determinants of the level of export activity are external to the region. In the simple version of economic base theory, the region's economy is separated into two sectors, the export or basic sector and the support or nonbasic sector. The function of the support sector is to serve the export sector and the associated population. The relationship between the export and support sectors is defined by the economic base multiplier.

The economic base multiplier describes the increase in support sector economic activity per unit of increase in economic activity in the support sector. In most applications, the units of economic activity are described in terms of employment. The use of employment is really a matter of convenience since employment data is the type most generally available. A more general measure of economic activity would be output. Using employment as the measure of economic activity is not an important limitation in this model since we are interested in describing population growth as a function of labor market interaction.

In reality, the growth process of a region is more complex than that described by simple base theory. One criticism of this simple theory is that it does not account for differences in the export-support sector

relationship across regions. To account for this difference, economic base theory must consider the effect of alternative industrial structures and the size of the region. The structure of the basic or export sector will affect the size of the multiplier. For two regions with export sectors which are similar in size but not in composition, the nonbasic or support sector will be larger for the region with the more stable, longer-term industries in its basic sector. To the extent that the region's basic sector is made up of industries which buy inputs on the local market, the multiplier will be larger. The SCIMP model attempts to account for this by describing the basic sector in terms of its industrial composition and allowing the possibility of different multipliers for each industry.

A second explanation of regional differences in multipliers is the population differences of regions. The relationship between export and support sectors is a function of the amount of goods and services produced and consumed locally; the greater the proportion of goods consumed and produced locally, the greater will be the multiplier. We expect larger regions to produce in the region a greater portion of the goods and services they consume because of economies of scale. Economies of scale allow the reduction of per-unit production costs with increased output and allow the goods or services to be profitably produced in the region. Larger regions provide more opportunities for achieving economies of scale and provide a larger proportion of their goods and services locally. Because of this, they will have larger multipliers.

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

The purpose of this section is to provide guidance to the possible range of multiplier parameter values which can be used in specific studies. Empirical work from Alaska and other regions of the United States will be reviewed, but no specific estimate will be developed. The limited empirical work reviewed will not allow a specific estimate of the "correct" multiplier; but a single multiplier would not be appropriate for use in all areas. The empirical work in this section will serve as a check to estimates of parameters for specific studies and as a base for assumptions when there are not resources for specific research.

Two studies will be examined from other regions of the United States; these studies are by Conopask (1978) and Stenehjem and Metzger (1976). Both studies attempt to define industry-specific multipliers for non-metropolitan counties by examining the effect on the level of support sector employment of a change in the level of basic sector employment. Stenehjem and Metzger estimate their multipliers by examining cross-section data on nonmetropolitan counties from the 1970 Census. This data is analyzed by groups of states or subregions of the country. Conopask examines the multiplier in fifteen counties in the Northern Great Plains region of the country; the counties selected were those which experienced some major mining or energy project impact. Conopask used both cross-section and time-series data on the counties in his analysis. Both studies used regression analysis to estimate the multipliers for specific basic industries.

Conopask estimated multipliers using various regression techniques for manufacturing, mining, construction, government, and the basic sector components of certain traditional support sector activities. This final component was estimated using location coefficients and represented the activities of regional trade centers, like Anchorage. His estimates of multiplier values are shown in Table 2. This table shows the 95 percent confidence intervals as well as the mean value (in parentheses). Stenehjem and Metzger's results are also presented in Table 2; the results for those regions of the United States which were felt to most accurately reflect Alaska conditions are shown. Stenehjem and Metzger pooled industries in the basic sector to obtain the best results. (The publication showed only those multipliers which could be used for energy project impact analysis; i.e., the government multiplier was not presented.)

These studies provide what seem to be inconsistent results. These seeming inconsistencies may be explained by differences in industry definitions in the studies and differences in functional form. Conopask includes a portion of traditional support sector industries (trade, service, finance) in the basic sector of certain regional centers. Conopask also uses pooled cross-section time-series data, while Stenehjem and Metzger use only cross-section. The use of pooled cross-section time-series allows Conopask to capture changes in the structure of economies which may result only partially as a response to changes in the level of basic sector activity.

TABLE 2. SUPPORT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT PER
BASIC SECTOR EMPLOYEE

	<u>Conopask Study</u>	<u>Idaho, Montana, Wyoming</u>	<u>Stenehjem and Metzger Study</u> <u>Nevada, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico</u>
Manufacturing	1.58 - 2.94 (2.26)	.7	1.7
Mining	.72 - 1.26 (.99)	.8	.5
Construction	.47 - .57 (.52)	.7	1.7
Government	1.78 - 2.08 (1.93)	-	-
Agriculture	*	.8	.5

* Nonsignificant results

SOURCES FOR TABLES:

- J. V. Conopask, "A Data Pooling Approach to Estimate Employment Multipliers for Small Regional Economies," U.S. Department of Agriculture, Tech. Bulletin No. 1583, 1978.
- E. Stenehjem and J. Metzger, "A Framework for Projecting Employment and Population Changes Accompanying Energy Development," Argonne National Laboratory, 1976.

Examining the results of these studies allows a range of possible multiplier parameter values to be established. Any specific work done with SCIMP should be consistent with this range of values. The results discussed above serve as a check on specific work on rural Alaska; any specific work which diverges a great deal from this range should be questioned.

Examination of Alaska data will provide further help in selecting multiplier values. Using information from the 1970 Census in Alaska, we estimated a series of regressions in an attempt to define the relation between basic and nonbasic sector employment. Cross-section regressions were estimated using the census divisions as units of observation, and the large regions were excluded. Attempts to estimate the effect on the multiplier of the population of the census division did not produce significant results.

A series of regressions was estimated in an attempt to duplicate the results of Conopask and Stenehjem and Metzger. In these equations, the level of employment in various support sector industries was regressed against employment in basic industries. The multipliers derived from this analysis are shown in Table 3. The results for construction and mining seem consistent with the other work; the combined multiplier is 1.4 for Alaska, 1.51 in Conopask's study (mean value), and 1.5 in the Idaho region in Stenehjem and Metzger's study. The multipliers for Agriculture and Manufacturing and Government are much lower in the Alaska study.

These Alaska results must be used in specific SCIMP applications with caution for two reasons. First, the regressions are cross-section. This means that the variability is due partially to differences in the economic structure of the census divisions. The local economies of rural Alaska may be thought of as a system of places where certain

TABLE 3. ALASKA MULTIPLIERS

<u>Basic Industries</u>	Support Sector				<u>Total</u>
	<u>Transportation, Communication, and Utilities</u>	<u>Trade</u>	<u>Finance and Services</u>		
Agriculture, Fisheries, and Manufacturing	.11	.22	*	*	
Mining and Construction	.47	.56	.37	1.40	
Government	.23	.42	.34	.99	

* No significant relation

larger villages serve as regional centers for large areas; these regional centers include Nome, Bethel, Kotzebue, and Barrow. These regional centers may provide services for many census divisions, and their growth may reflect basic sector growth in other areas. Because of this structure, the cross-section equations may overestimate the multipliers. The second reason for caution in applying these multipliers is the seasonality of rural Alaska employment. Census information is taken in the spring when construction and mining employment may be in a seasonal contraction. Support sector employment may reflect the annual average level of employment in the basic industries which may be much higher than in the spring. Because census data may reflect seasonality, this approach may overestimate the multipliers.

The multipliers shown in Tables 1 and 2 provide a guide to the selection of parameters for particular studies. Because of the problems mentioned, these results should be used only when there are limited resources to do regional studies. At a minimum, some analysis of the simple total-to-basic employment ratios in the region should be combined with the above information when selecting a set of multipliers for a region. A further source of information may be the analysis of the combined time-series/cross-section employment data from the Labor Department. Such an analysis should attempt to account for the regional service center structure of rural Alaska economies.

Labor Response Rates

Typically, population growth in an economic base model is a function of the growth in employment. In the SCIMP model, the growth of the local labor supply influences the relation between employment and population growth. The migration response to any OCS project results from efforts to clear the local labor markets; the excess of OCS demand over local labor supplies will be filled by migrants. The local labor supplied to the project is a function of the population of the region and its willingness to work on OCS projects.

The willingness to work on OCS projects is described in the model by a series of labor response rates. These rates are assumed to be different for different groups in the population--employed, unemployed, and not-in-the-labor-force. The level of these rates depends on three important considerations: 1) the willingness of employed workers to change jobs,

2) the increase in labor force participation which results from increased employment opportunities, and 3) the match between skills required and possessed by each population group.

Theories of labor economics offer some insight into the determinants of these rates. First, if we consider that workers are continually searching for better opportunities, they will switch jobs if they can improve their wages or working conditions. We would expect the proportion of employed residents willing to take OCS jobs would be determined by the relative difference in wage rates in the OCS and local economy. Second, we would expect the level of labor force participation to depend on the expected wages in the economy. Expected wages reflect both the probability of having employment and the average wage rates offered. For a given wage, the expected wage will be lower the smaller the proportion of population employed. The inability to find work may result in workers dropping out of the labor force. Workers may be out of the labor force because they know there are no jobs. If this is the case, the population not in the labor force may be an important source of labor supply in rural Alaska.

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Originally, labor force response rates were developed using census data (see Huskey, Serow, Volin, 1979). These rates equalled the probabilities of each group supplying labor to the OCS project; these probabilities equaled the joint probability that a member of the population would want to work on the project and had the skills to work on the project. The first set of probabilities was determined by experience in the census

year (i.e., the proportion of employed population changing jobs). The second set of probabilities was determined by the occupational mix of the population and the mining and construction industries. This section ignores the second set of probabilities and assumes anyone willing to work could take an OCS job. We will concentrate on examining the determinants of the labor response of the employed and not-in-the-labor-force sectors of the population. We will assume that all unemployed would be willing to take jobs.

Two sources of information were examined. Cross-sectional analysis of the 1970 Census provided some insight into the determinants of labor force participation. The second source of information was a survey conducted in the North Slope Borough in 1977. This survey provided information on individuals' labor force and employment histories during a period when the North Slope was witnessing a large increase in employment opportunities.

The North Slope data was used to examine the effects of a change in expected wages on the probability that an employed person would change jobs. A regression was estimated across those members of the population who were employed when the survey was conducted. The dependent variable equaled one if the employee had changed jobs during the year (unless he had been terminated from a previous job) and zero if the current job was the only job during the year. The dependent variables included age and sex descriptions and a variable describing the relative wage. The relative wage equaled the wage on the previous job divided by the worker's expected wage, which is a function of the worker's education, experience, training,

and sex. If the expected wage is greater than the previous job wage, the probability that the worker will change jobs is increased. The results of that analysis provide the following equation for determining the proportion of employed population willing to take OCS jobs:

$$\text{III.1) } P_1 = .065 \left(1 - \frac{W}{W_{OCS}} \right) \quad \text{where } P_1 = \text{the labor response rate.}$$

W = average local wage

$$W_{OCS} = \text{average OCS wage}$$

The North Slope survey data was also used to estimate the response to OCS activity of those not in the labor force. An equation was estimated which explained the months the respondent spent in the labor force. The independent variables included the respondent's expected wage, demographic variables, and variables describing the amount of time the respondent and other household members spent in subsistence activities. The effect of the level of expected wage on labor force participation provides an estimate of the labor response rates of those not in the labor force. P_3 , the response rate of the population not-in-the-labor-force, can be found as:

$$\text{III.2) } P_3 = .012 (W_{OCS} - W) * \frac{\text{Population}}{\text{Not in the Labor Force}}$$

where the first part of the equation describes the increase in the labor force participation rate and the second part adjusts that to apply to the portion of the population not-in-the-labor-force.

The final analysis used 1970 Census information to examine the combined effects of increased employment and wages from OCS on labor response rates. The civilian labor force participation rate for each census division was regressed against the expected earnings defined as the average earnings times the probability of being employed (employment divided by labor force). This measure of expected earnings will increase with both an increase in the earnings of those employed and an increase in employment. This analysis provides the following equation for estimating P_3 :

$$\text{III.3) } P_3 = .00002 * \left[\frac{E_{OCS} * \bar{W}_{OCS} - E_1 * \bar{W}_1}{LF} \right] * \frac{\text{Population}}{\text{Not in the Labor Force}}$$

where E_{OCS} = local employment opportunities with OCS

\bar{W}_{OCS} = average earnings with OCS

E_1 = local employment opportunities without OCS

\bar{W}_1 = average earnings without OCS

LF = labor force

The coefficients in equations III.2 and III.3 differ because of the respective use of wages and yearly earnings in each equation.

This section was intended to provide additional information for estimating labor response rates. This may be more helpful than the previous section on multipliers since information on labor response rates is less accessible in individual census divisions than is information needed to derive multipliers. Caution must be used in applying this analysis directly. First, directly applying these rates assumes there is no skill or occupation

requirement for OCS workers. If this is not the case, the labor response rates should be adjusted to reflect the difference between local skill mix and OCS requirements. Different skill requirements can be reflected in both the labor response rates and the separation of imported and local labor demand. A second caution is that the North Slope situation may not be able to be generalized to other areas of the state.

Conclusion

The analysis in this section is not intended to provide the final word on either multipliers or labor response rates in rural Alaska. This section should also not be assumed to substitute for an analysis of the local economy under study. The analysis in this section was simply meant to provide an insight into the levels of these parameters for use in those studies where the time and resources are not available to do a detailed study of the local economy.

When time and resources are available to conduct a study of the local economy, the results of this section should be used to provide guidance and consistency checks for parameters developed in the study. When a study can be conducted, the historical change in the economy should be examined. The location of the economy on the network of regional centers should also be determined. This, along with possible examination of regions slightly bigger, should provide information to determine the multiplier. Examination of the potential labor force, the occupational structure of the population, and the density of population of the region should be useful in determining the level of potential labor response rates.

IV. BERING-NORTON OCS LEASE SALE APPLICATION

Introduction

The SCIMP model was used to analyze the impact of OCS development on the Nome Census Division. This section describes this analysis. This example is intended to illustrate the model in use and the model's sensitivity to certain required assumptions. No particular research on the Nome Census Division was done; parameter assumptions were based on secondary information.

Base Case Growth of the Nome Census Division

INPUTS

Projections of the growth of the census division require regional-specific assumptions about the basic sector employment growth, local population, labor force participation, and noneconomic migration. The growth of EMX (mining and special projects) and EMA (agriculture, forestry, fishing, and manufacturing) was based on the scenarios developed by the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER) for the Bering-Norton OCS study (Porter, 1980). It was assumed that employment in these industries in Wade Hampton would remain constant at 1976 levels. EMG (state and federal government) was assumed to stay constant at 1976 levels. All of these exogenous inputs are presented in Table 4.

TABLE 4. EXOGENOUS EMPLOYMENT INPUTS

	<u>Mining and Special Projects</u>	<u>Agriculture- Forestry- Fisheries and Manufacturing</u>	<u>State and Federal Government</u>
1980	101	56	480
1981	101	56	480
1982	101	57	480
1983	101	57	480
1984	101	58	480
1985	101	58	480
1986	101	58	480
1987	101	59	480
1988	101	60	480
1989	101	60	480
1990	101	61	480
1991	101	61	480
1992	101	62	480
1993	101	62	480
1994	101	63	480
1995	101	64	480
1996	101	64	480
1997	101	65	480
1998	101	66	480
1999	101	67	480
2000	101	68	480

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Labor and Porter, 1980.

The 1980 population was estimated by extrapolating the 1978 estimated population by the average annual percent change between 1970 and 1978 (see Table 5). The age-sex distribution was estimated using a cohort survival approach. Noneconomic age-sex-race migration rates were based on the migration between 1965 and 1970 (Kerr, 1979).

Labor force participation rates by age, sex, and race were found by adjusting the 1970 census distribution. The non-Native male rates were assumed to be the same as 1970. Female rates were adjusted by the percent change between 1970 and 1977 at the national level. Native males were adjusted to 10 percent below the non-Native males, and Native females were adjusted to 10 percent below Native males. Labor force participation rates were adjusted to reflect the 1978 population and labor force estimates for the Nome Census Division. These rates are presented in Table 6.

Other parameter assumptions were based on statewide information (see Huskey, Serow, Volin, 1979). These parameter values are listed in Appendix A.

Base Case Growth

In the base case, population decreased at about 1 percent annually. Since local government employment is partly a function of population, it also fell (see Table 7). Only minimal expansion of the exogenous sector is assumed. Employment in the support sectors, EMS and EMC, remain relatively constant. Out-migration occurs to bring local labor

TABLE 5. POPULATION DISTRIBUTION
1980

	Non-Native		Native	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
< 5	68	70	370	302
5-9	76	87	400	363
10-14	70	75	419	438
15-19	47	49	334	333
20-24	134	69	198	174
25-29	100	86	170	139
30-34	65	40	154	136
35-39	72	37	147	127
40-44	56	31	132	119
45-49	66	40	130	94
50-54	46	36	92	84
55-59	43	19	98	101
60-64	24	11	58	60
65+	35	16	116	105
	902	666	2,818	2,575
			Total	6,961

SOURCES: 1970 Census and Alaska Department of Labor,
Population Estimates.

TABLE 6. LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATES

	Non-Native		Native	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
< 5	0	0	0	0
5-9	0	0	0	0
10-14	0	0	0	0
15-19	.254	.22	.244	.15
20-24	.90	.20	.80	.60
25-29	.80	.30	.70	.60
30-34	.80	.40	.70	.60
35-39	.80	.40	.73	.63
40-44	.83	.36	.73	.63
45-49	.76	.36	.67	.57
50-54	.76	.35	.67	.57
55-59	.76	.35	.67	.57
60-64	.76	.35	.67	.57
65+	.26	.12	.17	.17

SOURCE: 1970 Census

TABLE 7. BASE CASE GROWTH

<u>Baseline</u>	<u>Total Population</u>	<u>Total Employment</u>	<u>Local Government Employment</u>	<u>Finance, Trade, Services</u>	<u>Local Construction & Transportation</u>	<u>Exogenous Employment</u>
1985	6,109	2,173	305	546	547	639
1990	5,801	2,163	285	550	550	642
1995	5,703	2,157	279	550	550	645
2000	5,683	2,169	278	554	554	649

supply into equilibrium in the relatively constant labor demand. This accounts for the fall in population over the period.

OCS Impact

INPUTS

The most important inputs for assessing the level of OCS impacts are the project labor demands and the assumed enclave proportions. These assumed levels are shown in Table 8. They were based on the Bering-Norton mean scenario (Dames and Moore, 1980). The aggregation between sector and demand components was based on the SEAR (Share of Employment to Alaska Residents) factors (Huskey and Nebesky, 1979) used in previous studies. It is assumed that 50 percent of the migrant employees in each phase locate in an enclave.

OCS Induced Growth

The population impact of OCS development is 4,092 by 1985; this peaks in 1990 at 13,614 and falls to 9,417 by the year 2000. The total employment impact of OCS grows from 2,052 in 1985 to 4,712 by 2000; its peak is in 1990 when total employment impact equals 6,300. Less than half of the total employment impact of this development is direct OCS employees. At the peak impact in 1990, direct OCS employment accounts for 45 percent of the total impact. Of the direct OCS employment, over half is located in enclaves. Local labor employed in all phases of the impact is 485 in 1985 and 446 in 2000. The total local labor supplied to the OCS effort is a function of total labor supply. Given our parameters, total

TABLE 8. OCS OPERATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT EMPLOYMENT

	Operations		Development	
	<u>Local</u>	<u>Import</u>	<u>Local</u>	<u>Import</u>
1983	75	41	47	200
1984	135	136	90	512
1985	156	156	95	636
1986	238	158	105	772
1987	582	250	73	537
1988	534	178	302	1,401
1989	332	84	431	1,294
1990	301	53	710	2,133
1991	463	51	699	1,633
1992	478	25	880	1,636
1993	490	10	992	992
1994	480	10	883	883
1995	479	10	885	885
1996	479	10	915	915
1997	479	10	930	930
1998	479	10	930	930
1999	479	10	930	930
2000	479	10	930	930

SOURCE: OCS Technical Report No. 49

local labor employed stayed relatively constant throughout the period following the initial impact (see Table 9).

The demographic and economic effects of OCS employment are very large. From 1988 through 2000, population and total employment impacts are greater than the baseline levels. All sectors of employment are profoundly affected by OCS activities.

Sensitivity Tests

The results described above are dependent on the assumptions made about the parameters. This section will describe how important these assumptions are. Since in-migration is determined by the interaction of labor supply and labor demand, assumptions determining these will be examined. Six cases were run; three altered the labor supply parameters and the rest altered the demand parameters. Examining these results will provide an idea of the importance to our results of each assumption. Table 10 compares the results for each of six cases in five-year increments. This table shows the effect of changing the assumptions on baseline population, total OCS population and employment impact, total secondary sector migrants, and the employment of local population resulting from OCS development. Sensitivity tests also allow us to test further the logic of the model by examining the effect of parameter changes on the results.

Test No. 1 shows the importance of labor market response parameters on the total local labor employed in OCS activities (TLE). In this test,

TABLE 9. OCS DEVELOPMENT
(difference from base case)

	Total Population Impact (TOCSP)	Total OCS Employment Impact (TOCSE)	Secondary Local Govt. Employment Impact (SEML)	Secondary Finance, Trade, Services Impact (SEMS)	Secondary Local Construction & Transportation Impact (SEMC)
1985	4,092	2,052	111	449	449
1990	13,614	6,300	381	1,361	1,361
1995	8,856	4,521	371	946	946
2000	9,417	4,712	394	984	984

	OCS Direct Employment (DEMP+OEMP)	Total Local Labor Employed (TLE)	Total Enclave Employment (ENCL)
1985	731	485	432
1990	2,843	446	1,531
1995	1,770	449	1,036
2000	1,860	446	1,081

TABLE 10. SENSITIVITY OF SCIMP IMPACT RESULTS
TO PARAMETER ASSUMPTIONS

Brief Test Description	Year	Baseline Population	Total OCS Population Impact	Total OCS Employment Impact	Support Sector Employed In-Migrants	Total Local OCS Employment
Base Case						
	1985	6,109	4,092	2,052	600	485
	1990	5,801	13,614	2,300	2,440	446
	1995	5,703	8,856	4,521	1,735	449
	2000	5,683	9,417	4,712	1,831	446
Test #1: Multiplying all labor market response rates by 2						
	1985	6,109	3,099	2,016	218	916
	1990	5,801	13,112	6,353	2,216	868
	1995	5,703	8,527	4,605	1,611	869
	2000	5,683	9,091	4,797	1,711	863
Test #2: Setting labor market response rates of the employed to zero						
	1985	6,109	3,627	1,970	391	490
	1990	5,801	13,361	6,240	2,290	459
	1995	5,703	8,451	4,428	1,502	449
	2000	5,683	9,011	4,619	1,597	446
Test #3: Dividing the basic/nonbasic multipliers by 2						
	1985	6,109	2,303	1,515	63	485
	1990	5,801	8,502	4,665	804	446
	1995	5,703	5,616	3,315	529	449
	2000	5,683	5,929	3,449	568	446
Test #4: Setting baseline migration to 1.0						
	1985	6,714	3,878	2,044	527	556
	1990	6,720	13,399	6,296	2,346	548
	1995	6,827	8,608	4,519	1,632	575
	2000	6,992	9,135	4,711	1,713	591

TABLE 10. (Continued)

<u>Brief Test Description</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Baseline Population</u>	<u>Total OCS Population Impact</u>	<u>Total OCS Employment Impact</u>	<u>Support Sector Employed In-Migrants</u>	<u>Total Local OCS Employment</u>
Test #5: Setting percentage of enclave employment to 0.0						
	1985	6,109	6,765	2,748	1,193	485
	1990	5,801	22,874	8,866	4,677	446
	1995	5,703	14,461	6,274	3,235	449
	2000	5,683	15,458	6,555	3,408	446
Test #6: Setting enclave employment to equal 100 percent						
	1985	6,109	1,401	1,362	12	485
	1990	5,801	4,319	3,735	226	446
	1995	5,703	3,226	2,767	246	449
	2000	5,683	3,366	2,868	261	446

all labor response rates (coefficients P1 through P8) were doubled. The most notable results are the doubling of total local labor employed.

Test No. 2 demonstrates the effect of employment response of local labor already employed to OCS development and operations. By reducing the labor response rates of this group (P1 and P4) to zero, no significant change takes place to local labor employed. Total secondary employment (SEMM), total OCS employment (TOCSE), and total OCS population (TOCSP) are reduced since it is no longer necessary to replace local employees who have taken OCS jobs.

Test No. 3 considers the basic/nonbasic multiplier relationships. Dividing all the impact multipliers (N11 through N20) by 2 results in a most profound reduction, as would be expected, of the secondary impact of OCS development.

Test No. 4 is a test of the effect of baseline population growth. Baseline migration rates are increased to 1.0. This increases the total population in the baseline. Increased baseline population results in increases in the total local labor supplied to the OCS project. This results in an increase in total local labor employed as a result of OCS development.

Test No. 5 looks at the effect of reducing the proportion of workers living in enclaves from .5 to zero. The secondary employment (SEMM) is increased, as are the total impact variables (TOCSE and TOCSP). This

results since the multipliers for nonenclave employment are larger than the enclave multipliers.

Test No. 6 increases the enclave proportion to 1.0 and results in the opposite effect of test no. 5.

The importance of parameter assumptions to the projection results has been illustrated by these sensitivity tests. The sensitivity tests also provided a final test of the logic of the model. The model responded in a reasonable manner to specific parameter changes. The importance of the parameter assumptions to the results means that in future applications of the model, more effort must be put into determining those assumptions.

APPENDIX A
PROGRAM LISTING FROM SMALL COMMUNITY
IMPACT MODEL

0010C
0020C
0030C SCIMP - SMALL COMMUNITY POPULATION IMPACT MODEL
0040C WRITTEN BY THEODORE P. VOLIN - 1/15/80
0050C
0060C FILE CODES
0070C
0080C 08 (INPUT) UNLOADED EXOGENOUS VALUES, ENDOGENOUS
0090C STARTING VALUES
0100C
0110C "N" RECORD, VARIABLE NAME, COHORT ATTRIBUTES
0120C CC 1-1 "N"
0130C 3-5 VARIABLE NUMBER
0140C 7-12 VARIABLE NAME
0150C 14-15 # AGE COHORTS
0160C 17-18 # SEX COHORTS
0170C 20-21 # RACE COHORTS
0180C
0190C "V" RECORD, VALUES
0200C CC 1-1 "V"
0210C 3-5 VARIABLE NUMBER
0220C 6-8 START YEAR
0230C 9-11 ENDING YEAR
0240C 12-14 STARTING LOCATION
0250C 15-16 NUMBER OF LOCATIONS
0260C 17-24 VAL(1)
0270C 25-32 VAL(2)
0280C 33-40 VAL(3)
0290C 41-48 VAL(4)
0300C 49-56 VAL(5)
0310C 57-64 VAL(6)
0320C 65-72 VAL(7)
0330C
0340C 09 (INPUT/OUTPUT) LOADED HISTORY FILE - RANDOM, UNFORMATED
0350C
0360C 42 (OUTPUT) ERROR MESSAGES
0370C
0380C 06 (OUTPUT) REPORT
0390C
0400C 05 (INPUT) MENU, FREE-FORM
0410C L - LOAD HISTORY FILE.
0420C
0450C
0460C S,SYR,NYR - SIMULATES NYR YEARS STARTING AT SYR
0470C
0480C C,VNAME,VAL,YRS,YRE - TEMP CHANGES VARIABLE VNAME
0490C TO VAL FOR YEARS YRS THRU YRE
0500C
0510C Q (OR CNTL-G) - QUIT RUN
0520C
0530C P,VNAME,SYR,NYR - PRINTS VARIABLE VNAME FOR NYR YEARS
0535C
0540C
0550C
0560C
0570C ----ENDOGENOUS VARIABLES
0580C
0590C REAL BASP(14,2,2)
0600C REAL BASPP
0610C REAL BEMG
0620C REAL BP(14,2,2)
0630C REAL BPOP(14,2,2)
0640C REAL BPOPP
0650C REAL RBPH(1,2,2)

0660 REAL BSPP(14,2,2)
0670 REAL D1
0680 REAL D2
0690 REAL DDM(14,2,2)
0700 REAL DEM(14,2,2)
0710 REAL DEME
0720 REAL DEMG
0730 REAL DEMP
0740 REAL DEMR
0750 REAL DLE
0760 REAL DLS
0770 REAL DPOP(14,2,2)
0780 REAL DPOPP
0790 REAL EMC
0800 REAL EML
0810 REAL EMS
0820 REAL ENCL
0830 REAL LF
840 REAL LS1
850 REAL LS2
0860 REAL LS3
0870 REAL N1(14,2,2)
0880 REAL N2(14,2,2)
0890 REAL NLF
0900 REAL NLF1
0910 REAL NLF2
915 REAL NLF3
0920 REAL NODM(14,2,2)
0930 REAL NOEM(14,2,2)
0940 REAL NOEME
0950 REAL NOEMG
0960 REAL NOEMR
0970 REAL NOENR
0980 REAL NSDM(14,2,2)
0990 REALNSEM(14,2,2)
1000 REALNSEMG
1005 REALNSEMG1
1010 REAL O1
1020 REAL O2
1030 REAL OBTH(1,2,2)
1040 REAL ODM(14,2,2)
1050 REAL ODP(14,2,2)
1060 REAL OEM(14,2,2)
1070 REAL OEME
1080 REAL OEMP
1090 REAL OENR
1100 REAL OEP(14,2,2)
1110 REAL OLE
1120 REAL OPOP(14,2,2)
1130 REAL OPOPP
1140 REAL OSDP(14,2,2)
1150 REAL OSENR
1160 REAL OSEP(14,2,2)
1170 REAL OSFPP
1180 REAL OSFPT
1190 REAL OSDPP
1200 REAL OSL
1210 REAL R1(14,2,2)
1220 REAL R2(14,2,2)
1230 REAL S1
1240 REAL S2
1250 REAL S3
1260 REAL SBTH(1,2,2)
1270 REAL SDM(14,2,2)
1280 REAL SDP(14,2,2)
1290 REAL SEM(14,2,2)
1300 REAL SEMC
1310 REAL SFMI

YEAR 15 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	116.	116.	0.	0.
2	81.	81.	0.	0.
3	75.	75.	0.	0.
4	224.	71.	0.	0.
5	220.	91.	0.	0.
6	291.	97.	0.	0.
7	366.	91.	0.	0.
8	138.	42.	0.	0.
9	122.	31.	0.	0.
10	104.	35.	0.	0.
11	104.	25.	0.	0.
12	64.	18.	0.	0.
13	33.	11.	0.	0.
14	13.	3.	0.	0.

YEAR 15 DPOPP = 2740.

YEAR 15 OPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	47.	47.	0.	0.
2	45.	45.	0.	0.
3	44.	44.	0.	0.
4	50.	42.	0.	0.
5	41.	28.	0.	0.
6	62.	36.	0.	0.
7	87.	48.	0.	0.
8	63.	35.	0.	0.
9	49.	27.	0.	0.
10	32.	18.	0.	0.
11	23.	13.	0.	0.
12	16.	9.	0.	0.
13	10.	6.	0.	0.
14	1.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 15 OPOPP = 967.

YEAR 10 OPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	39.	39.	0.	0.
2	44.	44.	0.	0.
3	41.	41.	0.	0.
4	42.	37.	0.	0.
5	34.	25.	0.	0.
6	49.	30.	0.	0.
7	72.	42.	0.	0.
8	59.	34.	0.	0.
9	38.	22.	0.	0.
10	23.	13.	0.	0.
11	16.	9.	0.	0.
12	11.	7.	0.	0.
13	7.	4.	0.	0.
14	0.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 10 OPOPP = 819.

YEAR 10 SPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	641.	640.	0.	0.
2	305.	305.	0.	0.
3	243.	243.	0.	0.
4	345.	232.	0.	0.
5	320.	148.	0.	0.
6	637.	280.	0.	0.
7	889.	366.	0.	0.
8	475.	155.	0.	0.
9	326.	118.	0.	0.
10	181.	58.	0.	0.
11	141.	49.	0.	0.
12	95.	32.	0.	0.
13	57.	18.	0.	0.
14	11.	9.	0.	0.

YEAR 10 SPOPP = 7317.

YEAR 1 TOTPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	63.	65.	339.	294.
2	69.	79.	361.	326.
3	66.	72.	377.	302.
4	57.	54.	263.	345.
5	166.	69.	237.	211.
6	155.	85.	135.	148.
7	97.	47.	151.	128.
8	76.	36.	143.	120.
9	64.	31.	128.	110.
10	61.	37.	124.	93.
11	47.	35.	93.	81.
12	41.	21.	90.	89.
13	25.	11.	58.	59.
14	38.	18.	121.	113.

YEAR 1 TOTPOP = 6892.

YEAR 5 BASP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	51.	53.	297.	297.
2	46.	54.	252.	250.
3	45.	54.	247.	259.
4	42.	55.	171.	306.
5	125.	63.	259.	293.
6	162.	73.	249.	210.
7	114.	54.	163.	125.
8	71.	36.	128.	95.
9	58.	26.	108.	80.
10	49.	27.	103.	78.
11	42.	28.	87.	71.
12	35.	21.	72.	61.
13	23.	12.	49.	43.
14	47.	23.	132.	135.

YEAR 5 BASP = 6109.

YEAR 5 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	45.	45.	0.	0.
2	31.	31.	0.	0.
3	29.	29.	0.	0.
4	86.	27.	0.	0.
5	84.	35.	0.	0.
6	111.	37.	0.	0.
7	140.	35.	0.	0.
8	53.	16.	0.	0.
9	47.	12.	0.	0.
10	40.	13.	0.	0.
11	40.	10.	0.	0.
12	24.	7.	0.	0.
13	13.	4.	0.	0.
14	5.	1.	0.	0.

YEAR 5 DPOPP = 1048.

YEAR 5 OPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	34.	34.	0.	0.
2	26.	26.	0.	0.
3	23.	23.	0.	0.
4	29.	22.	0.	0.
5	24.	13.	0.	0.
6	45.	23.	0.	0.
7	60.	31.	0.	0.
8	26.	13.	0.	0.
9	18.	9.	0.	0.
10	9.	5.	0.	0.
11	8.	4.	0.	0.
12	5.	3.	0.	0.
13	3.	1.	0.	0.
14	1.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 5 OPOPP = 519.

YEAR 5 SPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	186.	185.	0.	0.
2	83.	83.	0.	0.
3	71.	71.	0.	0.
4	106.	70.	0.	0.
5	88.	45.	0.	0.
6	171.	77.	0.	0.
7	223.	102.	0.	0.
8	82.	43.	0.	0.
9	63.	29.	0.	0.
10	31.	15.	0.	0.
11	28.	13.	0.	0.
12	18.	9.	0.	0.
13	10.	4.	0.	0.
14	3.	2.	0.	0.

YEAR 5 SPOPP = 1909.

YEAR 5 TOTPC

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	520.	523.	297.	297.
2	318.	326.	252.	250.
3	288.	297.	247.	259.
4	379.	282.	171.	306.
5	389.	215.	259.	293.
6	627.	328.	249.	210.
7	712.	370.	163.	125.
8	285.	154.	128.	95.
9	233.	116.	108.	80.
10	150.	78.	103.	78.
11	139.	72.	87.	71.
12	94.	50.	72.	61.
13	55.	27.	49.	43.
14	62.	33.	132.	135.

YEAR 5 TOTPOP = 10201.

YEAR 10 BASP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	49.	50.	297.	310.
2	39.	44.	214.	233.
3	34.	41.	172.	191.
4	36.	47.	106.	226.
5	106.	66.	214.	294.
6	153.	76.	282.	276.
7	122.	57.	205.	169.
8	82.	42.	146.	104.
9	64.	29.	106.	69.
10	46.	24.	90.	61.
11	37.	23.	75.	58.
12	31.	19.	62.	47.
13	21.	12.	41.	32.
14	56.	32.	137.	147.

YEAR 10 BASPD = 5801.

YEAR 10 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	191.	191.	0.	0.
2	134.	134.	0.	0.
3	123.	123.	0.	0.
4	368.	116.	0.	0.
5	362.	150.	0.	0.
6	479.	160.	0.	0.
7	602.	149.	0.	0.
8	227.	68.	0.	0.
9	201.	51.	0.	0.
10	171.	57.	0.	0.
11	171.	41.	0.	0.
12	105.	30.	0.	0.
13	55.	18.	0.	0.
14	22.	5.	0.	0.

YEAR 10 DPGPP = 4506.

YEAR 10 OPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	39.	39.	0.	0.
2	44.	44.	0.	0.
3	41.	41.	0.	0.
4	42.	37.	0.	0.
5	34.	25.	0.	0.
6	49.	30.	0.	0.
7	72.	42.	0.	0.
8	59.	34.	0.	0.
9	38.	22.	0.	0.
10	23.	13.	0.	0.
11	16.	9.	0.	0.
12	11.	7.	0.	0.
13	7.	4.	0.	0.
14	0.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 10 OPOPP = 819.

YEAR 10 SPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	641.	640.	0.	0.
2	305.	305.	0.	0.
3	243.	243.	0.	0.
4	345.	232.	0.	0.
5	320.	148.	0.	0.
6	637.	280.	0.	0.
7	889.	366.	0.	0.
8	475.	155.	0.	0.
9	326.	118.	0.	0.
10	181.	58.	0.	0.
11	141.	49.	0.	0.
12	95.	32.	0.	0.
13	57.	18.	0.	0.
14	11.	9.	0.	0.

YEAR 10 SPOPP = 7317.

YEAR 10 TOTPP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	1244	1245	297	310
2	728	733	214	233
3	631	638	172	191
4	974	603	105	226
5	931	482	214	204
6	1536	731	292	276
7	1961	848	205	169
8	928	371	146	104
9	703	283	106	69
10	454	181	90	61
11	398	150	75	58
12	262	104	62	47
13	150	61	41	32
14	99	55	137	147

YEAR 10 TOTPOP = 19415.

YEAR 15 BASP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	49	50	288	303
2	39	42	206	232
3	32	36	150	175
4	34	41	83	182
5	103	67	172	255
6	149	82	266	284
7	122	62	220	200
8	89	46	171	130
9	73	34	122	79
10	50	27	92	58
11	38	22	70	49
12	30	18	55	39
13	20	12	37	26
14	64	39	136	149

YEAR 15 BASPP = 5703.

YEAR 15 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	116.	116.	0.	0.
2	81.	81.	0.	0.
3	75.	75.	0.	0.
4	224.	71.	0.	0.
5	220.	91.	0.	0.
6	291.	97.	0.	0.
7	366.	91.	0.	0.
8	138.	42.	0.	0.
9	122.	31.	0.	0.
10	104.	35.	0.	0.
11	104.	25.	0.	0.
12	64.	18.	0.	0.
13	33.	11.	0.	0.
14	13.	3.	0.	0.

YEAR 15 DPOPP = 2740.

YEAR 15 OPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	47.	47.	0.	0.
2	45.	45.	0.	0.
3	44.	44.	0.	0.
4	50.	42.	0.	0.
5	41.	28.	0.	0.
6	62.	36.	0.	0.
7	87.	48.	0.	0.
8	63.	35.	0.	0.
9	49.	27.	0.	0.
10	32.	18.	0.	0.
11	23.	13.	0.	0.
12	16.	9.	0.	0.
13	10.	6.	0.	0.
14	1.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 15 OPOPP = 967.

```

1320      REAL SEMM
1330      REAL SEMS
1340      REAL SEP(14,2,2)
1350      REAL SLE
1360      REAL SPOP(14,2,2)
1370      REAL SPOPP
1380      REAL SSDP(14,2,2)
1390      REAL SSDPP
1400      REAL SSEP(14,2,2)
1410      REAL SSEPP
1420      REAL STE
1430      REAL TE
1440      REAL TE1
1450      REAL TE2
1460      REAL TEMC
1470      REAL TEML
1480      REAL TEMS
1490      REAL TEMX
1500      REAL TLE
1510      REAL TOCSE
1520      REAL TOCSP
1530      REAL TOEM
1540      REAL TOTF
1550      REAL TOTPOP
1560      REAL TOTPP(14,2,2)
1570      REAL U
1580      REAL U1
1590      REAL U2
1600      REAL U3
1610      REAL UDM
1620      REAL UM
1630      REAL UMG
1640      REAL UNE
1650      REAL Z1
1660C-----EXOGENOUS VARIABLES
1680C
1690      REAL DDL
1700      REAL DIMPT
1710      REAL EMA
1720      REAL EMG
1730      REAL EMM
1740      REAL EMX
1750      REAL ODL
1760      REAL OIMPT
1770      REAL REV
1780      REAL XREV
1790C-----COEFFICIENTS
1800C
1810C
1820      REAL B1/1.0/
1830      REAL B2/1.0/
1840      REAL B3/1.0/
1850      REAL C1(14,2,2)
1860      &3*.084,.126,2*.084,3*.063,4*.030,.021,
1870      &3*.063,.093,.066,.060,3*.048,4*.021,.015,
1880      &3*.084,.126,2*.084,3*.063,4*.030,.021,
1890      &3*.063,.093,.066,.060,3*.048,4*.021,.015/
1900      REAL C2(14,2,2)
1910      &4*.057,.195,.171,3*.072,5*.024,
1920      &4*.039,.125,.126,3*.048,5*.018,
1930      &4*.057,.195,.171,3*.072,5*.024,
1940      &4*.039,.125,.126,3*.048,5*.018/
1950      REAL C3(14,2,2)
1960      &3*.0,.10,.30,.30,.20,2*.05,47*.0.0/
1970      REAL DE(14,2,2)
1980      &3*.0,.105,.127,.172,.218,.082,.073,2*.062,.038,.020,.008,
1990      &3*.0,.004,.005,.007,.009,.4*.003,.002,.001,.29*.0.0/

```

```

2000   REAL DD(14,2,2)
2010   & .140,.098,.090,.059,.011,.006,.004,.002,4*.001,2*0.0,
2020   & .140,.098,.090,.077,.100,.103,.091,.044,.031,.036,.024,
2030   & .018,.011,.004,28*0.0/
2040   REAL E1/.50/
2050   REAL E2/.50/
2060   REAL F(14)/14*.80/
2070   REAL FR(14,2,2)
2080   &/16*0.0,.0380,.118,.144,.093,.039,.014,.004,21*0.0,
2090   & .045,.165,.227,.159,.088,.050,.015,5*0.0/
2100   REAL G1/1.0/
2110   REAL G2/1.0/
2120   REAL L0/0.0/
2130   REAL L1/.05/
2140   REAL L2/0.0/
2150   REAL LFPR(14,2,2)
2160   &/3*0.0,.23,.83,2*.75,.77,.77,4*.71,.24,
2170   & 3*0.0,.22,.2,.3,.3,.4,.4,.36,.36,.35,.35,.12,
2180   & 3*0.0,.244,.8,.7,.7,.73,.73,4*.67,.17,
2190   & 3*0.0,.15,.7,.6,.6,.63,.63,4*.57,.17/
2200   REAL M10/0.0/
2210   REAL M11/.81/
2220   REAL M12/.81/
2230   REAL M13/.47/
2240   REAL M14/.47/
2250   REAL M20/.81/
2260   REAL M21/.81/
2270   REAL M22/.81/
2280   REAL M23/.47/
2290   REAL M24/.47/
2300   REAL MR(14,2,2)
2310   &/ .906,.914,.908,.823,1.044,1.038,.952,.956,.945,.961,
2320   & .945,.945,.910,.969,
2330   & .922,.935,.926,.975,1.015,1.000,.932,.928,.918,.958,
2340   & .954,.922,.881,.948,
2350   & .906,.914,.908,.823,1.044,1.038,.952,.956,.945,.961,
2360   & .945,.945,.910,.969,
2370   & .922,.935,.926,.975,1.015,1.000,.932,.928,.918,.958,
2380   & .954,.922,.881,.948/
2390   REAL N11/.05/
2400   REAL N12/.05/
2410   REAL N13/.02/
2420   REAL N14/.05/
2430   REAL N15/.47/
2440   REAL N16/.81/
2450   REAL N17/.05/
2460   REAL N18/.47/
2470   REAL N19/.81/
2480   REAL N20/.05/
2490   REAL OD(14,2,2)
2500   &/ .333,.213,.196,.112,.017,.024,.030,.010,.008,.003,.003,
2510   & .002,.001,.001,
2520   & .333,.213,.196,.164,.081,.161,.203,.062,.055,.025,.025,
2530   & .014,.008,.007,28*0.0/
2540   REAL OE(14,2,2)
2550   &/3*0.0,.077,.094,.201,.254,.077,.069,.031,.031,.018,.010,.009,
2560   & 3*0.0,.012,.014,.030,.038,.012,.010,.004,.004,.003,.001,.001,
2565   & 28*0.0/
2570   REAL P1/.031/
2580   REAL P2/.074/
2590   REAL P3/.009/
2600   REAL P4/.029/
2610   REAL P5/.040/
2620   REAL P6/.005/
2630   REAL P7/.50/
2640   REAL P8/.09/
2660   REAL SD(14,2,2)
2661   &/ .333,.213,.196,.112,.017,.024,.030,.010,.008,.003,.003.

```

```

2662   E .002,.001,.001,
2663   E .333,.213,.196,.164,.081,.161,.203,.062,.055,.025,.025,
2664   E .014,.008,.007,28*0.0/
2670   REAL SE(14,2,2)
2671   E/3*0.0,.077,.094,.201,.254,.077,.069,.031,.031,.018,.010,.009,
2672   E 3*0.0,.012,.014,.030,.038,.012,.010,.004,.004,.003,.001,.001,
2673   E 28*0.0/
2680   REAL SR(14,2,2)
2690   E/2*.997,.998,4*.997,.996,.993,.990,.987,.979,.959,.945,
2700   E .997,6*.999,.998,.997,.996,.993,.991,.976,.961,
2710   E .994,.999,.997,.993,.992,.995,.996,.993,.989,.989,.987,
2720   E .974,.952,.940,
2730   E .996,.999,.999,.997,.997,.996,.994,.992,.981,.980,.989,
2740   E .980,.967,.962/
2743   REAL SXR(1,2,2)/.503,.497,.503,.497/
2745   REAL TD(14,2,2)
2750   E/.784,.813,.825,.822,.784,.813,.825,.838,.850,.863,.875,
2751   E .888,.900,0.0,
2752   E .784,.813,.825,.822,.784,.813,.825,.838,.850,.863,.875,
2753   E .888,.900,29*0.0/
2760   REAL TO(14,2,2)
2770   E/.784,.813,.825,.822,.784,.813,.825,.838,.850,.863,.875,
2780   E .888,.900,0.0,
2790   E .784,.813,.825,.822,.784,.813,.825,.838,.850,.863,.875,
2800   E .888,.900,29*0.0/
2810   REAL U0/.085/
2815   REAL UDEP/2.0/
2820   REAL X2(14,2,2)
2830   E/.333,.213,.196,.189,.111,.225,.284,.087,.077,.034,.034,
2840   E .020,.011,.010,
2850   E .333,.213,.196,.176,.095,.191,.241,.074,.065,.029,.029,
2860   E .017,.009,.009,
2870   E 28*0.0/
2880   REAL Y1/1.0/
2890C
2900C-----INPUT/OUTPUT BUFFERS
2910C
2920   REAL BUFF(1800),BUFF2(1800)
2930   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 1),BASP )
2940   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 57),BASPP )
2950   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 58),BEMG )
2960   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 59),BP )
2970   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 115),BPOP )
2980   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 171),BPOPP )
2990   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 172),BBTH )
3000   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 176),BSPP )
3010   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 232),D1 )
3020   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 233),D2 )
3030   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 234),DDM )
3040   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 290),DEM )
3050   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 346),DEME )
3060   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 347),DEMGS )
3070   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 348),DEMP )
3080   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 349),DEMR )
3090   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 350),DLE )
3100   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 351),DLS )
3110   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 352),DPOP )
3120   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 408),DPOPP )
3130   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 409),EMC )
3140   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 410),EML )
3150   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 411),EMS )
3160   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 412),ENCL )
3170   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 413),LF )
3180   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 414),LS1 )
3190   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 415),LS2 )
3200   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 416),LS3 )
3210   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 417),N1 )
3220   EQUIVALENCE(BUFF( 473),N2 )

```

3230 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(529),NLF)
3240 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(530),NLF1)
3250 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(531),NLF2)
3260 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(532),NODM)
3270 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(588),NOEM)
3280 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(644),NOEME)
3290 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(645),NOEMG)
3300 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(646),NOEMR)
3310 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(647),NOENR)
3320 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(648),NSDM)
3330 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(704),NSEM)
3340 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(760),NSEMG)
3350 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(761),O1)
3360 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(762),O2)
3370 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(763),OBTH)
3380 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(767),ODM)
3390 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(823),ODP)
3400 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(879),OEM)
3410 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(925),OEME)
3420 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(936),OEMP)
3430 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(937),OENR)
3440 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(938),OEP)
3450 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(994),OLE)
3460 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(995),OPOP)
3470 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1051),OPOPP)
3480 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1052),OSDP)
3490 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1108),OSENR)
3500 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1109),OSEP)
3510 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1165),OSEPP)
3520 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1166),OSEPT)
3530 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1167),OSDPP)
3540 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1168),OSL)
3550 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1169),R1)
3560 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1225),R2)
3570 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1281),S1)
3580 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1282),S2)
3590 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1283),S3)
3600 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1284),SBTH)
3610 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1288),SDM)
3620 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1344),SDP)
3630 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1400),SEM)
3640 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1456),SEMC)
3650 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1457),SEML)
3660 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1458),SEMM)
3670 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1459),SEMS)
3680 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1460),SEP)
3690 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1516),SLE)
3700 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1517),SPOP)
3710 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1573),SPOPP)
3720 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1574),SSDP)
3730 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1630),SSDPP)
3740 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1631),SSEP)
3750 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1687),SSEPP)
3760 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1688),STE)
3770 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1689),TE)
3780 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1690),TE1)
3790 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1691),TE2)
3800 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1692),TEM)
3810 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1693),TEM)
3820 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1694),TEM)
3830 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1695),TEM)
3840 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1696),TLE)
3850 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1697),TOCSE)
3860 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1698),TOCSP)
3870 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1699),TOEM)
3880 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1700),TOTE)
3890 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1701),TOTPOP)
3900 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1702),TOTPP)

YEAR 20 CPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	46.	46.	0.	0.
2	43.	43.	0.	0.
3	42.	42.	0.	0.
4	48.	40.	0.	0.
5	40.	27.	0.	0.
6	61.	35.	0.	0.
7	85.	46.	0.	0.
8	61.	33.	0.	0.
9	48.	26.	0.	0.
10	33.	18.	0.	0.
11	25.	14.	0.	0.
12	18.	10.	0.	0.
13	12.	7.	0.	0.
14	1.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 20 OPOPP = 952.

YEAR 20 SPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	314.	313.	0.	0.
2	118.	118.	0.	0.
3	81.	82.	0.	0.
4	166.	84.	0.	0.
5	197.	64.	0.	0.
6	403.	116.	0.	0.
7	614.	165.	0.	0.
8	469.	103.	0.	0.
9	382.	80.	0.	0.
10	271.	52.	0.	0.
11	204.	40.	0.	0.
12	145.	28.	0.	0.
13	95.	18.	0.	0.
14	3.	3.	0.	0.

YEAR 20 SPOPP = 4726.

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	817.	817.	273.	287.
2	470.	472.	199.	225.
3	403.	405.	143.	170.
4	645.	387.	76.	165.
5	671.	336.	151.	224.
6	1116.	504.	243.	266.
7	1452.	579.	214.	204.
8	843.	295.	131.	146.
9	704.	233.	138.	94.
10	500.	163.	104.	66.
11	410.	129.	75.	50.
12	279.	91.	55.	35.
13	172.	56.	35.	22.
14	96.	60.	134.	148.

YEAR 20 TOTPOP= 15099.

YEAR 1 BBTH .

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	14.	14.	79.	78.

YEAR 2 BBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	15.	15.	83.	82.

YEAR 20 TOTPP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	817.	817.	273.	287.
2	470.	472.	199.	225.
3	403.	405.	143.	170.
4	645.	387.	76.	165.
5	671.	336.	151.	224.
6	1116.	504.	243.	266.
7	1452.	579.	214.	204.
8	843.	295.	181.	146.
9	704.	233.	138.	94.
10	500.	163.	104.	66.
11	410.	129.	75.	50.
12	279.	91.	55.	35.
13	172.	56.	35.	22.
14	96.	60.	134.	148.

YEAR 20 TOTPOP= 15099.

YEAR 1 BBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	14.	14.	79.	78.

YEAR 2 BBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	15.	15.	83.	82.

YEAR 3 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	14.	14.	85.	84.

YEAR 4 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	14.	14.	87.	86.

YEAR 5 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	14.	14.	89.	88.

YEAR 6 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	14.	13.	90.	89.

YEAR 7 BBTH

YEAR 7 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	14.	13.	91.
			89.

YEAR 8 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	13.	13.	91.
			90.

YEAR 9 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	14.	13.	91.
			90.

YEAR 10 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	13.	13.	90.
			89.

YEAR 11 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	13.	13.	89.	88.

YEAR 12 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	13.	13.	88.	87.

YEAR 13 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	13.	13.	87.	86.

YEAR 14 BBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	13.	13.	86.	85.

```

3910 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1758),U ) )
3920 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1759),U1 ) )
3930 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1760),U2 ) )
3940 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1761),U3 ) )
3950 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1762),UDM ) )
3960 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1763),UM ) )
3970 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1764),UMG ) )
3980 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1765),UNE ) )
3990 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1766),Z1 ) )
3991 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1777),NSEMG1 ) )
3992 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1778),NLF3 ) )
3993C-----EXOGENOUS VARIABLES
3994C
3995 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1767),DDL ) )
3996 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1768),DIMPT ) )
3997 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1769),EMA ) )
3998 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1770),EMG ) )
3999 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1771),EMM ) )
4000 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1772),EMX ) )
4001 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1773),ODL ) )
4002 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1774),OIMPT ) )
4003 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1775),REV ) )
4004 EQUIVALENCE(BUFF(1776),XREV ) )
4005C
4010C-----NAME TABLE
4020C
4030 INTEGER DV(3,119)/357*0/
4040 CHARACTER NAMES*6(119)/119*"NONAME"/
4050 INTEGER TABLE(119)
4060 &1,57,58,59,115,171,172,176,232,233,234,290,346,347,348,
4070 &349,350,351,352,408,409,410,411,412,413,414,415,416,417,
4080 &473,529,530,531,532,588,644,645,646,647,648,704,760,761,
4090 &762,763,767,823,879,935,936,937,938,994,995,1051,1052,
4100 &1108,1109,1165,1166,1167,1168,1169,1225,1281,1282,1283,
4110 &1284,1288,1344,1400,1456,1457,1458,1459,1460,1516,1517,
4120 &1573,1574,1630,1631,1687,1688,1689,1690,1691,1692,1693,
4130 &1694,1695,1696,1697,1698,1699,1700,1701,1702,1758,1759,
4140 &1760,1761,1762,1763,1764,1765,1766,1767,1768,1769,1770,1771,
4145 &1772,1773,1774,1775,1776,1777,1778/
4150C
4160C-----MISCELLANOUS DECLARATIONS
4170C
4180 CHARACTER CMND*1,LINE*12(10),RESP*72,REST*67
4185 CHARACTER VNAME*6
4190 INTEGER A,S,R,AL,SL,RL,AA,SS,RR
4200 INTEGER IA/14/,LS/2/,LR/2/,YEARS/21/,BSIZE/1800/
4210 INTEGER YR,YRS,YRE,NYR,I,J,STLOC,NLOC,VNUMB,ICOM,PIB
4220 REAL XMISS/-12345.E+30/
4230 REAL VAL(7),TEMP
4235 COMMON/BLANK/ICOM,PIB,XMISS
4236 COMMON/BUFFER/BUFF,BUFF2,DV,TABLE,NAMES
4240C
4250C
4260C
4270 CALL RANSIZ(9,1800)
4271 5 READ (8,40,END=6) RESP
4272 DECODE (RESP,50) CMND,VNUMB,REST
4273 IF (CMND.NE."N") GO TO 5
4274 DECODE (REST,60) VNAME,AL,SL,RL
4275 NAMES(VNUMB)=VNAME
4276 DV(1,VNUMB)=AL
4277 DV(2,VNUMB)=SL
4278 DV(3,VNUMB)=RL
4279 GO TO 5
4280 6 REWIND 08
4281 GO TO 125
4282 10 PRINT, "LOADING HISTORY FILE$$$$ PLEASE WAIT"
4290 DO 120 YR=1,YEARS

```

```

4300      DO 20 I=1,BSIZE
4310      20 BUFF(I)=XMISS
4320      30 READ(8,40,END=110) RESP
4330      40 FORMAT(A72)
4340      DECODE(RESP,50) CMND,VNUMB,REST
4350      50 FORMAT(A1,I4,A67)
4360      IF (CMND.EQ."N") GO TO 30
4380      60 FORMAT(1X,A6,3I3)
4400      70 IF (CMND.NE."V") GO TO 100
4450      DECODE(REST,80) YRS,YRE,STLOC,NLOC,VAL
4460      80 FORMAT(3I3,I2,7F8.0)
4465      YRS=YRS+1
4466      YRE=YRE+1
4470      IF (.NOT.((YR.GE.YRS).AND.(YR.LE.YRE))) GO TO 30
4480      DO 90 I=1,NLOC
4490      90 BUFF(TABLE(VNUMB)+STLOC+I-2)=VAL(I)
4495      GO TO 30
4500      100 PRINT,"IGNORED..",RESP
4510      GO TO 30
4520      110 WRITE(9*YR) BUFF
4530      REWIND 08
4540      120 CONTINUE
4550      125 PRINT,"L/S/C/Q/P"
4560      READ(5,130,END=1360) CMND,REST
4570      130 FORMAT(A1,1X,A67)
4580      IF (CMND.EQ."Q") GO TO 1360
4590      IF (CMND.EQ."L") GO TO 10
4600      IF (CMND.NE."S") GO TO 1100
4610      DECODE(REST,140) YRS,NYR
4615      YRS=YRS+1
4620      140 FORMAT()
4630      DO 1090 I=YRS,(NYR+YRS-1)
4640      ICOM=1
4650      PIB=I-1
4660      READ(9*I) BUFF
4670      READ(9*PIB) BUFF2
4680C-----BA5FLINE SECTOR
4700C-----EQUATION 1.1
4710C-----EQUATION 1.1
4720C-----EQUATION 1.1
4730      DO 160 SS=1,LS; S=SS
4740      DO 160 RR=1,LR; R=RR
4750      TEMP=0.0
4760      DO 150 AA=1,LA; A=AA
4770      150 TEMP=TEMP+FR(A,2,R)*GET3("1.1",5,A,2,R,-1)
4780      160 BBTH(1,S,R)=SXR(1,S,R)*TEMP
4790C-----EQUATION 1.2
4800C-----EQUATION 1.2
4810C-----EQUATION 1.2
4820      DO 170 AA=1,LA; A=AA
4830      DO 170 SS=1,LS; S=SS
4840      DO 170 RR=1,LR; R=RR
4850      170 BP(A,S,R)=SR(A,S,R)*GET3("1.2",5,A,S,R,-1)
4860C-----EQUATION 1.3
4870C-----EQUATION 1.3
4880C-----EQUATION 1.3
4890      DO 180 SS=1,LS; S=SS
4900      DO 180 RR=1,LR; R=RR
4910      180 BSPP(1,S,R)=(BBTH(1,S,R)+F(1)*BP(1,S,R))*MR(1,S,R)
4930C-----EQUATION 1.4
4940C-----EQUATION 1.4
4960      DO 190 AA=2,LA-1; A=AA
4970      DO 190 SS=1,LS; S=SS
4980      DO 190 RR=1,LR; R=RR
4990      190 BSPP(A,S,R)=((1.-F(A-1))*BP(A-1,S,R)+F(A)*BP(A,S,R))*MR(A,S,R)
5010C-----EQUATION 1.4

```

8540 660 $\bar{N}ODM(A,S,R) = NOEMR * N2(A,S,R) * OSDPP / OSEPP$
 8560C
 8580C -----EQUATION 3.26
 8590 670 DO 680 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 8600 DO 680 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 8610 DO 680 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 8620 680 OEM(A,S,R)=NOEM(A,S,R)+OSEP(A,S,R)
 8630C
 8640C -----EQUATION 3.27
 8650C
 8660 DO 690 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 8670 DO 690 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 8680 DO 690 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 8690 690 ODM(A,S,R)=NODM(A,S,R)+OSDP(A,S,R)
 8700C
 8710C -----EQUATION 3.28
 8720C
 8730 DO 700 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 8740 DO 700 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 8750 DO 700 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 8760 700 OPOP(A,S,R)=OEM(A,S,R)+ODM(A,S,P)
 8770C
 8780C -----EQUATION 3.29
 8790C
 8800 OPOPP=0.0
 8810 DO 710 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 8820 DO 710 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 8830 DO 710 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 8840 710 OPOPP=OPOPP+OPOP(A,S,R)
 8850C
 8860C -----EQUATION 3.30
 8870C
 8880 TOEM=0.0
 8890 DO 720 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 8900 DO 720 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 8910 DO 720 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 8920 720 TOEM=TOEM+OEM(A,S,R)
 8930C
 8940C -----EQUATION 3.31
 8950C
 8960 OENR=NOENR+OSENTR
 8970C
 8980C -----EQUATION 3.32
 8990C
 9000 IF (01.LE.0.0) GO TO 740
 9010 IF (02.GE.0.0) GO TO 730
 9020 TE2=TE1*(1.-P4*01/LS2)
 9030 GO TO 750
 9040 730 TE2=TE1*(1.-P4)
 9050 GO TO 750
 9060 740 TE2=TE1
 9070C
 9080C -----EQUATION 3.33
 9090C
 9100 750 IF (01.LE.0.0) GO TO 770
 9110 IF (02.GE.0.0) GO TO 760
 9120 U2=U1*(1.-P5*01/LS2)
 9140 GO TO 780
 9150 760 U2=U1*(1.-P5)
 9160 GO TO 780
 9170 770 U2=U1
 9180C
 9190C -----EQUATION 3.34
 9200C
 9210 780 IF (01.LE.0.0) GO TO 800
 9220 IF (02.GE.0.0) GO TO 790
 9230 NLF2=NLF1*(1.-P6*01/LS2)

```

9240      GO TO 810
9250      790 NLF2=NLF1*(1.-P6)
9260      GO TO 810
9270      800 NLF2=NLF1
9280C-----SECONDARY RESPONSE
9300C-----EQUATION 4.1
9320C
9330      810 DO 820 AA=1,LA; A=AA
9340      DO 820 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9350      DO 820 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9360      820 SEP(A,S,R)=SR(A,S,R)*GET3("4.1",71,A,S,R,-1)
9370C-----EQUATION 4.2
9390C
9400      DO 830 AA=1,LA; A=AA
9410      DO 830 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9420      DO 830 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9430      830 SDP(A,S,R)=SR(A,S,R)*GET3("4.2",69,A,S,R,-1)
9440C-----EQUATION 4.3
9460C
9470      DO 850 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9480      DO 850 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9490      TEMP=0.0
9500      DO 840 AA=1,LA; A=AA
9510      840 TEMP=TEMP+FR(A,2,R)*GET3("4.3",69,A,2,R,-1)
9520      850 SBTH(1,S,R)=SXR(1,S,R)*TEMP
9530C-----EQUATION 4.4
9550C
9560      DO 855 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9570      DO 855 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9580      855 SSEP(1,S,R)=F(1)*SEP(1,S,R)*TO(1,S,R)
9590      DO 860 AA=2,LA; A=AA
9600      DO 860 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9610      DO 860 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9620      860 SSEP(A,S,R)=((1.-F(A-1))*SEP(A-1,S,R)+F(A)*SEP(A,S,R))*TO(A,S,R)
9625      DO 865 S=1,LS
9630      DO 865 R=1,LR
9635      865 SSEP(14,S,R)=((1.-F(13))*SEP(13,S,R)+SEP(14,S,R))*TO(14,S,R)
9640C-----EQUATION 4.5
9660C
9670      DO 870 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9680      DO 870 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9690      870 SSDP(1,S,R)=(SBTH(1,S,R)+F(1)*SDP(1,S,R))*TD(1,S,R)
9710C-----EQUATION 4.6
9730C
9740      DO 880 AA=2,A-1; A=AA
9750      DO 880 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9760      DO 880 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9770      880 SSDP(A,S,R)=((1.-F(A-1))*SDP(A-1,S,R)+F(A)*SEP(A,S,R))*TD(A,S,R)
9790C-----EQUATION 4.7
9810C
9820      DO 890 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9830      DO 890 RR=1,LR; R=RR
9840      890 SSDP(14,S,R)=((1.-F(13))*SDP(13,S,R)+SDP(14,S,R))*TD(14,S,R)
9860C-----EQUATION 4.8
9880C
9890      SSEPP=0.0
9900      DO 900 AA=1,LA; A=AA
9910      DO 900 SS=1,LS; S=SS
9920      DO 900 RR=1,LR; R=RR

```

```

9930 900 SSEPP=SSEPP+SSEP(A,S,R)
9940C
9950C-----EQUATION 4.9
9960C
9970      DLS=0.0
9980      DO 910 AA=1,LA; A=AA
9990      DO 910 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00010000      DO 910 RR=1,LR; R=RR
10010 910 DLS=DLS+(ODM(A,S,R)+DDM(A,S,R))*LFPR(A,S,R)
00010030C
00010040C-----EQUATION 4.10
00010050C
10110      OEME=E2*(TOEM+OENR)
00010120C
00010130C-----EQUATION 4.11
00010140C
10150      SEML=N11*(GET("4.11",55,-1)-GET("4.11",49,-1))
00010160      & +N12*(GET("4.11",20,-1)-GFT("4.11",13,-1))
00010170      & +N13*GET("4.11",117,-1)+N14*GET("4.11",79,-1)
00010180C
00010190C-----EQUATION 4.12
00010200C
10210      SEMS=N15*(OEMP-OEME)+N16*(DEMP-DEME)+N17*(OEME+DEME)
00010240C
00010250C-----EQUATION 4.13
00010260C
10270      SEMC=N18*(OEMP-OEME)+N19*(DEMP-DEME)+N20*(OEME+DEME)
00010300C
00010310C-----EQUATION 4.14
00010320C
10330      STE=SEML+SEMS+SEMC+TE-TE2
00010350C
00010360C-----EQUATION 4.15
00010370C
10380      LS3=P7*U2+P8*NLF2
00010390C
00010400C-----EQUATION 4.16
00010410C
10420      S1=STE-LS3
00010430C
00010440C-----EQUATION 4.17
00010450C
10460      S2=S1-SSEPP
00010470C
00010480C-----EQUATION 4.18
00010490C
10500      S3=S2-DLS
00010510C
00010520C-----EQUATION 4.19
00010530C
10540      NSEMG1=S1
00010550      IF (S1.LT.0.0) GO TO 930
10560      NSEMG1=S2
00010570      IF (S2.LT.0.0) GO TO 930
10580      NSEMG1=0.0
00010590      IF (S3.LT.0.0) GO TO 930
10600      NSEMG1=S3
00010601C
00010602C-----EQUATION 4.20
00010603C
00010604 930 NSEMG=NSEMG1
10605      IF ((SSEPP+NSEMG1).LT.0.0) NSEMG=-SSEPP
00010610C
00010620C-----EQUATION 4.21
00010630C
10640      SSDPP=0.0
00010650      DO 940 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00010660      DO 940 SS=1,LS; S=SS

```

```

00010670      DO 940 RR=1,LR; R=RR
10680 940 SSDPP=SSDPP+SSDP(A,S,R)
00010690C
00010700C-----EQUATION 4.22
00010710C
00010720      DO 950 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00010730      DO 950 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00010740      DO 950 RR=1,LR; R=RR
00010750      R1(A,S,R)=0.0
10760 950 IF (SSEPP.NE.0.0) R1(A,S,R)=SSFP(A,S,R)/SSEPP
00010780C
00010790C-----EQUATION 4.23
00010800C
00010810      DO 960 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00010820      DO 960 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00010830      DO 960 RR=1,LR; R=RR
00010840      R2(A,S,R)=0.0
10850 960 IF (SSDPP.NE.0.0) R2(A,S,R)=SSDP(A,S,R)/SSDPP
00010870C
00010880C-----EQUATION 4.24
00010890C
00010900      DO 970 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00010910      DO 970 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00010920      DO 970 RR=1,LR; R=RR
10930      NSEM(A,S,R)=NSEMG*SE(A,S,R)
10940 970 IF (NSEMG.LT.0.0) NSEM(A,S,R)=NSEMG*R1(A,S,R)
00010960C
00010970C-----EQUATION 4.25
00010980C
10990      IF (NSEMG.LT.0.0) GO TO 990
00011000      DO 980 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00011010      DO 980 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00011020      DO 980 RR=1,LR; R=RR
11030 980 NSDM(A,S,R)=NSEMG*SD(A,S,R)
00011040      GO TO 1010
00011050 990 DO 1000 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00011060      DO 1000 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00011070      DO 1000 RR=1,LR; R=RR
11080 1000 NSDM(A,S,R)=NSEMG*R2(A,S,R)*SSDPP/SSEPP
00011100C
00011110C-----EQUATION 4.26
00011120C
00011130 1010 DO 1020 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00011140      DO 1020 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00011150      DO 1020 RR=1,LR; R=RR
11160 1020 SEM(A,S,R)=NSEM(A,S,R)+SSEP(A,S,R)
00011170C
00011180C-----EQUATION 4.27
00011190C
00011200      DO 1030 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00011210      DO 1030 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00011220      DO 1030 RR=1,LR; R=RR
11230 1030 SDM(A,S,R)=NSDM(A,S,R)+SSDP(A,S,R)
00011240C
00011250C-----EQUATION 4.28
00011260C
00011270      DO 1040 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00011280      DO 1040 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00011290      DO 1040 RR=1,LR; R=RR
11300 1040 SPOP(A,S,R)=SEM(A,S,R)+SDM(A,S,R)
00011310      SPOPP=0.0
00011320C
00011330C-----EQUATION 4.29
00011340C
00011350      DO 1050 AA=1,LA; A=AA
00011360      DO 1050 SS=1,LS; S=SS
00011370      DO 1050 RR=1,LR; R=RR
11380 1050 SPOPP=SPOPP+SPOP(A,S,R)

```

00011390C
 00011400C ----- EQUATION 4.29.5
 00011410 SEMM=0.0
 00011420 DO 1060 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 00011430 DO 1060 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 00011440 DO 1060 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 11450 1060 SEMM=SEMM+SEM(A,S,R)
 00011460C
 00011470C ----- UNEMPLOYED MIGRANTS
 00011480C
 00011490C ----- EQUATION 5.1
 00011500C
 11510 DLE=DEMP-DEMG
 00011520C
 00011530C ----- EQUATION 5.2
 00011540C
 11550 OLE=OEMP-(TOEM+OENR)
 00011560C
 00011570C ----- EQUATION 5.3
 00011580C
 11590 SLE=STE-(TE-TE2)
 11600 IF (S1.GE.0.0) SLE=STF-S1-(TE-TE2)
 00011650C
 00011660C ----- EQUATION 5.3.5
 00011670C
 11680 TLE=DLE+OLE+SLE
 00011690C
 00011700C ----- EQUATION 5.4
 00011710C
 11720 U3=U2*(1.-P7*STE/LS3)
 11730 IF (S1.GE.0.0) U3=U2*(1.-P7)
 11731C
 11732C ----- EQUATION 5.4.5
 11733C
 11734 NLF3=NLF2*(1.-P8*STE/LS3)
 11735 IF (S1.GE.0.0) NLF3=NLF3*(1.-P8)
 00011740C
 00011750C ----- EQUATION 5.5
 00011760C
 11770 UMG=Y1*(U0*(LF+BEMG+NLF-NLF3)-U3)
 00011790C
 00011800C ----- EQUATION 5.6
 00011810C
 11820 UDM=UMG*UDEP
 00011830C
 00011840C ----- EQUATION 5.7
 00011850C
 11860 UM=UMG+UDM
 00011870C
 00011880C ----- SUMATION
 00011890C
 00011900C ----- EQUATION 6.1
 00011910C
 11920 TOCSP=OPOPP+DPOPP+SPOPP+UM
 00011940C
 00011950C ----- EQUATION 6.2
 00011960C
 11970 TOTPOP=RASPP+TOCSP
 00011980C
 00011990C ----- EQUATION 6.3
 00012000C
 00012010 DO 1080 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 00012020 DO 1080 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 00012030 DO 1080 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 12040 1080 TOTPP(A,S,R)=OPOP(A,S,R)+DPOP(A,S,R)+SPOP(A,S,R)+BASP(A,S,R)
 12050 & +UM*X2(A,S,R)
 00012070C ----- EQUATION 6.4
 00012080C

```

12090      TEML=EML+SEM
00012100C
00012110C-----EQUATION 6.5
00012120C
12130      TEMS=EMS+SEMS
00012140C
00012150C-----EQUATION 6.6
00012160C
12170      TEMC=EMC+SEMC
00012180C
00012190C-----EQUATION 6.7
00012200C
12210      TEMX=EMX+DEMP+OEMP
00012220C
00012230C-----EQUATION 6.8
00012240C
12250      TOCSE=DEMP+OEMP+STE-(TE-TE2)
00012270C
00012280C-----EQUATION 6.9
00012290C
12300      ENCL=DEME+OEWE
12305      WRITE (9,I) BUFF
00012310 1090 CONTINUE
00012320      GO TO 125
12330 1100 IF (CMND.NE."D") GO TO 1170
00012340      DECODE(REST,140) YRS,NYR
12345      YRS=YRS+1
00012350      DO 1160 I=YRS,(NYR+YRS-1)
12360      READ (9,I) BUFF
12370      WRITE (6,1110) I-1
12380 1110 FORMAT ("1YEAR ",I5,//," LOCATION ",7X,"1",11X,
00012390      & "2",11X,"3",11X,"4",11X,"5",11X,"6",11X,"7",11X,
00012400      & "8",11X,"9",11X,"0")
00012410      DO 1150 J=1,1800,10
00012420      DO 1130 K=1,10
00012430      ENCODE(LINE(K),1120) BUFF(J+K-1)
00012440 1120 FORMAT (F12.2)
00012450      IF (RUFF(J+K-1).LE.XMISS) LNE(K)=" N/A"
00012460 1130 CONTINUE
00012470      WRITE (6,1140) J,(LINE(K),K=1,10)
00012480 1140 FORMAT (" BUFF(",I4,")",10A12)
00012490 1150 CONTINUE
00012500 1160 CONTINUE
00012510      GO TO 125
00012520 1170 IF (CMND.NE."C") GO TO 1220
00012530      VNAME="NOFIND"
00012540      VALL=XMISS
00012550      YRS=-1
00012560      YRE=-1
00012570      DECODE (REST,140) VNAME,VALI,YRS,YRE
12580      DO 1180 I=108,117
00012590      IF (NAMES(I).EQ.VNAME) GO TO 1190
00012600 1180 CONTINUE
00012610      PRINT, VNAME, " NOT FOUND"
00012620      GO TO 125
00012630 1190 VNUMB=I
00012640      IF (.NOT.((VALL.LE.XMISS).OR.(YRS.EQ.-1))) GO TO 1200
00012650      PRINT, "UNABLE TO DECODE"
00012660      GO TO 125
00012670 1200 IF (YRS.EQ.-1) YRE=YRS
00012680      DO 1210 I=YRS+1,YRE+1
00012690      READ (9,I) BUFF
00012700      PRINT, VNAME, " YEAR ",I," CHANGED",BUFF(TABLE(VNUMB))
00012710      PRINT, "CHANGED TO ",VALL
00012720      BUFF(TABLE(VNUMB))=VALL
00012730      WRITE(9,I) BUFF
00012740 1210 CONTINUE
00012750      GO TO 125

```

```

00012760 1220 IF (CMND.NE."P") GO TO 1350
00012770 VNAME="NO FIND"
00012780 YRS=-1
00012790 NYR=1
00012800 DECODE (REST,140) VNAME,YRS,NYR
00012810 DO 1230 I=1,1773
00012820 IF (NAMES(I).EQ.VNAME) GO TO 1240
00012830 1230 CONTINUE
00012840 PRINT, VNAME, " NOT FOUND"
00012850 GO TO 125
12860 1240 VNUMB=I
00012870 IF (.NOT.(YRS.EQ.-1)) GO TO 1250
00012880 PRINT, "UNABLE TO DECODE"
00012890 GO TO 125
00012900 1250 YRS=YRS+1
00012910 DO 1340 I=YRS,(NYR+YRS-1)
00012920 READ (9,I) BUFF
00012930 IF (DV(1,VNUMB).EQ.0) GO TO 1310
00012940 WRITE (6,1260) I-1,NAMES(VNUMB)
00012950 1260 FORMAT (//,"YEAR",I3,1X,A6)
00012960 WRITE (6,1270)
00012970 1270 FORMAT ("0+---+",2(19("-"),"+"),
12980 &/":",5X,"NON-NATIVE",4X,":",7X,"NATIVE",6X,":",
00012990 &/":AGE+",4(9("-"),"+"),
00013000 &/":",2(" MALES : FEMALES :"))
00013010 WRITE (6,1284)
00013020 1284 FORMAT ("+---+",4(9("-"),"+"))
00013030 DO 1290 J=1,DV(1,VNUMB)
13040 1290 WRITE (6,1300) J,
13050 & (BUFF(TABLE(VNUMB)+(J-1)+(K-1)*DV(1,VNUMB)),K=1,4)
13070 1300 FORMAT (":",I2,":",4(F8.0,:))
00013080 WRITE (6,1284)
00013090 GO TO 1330
00013100 1310 WRITE (6,1320) I-1,NAMES(VNUMB),BUFF(TABLE(VNUMB))
13110 1320 FORMAT ("YEAR",I3,1X,A6,"=",F8.0)
00013120 1330 CONTINUE
00013130 1340 CONTINUE
00013140 GO TO 125
00013150 1350 PRINT, "INVALID COMMAND"
00013160 1360 STOP
00013170 END
13180 REAL FUNCTION GET3(LABEL,VARNMB,A,S,R,PERIOD)
13190C
13200C "GET"'S A LAGGED VARIABLE(VARNMB) WHICH HAS AGE (A)
13210C RACE (R), AND SEX (S) COHORTS. PERIOD IS AMOUNT OF LAG,
13220C I.E. 0 = THIS PERIOD, -1 = LAST PERIOD. LABEL IS
13230C A TRACER BACK TO CALLING PROGRAM
13240 REAL XMISS
13250 INTEGER CHKSUM,IND
13260 CHARACTER NAMES*6(119)
13270 REAL BUFF(1800),BUFF2(1800)
13280 INTEGER DV(3,119),TABLE(119)
13290 COMMON/BLANK/ICOM,PIB,XMISS
13300 COMMON/BUFFER/BUFF,BUFF2,DV,TABLE,NAMES
13310 INTEGER A,S,R,AL,SL,RL,VARNMB,PERIOD,INDEX,PIB,ICOM
13320 CHARACTER LABEL*6
13330 AL=DV(1,VARNMB)
13340 SL=DV(2,VARNMB)
13350 RL=DV(3,VARNMB)
13360 CHKSUM=AL*SL*RL
13370 IND=A+AL*(S-1)+AL*SI*(R-1)-1
13380 IF (CHKSUM.NE.0) GO TO 5
13390 PRINT, "CHECKSUM ERROR 1", LABEL, VARNMB
13400 IF (IND.LE.CHKSUM) GO TO 5
13410 PRINT, "CHECKSUM ERROR 2", LABEL, VARNMB,A,S,R
13420 5 INDEX=IND+TABLE(VARNMB)
13430 IF (PERIOD.EQ.0) GO TO 10
13440 IF (PTR.NE.(ICOM+PERIOD)) READ (9:(ICOM+PERIOD)) BUFF?

```

```
I3450      GET3=BUFF2(INDEX)
I3460      GO TO 20
I3470      10 GET3=BUFF(INDEX)
I3480      20 IF (GET3.GT.XMISS) RETURN
I3490      PRINT, LABEL, NAMES(VARNMB),A,S,R,(ICOM+PERIOD)
I3500      PRINT, "TRIED TO USE UNINITIALIZED VARIABLE"
I3510      GET3=0.0
I3520      RETURN
I3530      END
I3540      REAL FUNCTION GET(LABEL, VARNMB, PERIOD)
I3550C     "GET" IS A LAGGED VARIABLE (VARNMB). PERIOD IS AMOUNT
I3560C     OF LAG. I.E. 0 = THIS PERIOD, -1 = LAST PERIOD.
I3570C     LABEL IS A TRACER BACK TO MAIN PROGRAM
I3580C     REAL XMISS
I3590      CHARACTER NAMES*6(119)
I3600      REAL BUFF(1800),BUFF2(1800)
I3620      INTEGER DV(3,119),TABLE(119)
I3630      COMMON/BLANK/ICOM,PIB,XMISS
I3640      COMMON/BUFFER/BUFF,BUFF2,DV,TABLE,NAMES
I3650      INTEGER VARNMB,PERIOD,INDEX,PIR,ICOM
I3660      CHARACTER LABEL*6
I3670      INDEX=TABLE(VARNMB)
I3680      IF (PERIOD.EQ.0) GO TO 10
I3690      IF (PIB.NE.(ICOM+PERIOD)) READ (9*(ICOM+PERIOD)) BUFF2
I3700      GET=BUFF2(INDEX)
I3710      GO TO 20
I3720      10 GET=BUFF(INDEX)
I3730      20 IF (GET.GT.XMISS) RETURN
I3740      PRINT, LABEL, NAMES(VARNMB), (ICOM+PERIOD)
I3750      PRINT, "TRIED TO USE UNINITIALIZED VARIABLE"
I3760      GET = 0.0
I3770      RETURN
I3780      END
```

APPENDIX B
OUTPUT FROM SMALL COMMUNITY IMPACT MODEL

YEAR 1 BASP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	63.	65.	339.	294.
2	69.	79.	351.	328.
3	66.	72.	377.	392.
4	57.	54.	288.	345.
5	166.	69.	237.	211.
6	155.	85.	185.	148.
7	97.	47.	151.	128.
8	76.	36.	143.	120.
9	64.	31.	128.	110.
10	61.	37.	124.	93.
11	47.	35.	93.	81.
12	41.	21.	90.	89.
13	25.	11.	58.	59.
14	38.	18.	121.	113.

YEAR 1 BASPP = 6892.

YEAR 1 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	0.	0.	0.	0.
2	0.	0.	0.	0.
3	0.	0.	0.	0.
4	0.	0.	0.	0.
5	0.	0.	0.	0.
6	0.	0.	0.	0.
7	0.	0.	0.	0.
8	0.	0.	0.	0.
9	0.	0.	0.	0.
10	0.	0.	0.	0.
11	0.	0.	0.	0.
12	0.	0.	0.	0.
13	0.	0.	0.	0.
14	0.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 1 DPOPP = 0.

YEAR 1 OPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	0.	0.	0.	0.
2	0.	0.	0.	0.
3	0.	0.	0.	0.
4	0.	0.	0.	0.
5	0.	0.	0.	0.
6	0.	0.	0.	0.
7	0.	0.	0.	0.
8	0.	0.	0.	0.
9	0.	0.	0.	0.
10	0.	0.	0.	0.
11	0.	0.	0.	0.
12	0.	0.	0.	0.
13	0.	0.	0.	0.
14	0.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 1 OPOPP = 0.

YEAR 1 SPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	0.	0.	0.	0.
2	0.	0.	0.	0.
3	0.	0.	0.	0.
4	0.	0.	0.	0.
5	0.	0.	0.	0.
6	0.	0.	0.	0.
7	0.	0.	0.	0.
8	0.	0.	0.	0.
9	0.	0.	0.	0.
10	0.	0.	0.	0.
11	0.	0.	0.	0.
12	0.	0.	0.	0.
13	0.	0.	0.	0.
14	0.	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 1 SPOPP = 0.

YEAR 15 SPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	256.	255.	0.	0.
2	97.	97.	0.	0.
3	62.	62.	0.	0.
4	131.	62.	0.	0.
5	179.	50.	0.	0.
6	375.	98.	0.	0.
7	602.	144.	0.	0.
8	525.	98.	0.	0.
9	393.	75.	0.	0.
10	254.	45.	0.	0.
11	176.	32.	0.	0.
12	124.	22.	0.	0.
13	81.	14.	0.	0.
14	3.	2.	0.	0.

YEAR 15 SPOPP = 4316.

YEAR 15 TOTPP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	746.	746.	238.	303.
2	440.	443.	206.	232.
3	376.	380.	150.	175.
4	596.	362.	83.	182.
5	636.	316.	172.	255.
6	1065.	472.	266.	284.
7	1414.	544.	220.	200.
8	888.	283.	171.	130.
9	701.	221.	122.	79.
10	469.	149.	92.	53.
11	370.	116.	70.	49.
12	250.	81.	55.	39.
13	154.	50.	37.	26.
14	88.	53.	136.	149.

YEAR 15 TOTPOP= 14559.

YEAR 20 BASP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	52.	52.	273.	237.
2	41.	43.	199.	225.
3	34.	36.	143.	170.
4	36.	39.	76.	165.
5	108.	67.	151.	224.
6	154.	88.	243.	266.
7	125.	68.	214.	204.
8	94.	52.	181.	146.
9	80.	39.	138.	94.
10	57.	31.	104.	66.
11	42.	25.	75.	50.
12	32.	18.	55.	35.
13	21.	12.	35.	22.
14	70.	46.	134.	148.

YEAR 20 BASPP = 5683.

YEAR 20 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	123.	123.	0.	0.
2	86.	86.	0.	0.
3	79.	79.	0.	0.
4	236.	75.	0.	0.
5	232.	96.	0.	0.
6	307.	103.	0.	0.
7	386.	96.	0.	0.
8	146.	44.	0.	0.
9	129.	32.	0.	0.
10	110.	37.	0.	0.
11	110.	26.	0.	0.
12	67.	19.	0.	0.
13	35.	11.	0.	0.
14	14.	4.	0.	0.

YEAR 20 DPOPP = 2888.

YEAR 20 BASP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	52.	52.	273.	287.
2	41.	43.	199.	225.
3	34.	36.	143.	170.
4	36.	39.	76.	165.
5	108.	67.	151.	224.
6	154.	88.	243.	266.
7	125.	68.	214.	204.
8	94.	52.	181.	146.
9	80.	39.	138.	94.
10	57.	31.	104.	66.
11	42.	25.	75.	50.
12	32.	18.	55.	35.
13	21.	12.	35.	22.
14	70.	46.	134.	148.

YEAR 20 BASPP = 5683.

YEAR 20 DPOP

AGE	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	123.	123.	0.	0.
2	86.	86.	0.	0.
3	79.	79.	0.	0.
4	236.	75.	0.	0.
5	232.	96.	0.	0.
6	307.	103.	0.	0.
7	386.	96.	0.	0.
8	146.	44.	0.	0.
9	129.	32.	0.	0.
10	110.	37.	0.	0.
11	110.	26.	0.	0.
12	67.	19.	0.	0.
13	35.	11.	0.	0.
14	14.	4.	0.	0.

YEAR 20 DPOPP = 2888.

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	13.	13.	85.
			84.

YEAR 16 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	13.	13.	84.
			83.

YEAR 17 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	14.	14.	83.
			82.

YEAR 18 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	14.	13.	81.
			80.

YEAR 19 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	14.	14.	80.
			79.

YEAR 20 BBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	14.	14.	79.
			78.

YEAR 1 EML	=	348.
YEAR 2 EML	=	338.
YEAR 3 EML	=	324.
YEAR 4 EML	=	313.
YEAR 5 EML	=	305.
		299.

YEAR	16	EMG
YEAR	17	EMG
YEAR	18	EMG
YEAR	19	EMG
YEAR	20	EMG
YEAR	1	EMA
YEAR	2	EMA
YEAR	3	EMA
YEAR	4	EMA
YEAR	5	EMA
YEAR	6	EMA
YEAR	7	EMA
YEAR	8	EMA
YEAR	9	EMA
YEAR	10	EMA
YEAR	11	EMA
YEAR	12	EMA
YEAR	13	EMA
YEAR	14	EMA
YEAR	15	EMA
YEAR	16	EMA
YEAR	17	EMA
YEAR	18	EMA
YEAR	19	EMA
YEAR	20	EMA
YEAR	1	EMX
YEAR	2	EMX
YEAR	3	EMX
YEAR	4	EMX
YEAR	5	EMX
YEAR	6	EMX
YEAR	7	EMX
YEAR	8	EMX
YEAR	9	EMX
YEAR	10	EMX
YEAR	11	EMX
YEAR	12	EMX
YEAR	13	EMX
YEAR	14	EMX
YEAR	15	EMX
YEAR	16	EMX
YEAR	17	EMX
YEAR	18	EMX
YEAR	19	EMX
YEAR	20	EMX
YEAR	1	EMM
YEAR	2	EMM
YEAR	3	EMM
YEAR	4	EMM
YEAR	5	EMM
YEAR	6	EMM
YEAR	7	EMM
YEAR	8	EMM
YEAR	9	EMM
YEAR	10	EMM
YEAR	11	EMM
YEAR	12	EMM
YEAR	13	EMM
YEAR	14	EMM
YEAR	15	EMM
YEAR	16	EMM
YEAR	17	EMM
YEAR	18	EMM
YEAR	19	EMM
YEAR	20	EMM
YEAR	1	BPOPP
YEAR	2	BPOPP
YEAR	3	BPOPP

YEAR	16	EMG	480
YEAR	17	DU	185
YEAR	18	UU	188
YEAR	19	UU	188
YEAR	20	UU	189
YEAR	1	NLFF	4483
YEAR	2	NLFF	4215
YEAR	3	NLFF	4022
YEAR	4	NLFF	3869
YEAR	5	NLFF	3747
YEAR	6	NLFF	3650
YEAR	7	NLFF	3574
YEAR	8	NLFF	3539
YEAR	9	NLFF	3480
YEAR	10	NLFF	3450
YEAR	11	NLFF	3422
YEAR	12	NLFF	3401
YEAR	13	NLFF	3384
YEAR	14	NLFF	3370
YEAR	15	NLFF	3358
YEAR	16	NLFF	3378
YEAR	17	NLFF	3351
YEAR	18	NLFF	3346
YEAR	19	NLFF	3334
YEAR	20	NLFF	3325
YEAR	1	BEMGG	21
YEAR	2	BEMGG	-15
YEAR	3	BEMGG	-4
YEAR	4	BEMGG	2
YEAR	5	BEMGG	6
YEAR	6	BEMGG	9
YEAR	7	BEMGG	13
YEAR	8	BEMGG	28
YEAR	9	BEMGG	16
YEAR	10	BEMGG	24
YEAR	11	BEMGG	24
YEAR	12	BEMGG	27
YEAR	13	BEMGG	29
YEAR	14	BEMGG	31
YEAR	15	BEMGG	32
YEAR	16	BEMGG	48
YEAR	17	BEMGG	30
YEAR	18	BEMGG	37
YEAR	19	BEMGP	36
YEAR	20	BEMGP	38
YEAR	1	DEMP	0
YEAR	2	DEMP	0
YEAR	3	DEMP	247
YEAR	4	DEMP	602
YEAR	5	DEMP	731
YEAR	6	DEMP	877
YEAR	7	DEMP	610
YEAR	8	DEMP	1703
YEAR	9	DEMP	1725
YEAR	10	DEMP	2843
YEAR	11	DEMP	2332
YEAR	12	DEMP	2516
YEAR	13	DEMP	1984
YEAR	14	DEMP	1766
YEAR	15	DEMP	1770
YEAR	16	DEMP	1830
YEAR	17	DEMP	1860
YEAR	18	DEMP	1860
YEAR	19	DEMP	1860

YEAR	PER	TEMP	PER	TEMP
YEAR	20	TEMP	1860	
YEAR	1	DDL	0	
YEAR	2	DDL	0	
YEAR	3	DDL	47	
YEAR	4	DDL	90	
YEAR	5	DDL	95	
YEAR	6	DDL	105	
YEAR	7	DDL	73	
YEAR	8	DDL	302	
YEAR	9	DDL	431	
YEAR	10	DDL	710	
YEAR	11	DDL	899	
YEAR	12	DDL	880	
YEAR	13	DDL	992	
YEAR	14	DDL	885	
YEAR	15	DDL	915	
YEAR	16	DDL	930	
YEAR	17	DDL	930	
YEAR	18	DDL	930	
YEAR	19	DDL	0	
YEAR	20	DIMPT	0	
YEAR	21	DIMPT	0	
YEAR	22	DIMPT	200	
YEAR	23	DIMPT	512	
YEAR	24	DIMPT	636	
YEAR	25	DIMPT	772	
YEAR	26	DIMPT	537	
YEAR	27	DIMPT	1401	
YEAR	28	DIMPT	1294	
YEAR	29	DIMPT	2133	
YEAR	30	DIMPT	1633	
YEAR	31	DIMPT	1636	
YEAR	32	DIMPT	992	
YEAR	33	DIMPT	883	
YEAR	34	DIMPT	885	
YEAR	35	DIMPT	915	
YEAR	36	DIMPT	930	
YEAR	37	DIMPT	930	
YEAR	38	DIMPT	930	
YEAR	39	DIMPT	0	
YEAR	40	DEM	0	
YEAR	41	DEM	200	
YEAR	42	DEM	512	
YEAR	43	DEM	636	
YEAR	44	DEM	772	
YEAR	45	DEM	537	
YEAR	46	DEM	1594	
YEAR	47	DEM	1617	
YEAR	48	DEM	2735	
YEAR	49	DEM	2224	
YEAR	50	DEM	2409	
YEAR	51	DEM	1877	
YEAR	52	DEM	1659	
YEAR	53	DEM	1663	
YEAR	54	DEM	1723	
YEAR	55	DEM	1753	
YEAR	56	DEM	1753	
YEAR	57	DEM	1753	
YEAR	58	DEM	0	
YEAR	59	DEM	0	
YEAR	60	DEM	100	
YEAR	61	DEM	256	
YEAR	62	DEM	318	
YEAR	63	DEM	386	
YEAR	64	DEM	369	
YEAR	65	DEM	269	

YEAR	16	OEMP	=	489.
YEAR	17	OEMP	=	489.
YEAR	18	OEMP	=	489.
YEAR	19	OEMP	=	489.
YEAR	20	OEMP	=	489.
YEAR	1	ODL	=	0.
YEAR	2	ODL	=	0.
YEAR	3	ODL	=	75.
YEAR	4	ODL	=	135.
YEAR	5	ODL	=	156.
YEAR	6	ODL	=	238.
YEAR	7	ODL	=	582.
YEAR	8	ODL	=	534.
YEAR	9	ODL	=	332.
YEAR	10	ODL	=	301.
YEAR	11	ODL	=	463.
YEAR	12	ODL	=	478.
YEAR	13	ODL	=	490.
YEAR	14	ODL	=	480.
YEAR	15	ODL	=	479.
YEAR	16	ODL	=	479.
YEAR	17	ODL	=	479.
YEAR	18	ODL	=	479.
YEAR	19	ODL	=	479.
YEAR	20	ODL	=	479.
YEAR	1	OINPT	=	0.
YEAR	2	OINPT	=	0.
YEAR	3	OINPT	=	41.
YEAR	4	OINPT	=	136.
YEAR	5	OINPT	=	156.
YEAR	6	OINPT	=	158.
YEAR	7	OINPT	=	250.
YEAR	8	OINPT	=	178.
YEAR	9	OIMPT	=	104.
YEAR	10	OIMPT	=	53.
YEAR	11	OIMPT	=	51.
YEAR	12	OIMPT	=	25.
YEAR	13	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	14	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	15	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	16	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	17	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	18	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	19	OIMPT	=	10.
YEAR	20	OIMPT	=	10.

YEAR 1 OBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE
	MALES	FEMALES
1	0.	0.

YEAR 2 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE
	MALES	FEMALES
1	0.	0.

YEAR 3 OBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE		MALES	FEMALES
1	0.	0.	0.

YEAR 4 OBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE		MALES	FEMALES
1	1.	1.	0.

YEAR 5 OBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE		MALES	FEMALES
1	3.	3.	0.

YEAR 6 OBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE		MALES	FEMALES
1	4.	4.	0.

YEAR 7 OBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE		MALES	FEMALES
1	5.	5.	0.

YEAR 8 OBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE		MALES	FEMALES
1	12.	12.	0.

YEAR 9 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	FEMALES	NATIVE MALES	FEMALES
1	10.	10.	0.	0.

YEAR 10 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	FEMALES	NATIVE MALES	FEMALES
1	8.	8.	0.	0.

YEAR 11 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	FEMALES	NATIVE MALES	FEMALES
1	6.	6.	0.	0.

YEAR 12 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	FEMALES	NATIVE MALES	FEMALES
1	8.	8.	0.	0.

YEAR 13 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	FEMALES	NATIVE MALES	FEMALES
1	8.	8.	0.	0.

YEAR 14 CBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	FEMALES	NATIVE MALES	FEMALES
1	8.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 15 OBTB

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEmaLES	MALES	FEmaLES
1	7.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 16 OBTB

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEmaLES	MALES	FEmaLES
1	7.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 17 OBTB

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEmaLES	MALES	FEmaLES
1	7.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 18 OBTB

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEmaLES	MALES	FEmaLES
1	7.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 19 OBTB

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEmaLES	MALES	FEmaLES
1	7.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 20 OBTB

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE		
AGE	MALES	FEmaLES	MALES	FEmaLES
1	7.	7.	0.	0.

YEAR 1	OSEPT	=	0.
YEAR 2	OSEPT	=	0.
YEAR 3	CSEPT	=	0.
YEAR 4	OSEPT	=	33.
YEAR 5	OSEPT	=	152.
YEAR 6	OSEPT	=	188.

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	NATIVE MALES
YEAR 16	TOER	403.
YEAR 17	TOEM	408.
YEAR 18	TOEM	408.
YEAR 19	TOEM	403.
YEAR 20	TOEM	408.
YEAR 1	OEME	0.
YEAR 2	OEME	0.
YEAR 3	OEME	20.
YEAR 4	OEME	93.
YEAR 5	OEME	114.
YEAR 6	OEME	157.
YEAR 7	OEME	375.
YEAR 8	OEME	315.
YEAR 9	OEME	218.
YEAR 10	OEME	164.
YEAR 11	OEME	217.
YEAR 12	OEME	211.
YEAR 13	OEME	210.
YEAR 14	OEME	205.
YEAR 15	OEME	204.
YEAR 16	OEME	204.
YEAR 17	OEME	204.
YEAR 18	OEME	204.
YEAR 19	OEME	204.
YEAR 20	OEME	204.

YEAR 1 SBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	NATIVE MALES
1	0.	0.

YEAR 2 SBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	NATIVE MALES
1	0.	0.

YEAR 3 SBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	NATIVE MALES
1	0.	0.

YEAR 4 SBTH

AGE	NON-NATIVE MALES	NATIVE MALES
1	0.	0.

YEAR ONE TOEPLITZ 468.

YEAR 5 SBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEmales	MALES
1	12.	12.	0.

YEAR 6 SBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEmales	MALES
1	12.	12.	0.

YEAR 7 SBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEmales	MALES
1	14.	13.	0.

YEAR 8 SBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEmales	MALES
1	7.	7.	0.

YEAR 9 SBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEmales	MALES
1	34.	33.	0.

YEAR 10 SBTH

NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEmales	MALES
1	19.	19.	0.

YEAR 11 SBTH

YEAR 11 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	42.	42.	0.
			0.

YEAR 12 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	25.	25.	0.
			0.

YEAR 13 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	25.	25.	0.
			0.

YEAR 14 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	11.	10.	0.
			0.

YEAR 15 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	8.	8.	0.
			0.

YEAR 16 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE	NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
	MALES	FEMALES	MALES
1	12.	12.	0.
			0.

YEAR 17 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	16.	16.	0.	0.

YEAR 18 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	17.	16.	0.	0.

YEAR 19 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	16.	16.	0.	0.

YEAR 20 SBTH

	NON-NATIVE		NATIVE	
AGE	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
1	16.	16.	0.	0.

YEAR	1	SSEPP	=	0.
YEAR	2	SSEPP	=	0.
YEAR	3	SSEPP	=	0.
YEAR	4	SSEPP	=	0.
YEAR	5	SSEPP	=	305.
YEAR	6	SSEPP	=	492.
YEAR	7	SSEPP	=	659.
YEAR	8	SSEPP	=	618.
YEAR	9	SSEPP	=	1307.
YEAR	10	SSEPP	=	1314.
YEAR	11	SSEPP	=	2003.
YEAR	12	SSEPP	=	1959.
YEAR	13	SSEPP	=	2025.
YEAR	14	SSEPP	=	1708.
YEAR	15	SSEPP	=	1481.
YEAR	16	SSEPP	=	1437.
YEAR	17	SSEPP	=	1472.
YEAR	18	SSEPP	=	1505.
YEAR	19	SSEPP	=	1513.
YEAR	20	SSEPP	=	1515.
YEAR	1	DLS	=	0.
YEAR	2	DLS	=	0.
YEAR	3	DLS	=	26.
YEAR	4	DLS	=	81.
YEAR	5	DLS	=	103.
YEAR	6	DLS	=	133.
YEAR	7	DLS	=	187.

YEAR	MONTH	TOCSS	ENCL	TOCSPD
YEAR 4	UM	577.		
YEAR 5	UM	617.		
YEAR 6	UM	658.		
YEAR 7	UM	643.		
YEAR 8	UM	823.		
YEAR 9	UM	799.		
YEAR 10	UM	972.		
YEAR 11	UM	964.		
YEAR 12	UM	980.		
YEAR 13	UM	898.		
YEAR 14	UM	843.		
YEAR 15	UM	933.		
YEAR 16	UM	844.		
YEAR 17	UM	850.		
YEAR 18	UM	852.		
YEAR 19	UM	851.		
YEAR 20	UM	850.		
YEAR 1	TOCSS	0.		
YEAR 2	TOCSS	0.		
YEAR 3	TOCSS	703.		
YEAR 4	TOCSS	1650.		
YEAR 5	TOCSS	2052.		
YEAR 6	TOCSS	2500.		
YEAR 7	TOCSS	2683.		
YEAR 8	TOCSS	4568.		
YEAR 9	TOCSS	4351.		
YEAR 10	TOCSS	6300.		
YEAR 11	TOCSS	5810.		
YEAR 12	TOCSS	6078.		
YEAR 13	TOCSS	5098.		
YEAR 14	TOCSS	4571.		
YEAR 15	TOCSS	4521.		
YEAR 16	TOCSS	4632.		
YEAR 17	TOCSS	4702.		
YEAR 18	TOCSS	4711.		
YEAR 19	TOCSS	4712.		
YEAR 20	TOCSS	4712.		
YEAR 1	ENCL	0.		
YEAR 2	ENCL	0.		
YEAR 3	ENCL	121.		
YEAR 4	ENCL	349.		
YEAR 5	ENCL	432.		
YEAR 6	ENCL	543.		
YEAR 7	ENCL	643.		
YEAR 8	ENCL	1112.		
YEAR 9	ENCL	1026.		
YEAR 10	ENCL	1531.		
YEAR 11	ENCL	1329.		
YEAR 12	ENCL	1416.		
YEAR 13	ENCL	1148.		
YEAR 14	ENCL	1034.		
YEAR 15	ENCL	1036.		
YEAR 16	ENCL	1065.		
YEAR 17	ENCL	1080.		
YEAR 18	ENCL	1081.		
YEAR 19	ENCL	1081.		
YEAR 20	ENCL	1081.		
YEAR 1	TOCSPD	0.		
YEAR 2	TOCSPD	0.		
YEAR 3	TOCSPD	801.		
YEAR 4	TOCSPD	3155.		
YEAR 5	TOCSPD	4092.		
YEAR 6	TOCSPD	5079.		
YEAR 7	TOCSPD	5302.		
YEAR 8	TOCSPD	9882.		
YEAR 9	TOCSPD	9045.		
YEAR 10	TOCSPD	13614.		
YEAR 11	TOCSPD	12251.		

YEAR	12	TOCSI	12651.
YEAR	13	TOCSI	10171.
YEAR	14	TOCSI	8888.
YEAR	15	TOCSI	8856.
YEAR	16	TOCSI	9191.
YEAR	17	TOCSI	9393.
YEAR	18	TOCSI	9416.
YEAR	19	TOCSI	9417.
YEAR	20	TLEE	9417.
YEAR	21	TLEE	0.
YEAR	22	TLEE	0.
YEAR	23	TLEE	462.
YEAR	24	TLEE	495.
YEAR	25	TLEE	485.
YEAR	26	TLEE	478.
YEAR	27	TLEE	460.
YEAR	28	TLEE	468.
YEAR	29	TLEE	443.
YEAR	30	TLEE	445.
YEAR	31	TLEE	456.
YEAR	32	TLEE	454.
YEAR	33	TLEE	452.
YEAR	34	TLEE	450.
YEAR	35	TLEE	449.
YEAR	36	TLEE	452.
YEAR	37	TLEE	449.
YEAR	38	TLEE	449.
YEAR	39	TLEE	447.
YEAR	40	TLEE	446.
YEAR	41	DLEE	0.
YEAR	42	DLEE	0.
YEAR	43	DLEE	47.
YEAR	44	DLEE	90.
YEAR	45	DLEE	95.
YEAR	46	DLEE	105.
YEAR	47	DLEE	73.
YEAR	48	DLEE	109.
YEAR	49	DLEE	108.
YEAR	50	DLEE	108.
YEAR	51	DLEE	107.
YEAR	52	DLEE	107.
YEAR	53	DLEE	107.
YEAR	54	DLEE	107.
YEAR	55	DLEE	107.
YEAR	56	DLEE	107.
YEAR	57	DLEE	107.
YEAR	58	DLEE	107.
YEAR	59	DLEE	107.
YEAR	60	DLEE	107.
YEAR	61	DLEE	107.
YEAR	62	DLEE	107.
YEAR	63	DLEE	107.
YEAR	64	DLEE	107.
YEAR	65	DLEE	107.
YEAR	66	DLEE	107.
YEAR	67	DLEE	107.
YEAR	68	DLEE	107.
YEAR	69	DLEE	107.
YEAR	70	DLEE	107.
YEAR	71	OLEE	0.
YEAR	72	OLEE	0.
YEAR	73	OLEE	75.
YEAR	74	OLEE	84.
YEAR	75	OLEE	83.
YEAR	76	OLEE	82.
YEAR	77	OLEE	82.
YEAR	78	OLEE	82.
YEAR	79	OLEE	-0.
YEAR	80	OLEE	27.
YEAR	81	OLEE	81.
YEAR	82	OLEE	81.
YEAR	83	OLEE	81.
YEAR	84	OLEE	80.
YEAR	85	OLEE	80.
YEAR	86	OLEE	81.
YEAR	87	OLEE	81.
YEAR	88	OLEE	81.
YEAR	89	OLEE	81.
YEAR	90	OLEE	81.
YEAR	91	OLEE	81.
YEAR	92	OLEE	81.
YEAR	93	OLEE	81.
YEAR	94	OLEE	81.
YEAR	95	OLEE	81.
YEAR	96	OLEE	81.
YEAR	97	OLEE	81.
YEAR	98	OLEE	81.
YEAR	99	OLEE	81.

YEAR	20	SLE	=	81.
YEAR	21	SLE	=	0.
YEAR	22	SLE	=	0.
YEAR	23	SLE	=	340.
YEAR	24	SLE	=	321.
YEAR	25	SLE	=	306.
YEAR	26	SLE	=	291.
YEAR	27	SLE	=	304.
YEAR	28	SLE	=	278.
YEAR	29	SLE	=	335.
YEAR	30	SLE	=	312.
YEAR	31	SLE	=	268.
YEAR	32	SLE	=	266.
YEAR	33	SLE	=	264.
YEAR	34	SLE	=	263.
YEAR	35	SLE	=	262.
YEAR	36	SLE	=	264.
YEAR	37	SLE	=	261.
YEAR	38	SLE	=	261.
YEAR	39	SLE	=	260.
YEAR	40	SLE	=	259.
YEAR	1	TOTPOP	=	6892.
YEAR	2	TOTPOP	=	6613.
YEAR	3	TOTPOP	=	7206.
YEAR	4	TOTPOP	=	9394.
YEAR	5	TOTPOP	=	10201.
YEAR	6	TOTPOP	=	11084.
YEAR	7	TOTPOP	=	11225.
YEAR	8	TOTPOP	=	15778.
YEAR	9	TOTPOP	=	14880.
YEAR	10	TOTPOP	=	19415.
YEAR	11	TOTPOP	=	18022.
YEAR	12	TOTPOP	=	18400.
YEAR	13	TOTPOP	=	15902.
YEAR	14	TOTPOP	=	14604.
YEAR	15	TOTPOP	=	14559.
YEAR	16	TOTPOP	=	14927.
YEAR	17	TOTPOP	=	15104.
YEAR	18	TOTPOP	=	15121.
YEAR	19	TOTPOP	=	15109.
YEAR	20	TOTPOP	=	15099.
YEAR	1	TEML	=	348.
YEAR	2	TEML	=	338.
YEAR	3	TEML	=	324.
YEAR	4	TEML	=	328.
YEAR	5	TEML	=	417.
YEAR	6	TEML	=	451.
YEAR	7	TEML	=	487.
YEAR	8	TEML	=	490.
YEAR	9	TEML	=	685.
YEAR	10	TEML	=	666.
YEAR	11	TEML	=	859.
YEAR	12	TEML	=	800.
YEAR	13	TEML	=	813.
YEAR	14	TEML	=	706.
YEAR	15	TEML	=	650.
YEAR	16	TEML	=	648.
YEAR	17	TEML	=	664.
YEAR	18	TEML	=	672.
YEAR	19	TEML	=	673.
YEAR	20	TEML	=	672.
YEAR	1	TEMS	=	546.
YEAR	2	TEMS	=	546.
YEAR	3	TEMS	=	716.
YEAR	4	TEMS	=	928.
YEAR	5	TEMS	=	995.
YEAR	6	TEMS	=	1084.
YEAR	7	TEMS	=	1070.

YEAR	8	TEMPS	1525.
YEAR	9	TEMPS	1446.
YEAR	10	TEMPS	1911.
YEAR	11	TEMPS	1744.
YEAR	12	TEMPS	1620.
YEAR	13	TEMPS	1590.
YEAR	14	TEMPS	1494.
YEAR	15	TEMPS	1495.
YEAR	16	TEMPS	1525.
YEAR	17	TEMPS	1538.
YEAR	18	TEMPS	1538.
YEAR	19	TEMPS	1538.
YEAR	20	TEMPS	1538.
YEAR	21	TEMCC	547.
YEAR	22	TEMCC	547.
YEAR	23	TEMCC	717.
YEAR	24	TEMCC	928.
YEAR	5	TEMCC	996.
YEAR	6	TEMCC	1084.
YEAR	7	TEMCC	1071.
YEAR	8	TEMCC	1526.
YEAR	9	TEMCC	1447.
YEAR	10	TEMCC	1911.
YEAR	11	TEMCC	1745.
YEAR	12	TEMCC	1821.
YEAR	13	TEMCC	1591.
YEAR	14	TEMCC	1495.
YEAR	15	TEMCC	1496.
YEAR	16	TEMCC	1526.
YEAR	17	TEMCC	1539.
YEAR	18	TEMCC	1539.
YEAR	19	TEMCC	1539.
YEAR	20	TEMCC	1539.
YEAR	21	TEMX	101.
YEAR	22	TEMX	101.
YEAR	23	TEMX	464.
YEAR	24	TEMX	974.
YEAR	25	TEMX	1144.
YEAR	26	TEMX	1374.
YEAR	27	TEMX	1543.
YEAR	28	TEMX	2516.
YEAR	29	TEMX	2262.
YEAR	30	TEMX	3298.
YEAR	31	TEMX	2947.
YEAR	32	TEMX	3120.
YEAR	33	TEMX	2565.
YEAR	34	TEMX	2357.
YEAR	35	TEMX	2360.
YEAR	36	TEMX	2420.
YEAR	37	TEMX	2450.
YEAR	38	TEMX	2450.
YEAR	39	TEMX	2450.
YEAR	40	TEMX	2450.

```

5020C-----EQUATION 1.5
5030C
5040      DO 200 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5050      DO 200 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5060  200 BSPP(14,S,R)=(1.-F(13))*BP(13,S,R)+BP(14,S,R)
5080C
5090C-----EQUATION 1.6
5100C
5110      LF=0.0
5120      DO 210 AA=1,LA; A=AA
5130      DO 210 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5140      DO 210 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5150  210 LF=LF+LFPR(A,S,R)*BSPP(A,S,R)
5160C
5170C-----EQUATION 1.7
5180C
5190      EML=L0+L1*GET("1.7",6,-1)+L2*REV
5200C
5210C-----EQUATION 1.8
5220C
5230      EMS=M10+M11*EMG+M12*EMA+M13*EMX+M14*EMM
5250C
5260C-----EQUATION 1.9
5270C
5280      EMC=M20+M21*EMG+M22*EMA+M23*EMX+M24*EMM
5300C
5310C-----EQUATION 1.10
5320C
5330      TE=EML+EMS+EMC+EMG+EMA+EMX
5350C
5360C-----EQUATION 1.11
5370C
5380      UNE=LF-TE
5390C
5400C-----EQUATION 1.12
5410      IF (UNE.GE.0.0) GO TO 220
5420      BEMG=((TF/(1-U0))-LF)*B2
5440      GO TO 230
5450  220 BEMG=((TF/(1-U0))-LF)*B1
5460C
5470C-----EQUATION 1.13
5480C
5490  230 IF (BEMG.LE.0.0) GO TO 250
5500      DO 240 AA=1,LA; A=AA
5510      DO 240 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5520      DO 240 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5530  240 BPOP(A,S,R)=BSPP(A,S,R)+C2(A,S,R)*BEMG
5550      GO TO 270
5560  250 DO 260 AA=1,LA; A=AA
5570      DO 260 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5580      DO 260 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5590  260 BPOP(A,S,R)=BSPP(A,S,R)+C1(A,S,R)*BEMG
5600C
5610C-----EQUATION 1.14
5620C
5630  270 BPOPP=0.0
5640      DO 280 AA=1,LA; A=AA
5650      DO 280 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5660      DO 280 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5670  280 BPOPP=BPOPP+BPOP(A,S,R)
5680C
5690C-----EQUATION 1.15
5700C
5710      DO 290 AA=1,LA; A=AA
5720      DO 290 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5730      DO 290 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5740  290 BASP(A,S,R)=BPOP(A,S,R)+EMM*C3(A,S,R)
5770C-----EQUATION 1.16

```

```

5780C -----
5790      BASPP=0.0
5800      DO 300 AA=1,LA; A=AA
5810      DO 300 SS=1,LS; S=SS
5820      DO 300 RR=1,LR; R=RR
5830 300 BASPP=BASPP+BASP(A,S,R)
5840C -----
5850C -----EQUATION 1.17
5860C
5870      NLF=BPOPP-(LF+BEMG)
5880C -----
5890C -----EQUATION 1.18
5900C
5910      U=LF+BEMG-TE
5920C -----
5930C -----EQUATION 1.19
5940      TOTE=TE+EMM
5950C
5960C -----DEVELOPMENT SECTOR
5970C
5980C -----EQUATION 2.1
5990      LS1=P1*TF+P2*U+P3*NLF
6000C
6010C -----EQUATION 2.2
6020C
6030      DEMP=DDL+DIMPT
6040C
6050C -----EQUATION 2.3
6060C
6070      D1=DDL-LS1
6080C
6090C -----EQUATION 2.4
6100C
6110      D2=0.0
6120      IF (D1.GT.0.0) D2=D1
6130C
6140C -----EQUATION 2.5
6150C
6160      DEMG=D2+DIMPT
6170C
6180C -----EQUATION 2.6
6190C
6200      DEME=E1*DEMG
6210C
6220C -----EQUATION 2.7
6230C
6240      DEMR=G1*(DEMG-DEME)
6250C
6260C -----EQUATION 2.8
6270C
6280      DO 310 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6290      DO 310 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6300      DO 310 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6310 310 DEM(A,S,R)=(DEMR+DEME)*DE(A,S,R)
6320C
6330C -----EQUATION 2.9
6340C
6350      DO 320 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6360      DO 320 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6370      DO 320 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6380 320 DDM(A,S,R)=DEMR*DD(A,S,R)
6390C
6400C -----EQUATION 2.10
6410C
6420      DO 330 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6430      DO 330 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6440      DO 330 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6450 330 DEOP(A,S,R)=DEM(A,S,R)+DDM(A,S,R).

```

```

6460C      6460C----EQUATION 2.11
6470C-----EQUATION 2.11
6480C
6490      DPOPP=0.0
6500      DO 340 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6510      DO 340 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6520      DO 340 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6530 340 DPOPP=DPOPP+DPOP(A,S,R)
6540C
6550C-----EQUATION 2.12
6560C
6570      IF (D1.GE.0.0) GO TO 350
6580      TE1=TE*(1.-P1*DDL/LS1)
6590      GO TO 360
6600 350 TE1=TE*(1.-P1)
6610C
6620C-----EQUATION 2.13
6630C
6640 360 IF (D1.GE.0.0) GO TO 370
6650      U1=U*(1.-P2*DDL/LS1)
6660      GO TO 380
6670 370 U1=U*(1.-P2)
6680C
6690C-----EQUATION 2.14
6700C
6710 380 IF (D1.GE.0.0) GO TO 390
6720      NLF1=NLF*(1.-P3*DDL/LS1)
6730      GO TO 400
6740 390 NLF1=NLF*(1.-P3)
6750C
6760C-----OPERATION SECTOR
6770C
6780C-----EQUATION 3.1
6790C
6800 400 DO 410 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6810      DO 410 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6820      DO 410 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6830 410 OEP(A,S,R)=SR(A,S,R)*GET3("3.1",48,A,S,R,-1)
6840C
6850C-----EQUATION 3.2
6860C
6870      DO 420 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6880      DO 420 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6890      DO 420 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6900 420 ODP(A,S,R)=SR(A,S,R)*GET3("3.2",46,A,S,R,-1)
6910C
6920C-----EQUATION 3.3
6930C
6940      DO 440 SS=1,LS; S=SS
6950      DO 440 RR=1,LR; R=RR
6960      TEMP=0.0
6970      DO 430 AA=1,LA; A=AA
6980 430 TEMP=TEMP+FR(A,2,R)*GET3("3.3",46,A,2,R,-1)
6990 440 OBTH(1,S,R)=SXR(1,S,R)*TEMP
7000C
7010C-----EQUATION 3.4
7020C
7030      DO 445 SS=1,LS; S=SS
7040      DO 445 RR=1,LR; R=RR
7050 445 OSEP(1,S,R)=F(1)*OEP(1,S,R)*TO(1,S,R)
7060      DO 450 AA=2,LA; A=AA
7070      DO 450 SS=1,LS; S=SS
7080      DO 450 RR=1,LR; R=RR
7090 450 OSEP(A,S,R)=((1.-F(A-1))*OEP(A-1,S,R)+F(A)*OEP(A,S,R))*TO(A,S,R)
7095      DO 455 S=1,LS
7100      DO 455 R=1,LR
7105 455 OSEP(15,S,R)=((1.-F(13))*OEP(13,S,R)+OEP(14,S,R))*TO(14,S,R)
7110C

```

7120C-----EQUATION 3.5
 7130C
 7140 DO 460 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 7150 DO 460 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 7160 460 OSDP(1,S,R)=(OBTH(1,S,R)+F(1)*ODP(1,S,R))*TD(1,S,R)
 7180C
 7190C-----EQUATION 3.6
 7200C
 7210 DO 470 AA=2,LA-1; A=AA
 7220 DO 470 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 7230 DO 470 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 7240 470 OSDP(A,S,R)=((1.-F(A-1))*ODP(A-1,S,R)+F(A)*ODP(A,S,R))*TD(A,S,R)
 7260C
 7270C-----EQUATION 3.7
 7280C
 7290 DO 480 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 7300 DO 480 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 7310 480 OSDP(14,S,R)=((1.-F(13))*ODP(13,S,R)+ODP(14,S,R))*TD(14,S,R)
 7330C
 7340C-----EQUATION 3.8
 7350C
 7360 OSEPP=0.0
 7370 DO 490 AA=1,LA; A=AA
 7380 DO 490 SS=1,LS; S=SS
 7390 DO 490 RR=1,LR; R=RR
 7400 490 OSEPP=OSEPP+OSEP(A,S,R)
 7410C
 7420C-----EQUATION 3.9
 7430C
 7440 Z1=0.0
 7450 IF (GET("3.9",95,-1).GT.0.0) Z1=OSEPP/GET("3.9",95,-1)
 7470C
 7480C-----EQUATION 3.10
 7490C
 7500 OSENTR=Z1*GET("3.10",51,-1)
 7510C
 7520C-----EQUATION 3.11
 7530C
 7540 OSEPT=OSEPP+OSENTR
 7550C
 7560C-----EQUATION 3.12
 7570C
 7580 OEMPT=ODL+OIMPT
 7590C
 7600C-----EQUATION 3.13
 7610C
 7620 LS2=P4*TF1+P5*U1+P6*NLF1
 7640C
 7650C-----EQUATION 3.14
 7660C
 7670 OSL=OSEPT-Z1*GET("3.14",115,-1)
 7680C
 7690C-----EQUATION 3.15
 7700C
 7710 O1=ODL-OSL
 7720C
 7730C-----EQUATION 3.16
 7740 O2=O1-LS2
 7750C
 7760C-----EQUATION 3.17
 7770C
 7780 IF (O1.LT.0.0) GO TO 520
 7790 IF (O2.LT.0.0) GO TO 510
 7800 NOEMG=O2+OIMPT-Z1*GET("3.17",115,-1)
 7820 GO TO 530
 7830 510 NOEMG=OIMPT-Z1*GET("3.17",115,-1)
 7840 GO TO 530
 7850 520 NOFMG=O1+OIMPT-Z1*GET("3.17",115,-1)

```

7870C -----
7880C ----- EQUATION 3.18
7890C
7900 530 NOEME=E2*NOEMG
7910C
7920C ----- EQUATION 3.19
7930 NOEMR=G2*(NOEMG-NOEME)
7940C
7950C ----- EQUATION 3.20
7960C
7970 NOENR=NOEMG-NOEME-NOEMR
7980C
7990C ----- EQUATION 3.21
8000C
8010 DO 540 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8020 DO 540 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8030 DO 540 RR=1,LR; R=RQ
8040 540 N1(A,S,R)=0.0
8050 IF (OSEPP.EQ.0.0) GO TO 560
8060 DO 550 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8070 DO 550 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8080 DO 550 RR=1,LR; R=RR
8090 550 N1(A,S,R)=OSEP(A,S,R)/OSEPP
8100C
8110C ----- EQUATION 3.22
8120C
8130 560 OSDPP=0.0
8140 DO 570 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8150 DO 570 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8160 DO 570 RR=1,LR; R=RR
8170 570 OSDPP=OSDPP+OSDP(A,S,R)
8180C
8190C ----- EQUATION 3.23
8200C
8210 DO 580 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8220 DO 580 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8230 DO 580 RR=1,LR; R=RR
8240 580 N2(A,S,R)=0.0
8250 IF (OSDPP.EQ.0.0) GO TO 595
8260 DO 590 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8270 DO 590 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8280 DO 590 RR=1,LR; R=RR
8290 590 N2(A,S,R)=OSDP(A,S,R)/OSDPP
8300C
8310C ----- EQUATION 3.24
8320C
8330 595 IF (NOEMG.LT.0.0) GO TO 610
8340 DO 600 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8350 DO 600 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8360 DO 600 RR=1,LR; R=RQ
8370 600 NOEM(A,S,R)=(NOEME+NOEMR)*OE(A,S,R)
8380 GO TO 630
8390 610 DO 620 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8400 DO 620 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8410 DO 620 RR=1,LR; R=RR
8420 620 NOEM(A,S,R)=(NOEME+NOEMR)*N1(A,S,R)
8430C
8440C ----- EQUATION 3.25
8450C
8460 630 IF (NOEMG.LT.0.0) GO TO 650
8470 DO 640 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8480 DO 640 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8485 DO 640 RR=1,LR; R=RR
8490 640 NODM(A,S,R)=NOEMR*OD(A,S,R)
8500 GO TO 670
8510 650 DO 660 AA=1,LA; A=AA
8520 DO 660 SS=1,LS; S=SS
8530 DO 660 RR=1,LR; R=RR

```

REFERENCES

- Conopask, J. V. 1978. A Data Pooling Approach to Estimate Employment Multipliers for Small Regional Economies. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Tech. Bulletin No. 1583.
- Dames and Moore. 1980. Bering-Norton Petroleum Development Scenarios. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management. Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office.
- Huskey, L., Serow, W., and Volin, T. 1979. Design of a Population Distribution Model. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office.
- Kerr, J. 1979. Population by Age, Sex, and Race. Prepared for Office of Planning and Research, Division of Community Colleges, Rural Education and Extension, University of Alaska.
- Nebesky, W. and Huskey, L. 1979. Northern Gulf of Alaska Statewide and Regional Population and Economic Systems Impact Analysis. Bureau of Land Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office.
- Porter, E. 1980. Bering-Norton Petroleum Development Scenarios Economic and Demographic Analysis. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office.
- Porter, E. and Huskey, L. 1979. Western Gulf of Alaska Statewide and Regional Impact Analysis. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office.
- Stenehjem, E. and Metzger, J. 1976. A Framework for Projecting Employment and Population Changes Accompanying Energy Development. Argonne National Laboratory.