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Remote Weather Information Systems (RWIS) are an important part of deciding maintenance activities and scheduling. However, the cost of RWIS limits the number of systems that can be deployed. Because of the lack of power and the high power budget of commonly used systems, some locations are not suitable for RWIS even though the information would be of great value. This project focuses on the development of a low-cost, low-power RWIS that is suitable for remote locations and allows for a higher density of RWIS. The system produced under this study uses less than 10 watts of power and costs less than $10,000 for the basic system. The system has performed well in Fairbanks, Alaska, over two winters. In addition, the system has been fully integrated into the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities RWIS network.
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Abstract
Remote Weather Information Systems (RWIS) are an important part of deciding maintenance activities and scheduling. However, the cost of RWIS limits the number of systems that can be deployed. Because of the lack of power and the high power budget of commonly used systems, some locations are not suitable for RWIS even though the information would be of great value. This project focuses on the development of a low-cost, low-power RWIS that is suitable for remote locations and allows for a higher density of RWIS. The system produced under this study uses less than 10 watts of power and costs less than $10,000 for the basic system. The system has performed well in Fairbanks, Alaska, over two winters. In addition, the system has been fully integrated into the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities RWIS network.
Introduction
Remote Weather Information Systems (RWIS) have become an important data source for DOTs to manage their highway networks and for the traveling public to make travel decisions. Systems such as Maintenance Decision Support Systems (MDSS) allow DOTs to plan snow and ice control activities prior to storms. Remote Weather Information Systems and mobile weather data acquisition systems provide data that are in turn used to improve weather prediction algorithms. Like many state DOTs the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF) has successfully implemented MDSS, which has allowed M&O forces to plan for winter storms rather than react to them. Between 10% and 20% savings can be realized by using anti-icing in combination with RWIS data (Goselly, 2001).

Typical RWIS sites use between 25 W and 150 W unless heaters are needed, common in northern tier states, which can raise the power budget considerably (Wies, 2017). Wies noted that a 786 Wh/day is not uncommon for daylight winter conditions. The DOT&PF implemented a program of weather data collection using a mobile weather data collection system provided by WeatherCloud, Inc in order to improve the weather algorithms used in MDSS. The system collects air temperature, pavement temperature, relative humidity, location, vehicle speed, and vehicle direction at a cost of $1,250 per unit installed (includes cost of cell phone and sensors) and $1,250 per year for the licensing fee. The licensing fee includes data collection and forwarding to MDSS and the cellular data fees. WeatherCloud was contacted to determine if these low-cost multiple sensor devices could be integrated into a low-cost, low-power RWIS. The desire was not necessarily to replace existing RWIS but to use the technology to augment existing systems and to inexpensively increase the density of RWIS.

If the initial cost can be decreased, the power requirements reduced, and communications problems resolved, then the density of RWIS can be increased significantly. To address these challenges the Alaska University Transportation Center (AUTC) partnered with WeatherCloud, Inc. to develop a low-cost, low-power RWIS that meets the requirements of DOTs. The design goals were to
- Keep the cost of a basic system with air temperature, roadway temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed under $10,000, including mounting hardware, excluding power systems.
- Reduce the power budget to around 10 watts.
- Address communications in remote areas.
- Use a modular approach that allows a variety of power and communication systems a to allow the addition of future sensors.
- Simplify installation such that the skills required are minimal.
- Simplify maintenance to plug and play.
- Include remote diagnostics for troubleshooting.

System Design
Figure 1 shows a block diagram of the system. The system was designed to use 12 volts so that several power sources including solar, wind, or line power could be used. A battery is used to buffer the system from power outages for several days. If longer power outages are anticipated,
the battery capacity can be increased. This can be very helpful in Alaska, where solar energy may not be available during the months of December and January.

In keeping with the design goals, designers chose to focus on low-cost, low-power sensors; borrowing from the mobile systems. The initial design provided the following sensors:

- **Air temperature:** accurate to 0.1 Celsius
- **Infrared ground temperature measurements:** scan across 60° angle; accurate to 0.1 Celsius at 1 Hz
- **Cup and vane wind speed and direction or acoustic wind speed and direction**
  - Anemometer: operating range -40 to 50 Celsius, max sampling speed is 1 hz
  - Wind speed: range from 0–30 m/sec, resolution 0.01 m/s, accuracy 0.3 m/x or <3%, whichever is larger
  - Wind direction: range from 0–359 degrees
- **Relative humidity:** polymer capacitive sensing, accurate to at least +/- 3% across 10% to 90%
- **Battery output/charge:** volts/percent
- **Solar radiation:** visible light intensity 300 nm to 1100 nm, 0.001 to 1 K uW/cm²
- **Snow depth monitoring:** acoustic sensor

Designers chose to communicate via low power Bluetooth 4.0 between the sensor and the cell phone. This provided an opportunity to wirelessly mount the sensor up to 30 ft distant, which could prove advantageous for measuring pavement temperature and snow depth.

All sensors and the control box could be mounted to a light pole, sign post, or other available pole with minimal hardware. As indicated, sensors could be mounted on poles some distance away from the control box provided power is available for each sensor. Communications for this system uses a cell phone with an app that reads the data and forwards it to the WeatherCloud data system, WeatherMesh. The app is designed such that it can be upgraded remotely, and sensor connection can be refreshed at any time. This reduces the number of visits to the site for repair and provides monitoring of the system.

However, if cell coverage is not available, other communication systems such as VHF or UHF radio systems or satellite communications can be used. It is possible to repeat data through multiple RWIS if desirable.

![Figure 1 System flow diagram](image-url)
Once the data are transmitted to the WeatherCloud database, the data are passed through a set of algorithms to filter out data which are not reasonable such as being out of range. This is done to ensure data quality is maintained. If the data are unreasonable over time, staff are notified so that corrective action can be taken. WeatherCloud maintains the data in their database for future review and analysis.

Data are then fed into the DOT&PF RWIS, MDSS or other systems for public. The department also maintains historical data in its database.

The modular design of the system allows for a range of configurations to meet specific conditions.

Installation

The new RWIS was collocated with existing RWIS sites to allow comparisons with the sensors on proven systems. The first system was located at Cowles and Lathrop Streets in Fairbanks, Alaska. The second was located at Badger Road and Elvira Street near North Pole, Alaska.

It was decided that line power would be used to charge a battery for the first systems. This would simulate the use of solar or wind energy to charge the battery should the system be moved to a location without line power.

Installation took less than 2 hours at each site including setting up communications. The installed system at Cowles Street is shown in the photos in Figure 2, including the sensor and electronic box mounting. Figure 3 shows the sensor-Bluetooth interface cards, which provide the communications between the sensor and the cellphone. The interface cards are prototype cards and are simply mounted with Velcro. These cards are easily adapted to other sensors.

Performance:

The system has been in service over the past 2 years, both at the Cowles site and the Elvira site. During that time there have been two outages. The first occurred after a power outage when the ground-fault tripped. The system detected low battery voltage which triggered a warning. Resetting the ground-fault rectified the problem.

The anemometer failed during the second winter due to a failed Bluetooth card. The card was replaced by a WeatherCloud technician. WeatherCloud decided to have its technician repair the system while in Fairbanks for other work. However, the repair could have been easily carried out by local personnel.

Data from the WeatherCloud RWIS were compared with the DOT&PF RWIS data at the Cowles site, as shown in Figure 4. Unfortunately, data during the coldest months were not available from DOT&PF. However, the WeatherCloud data tracked generally within 2° Celsius of the temperature from the available DOT&PF RWIS. The system worked reliably during the coldest winter temperatures.
Figure 2 Cowles Street installation

Figure 3 Prototype Bluetooth interface cards
Windspeed data were similar to those reported by DOT&PF. It should be noted that the WeatherCloud anemometer was considerably lower than the DOT&PF anemometer. Consequently, there may have been some influence due to traffic on the data reported by WeatherCloud. The anemometer continued to work during the coldest months.

Although not shown, the WeatherCloud relative humidity tended to track slightly lower than the DOT&PF data. Measuring relative humidity at cold temperatures is quite difficult especially with low cost sensors.

There have been no outages at the Badger-Elvira site over the past 2 years.

*Figure 4 Temperature and windspeed data comparison*
Integration into the DOT&PF RWIS

The DOT&PF has a robust RWIS. Consequently, if the WeatherCloud RWIS is to be useful, it must integrate into the DOT&PF RWIS. The DOT&PF assisted in the integration of the WeatherCloud RWIS into their system to test the system. The integration required little effort beyond what was expected with the addition of any new system. A few discussions were required to ensure the data were fully compatible and that data quality was acceptable. In the end, the required changes in data were minor, mostly to obtain unit compatibility and frequency compatibility. Figure 5 shows the Badger-Elvira systems reports from the DOT&PF RWIS site at nearly the same time. The data reported are quite close. The differences are likely due to differences in sensor location and differences in data collection times. Wind direction likely requires some adjustment in the mounting direction of the anemometer. As noted earlier, relative humidity reported by the WeatherCloud system is slightly lower than that reported by the DOT&PF system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date / Time</th>
<th>08/09/2018 12:50 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Temperature</td>
<td>57 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dew Point</td>
<td>40 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity</td>
<td>51 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>Calm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed Maximum</td>
<td>3 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction of Maximum Speed</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 DOT&PF RWIS web interface
Discussion of Phase I RWIS

The Phase I RWIS was a prototype system intended to prove an affordable system could be produced that would perform in the harsh environment of Alaska. The two systems discussed in this report met all the design requirements:

- The basic system can be produced for less than $10,000.
- The system uses just under 10 watts of DC power at 12 volts.
- While cell phones were used for these installations, satellite communications are viable. In addition, the system can implement a repeater network where each installation acts to forward data from another system. The system can also use VHF or UHF radio to forward the data.
- The Bluetooth interface allows additional sensors to be added easily.
- The system shows any sensor failures and monitors the battery voltage.
- Repairs are easily made and can be made by persons with minimal expertise.
- The system easily integrates into Alaska’s RWIS.

When the data were initially extracted, the quality of the data was lacking, requiring considerable manual review of the data. It was found that collecting data too frequently caused buffer overflows which in turn caused incomplete or corrupted data records. By reducing the frequency of data collection and adding software to check the quality of the data, the quality of the data improved dramatically. In consultation with DOT&PF, data collection intervals were established as 15 minutes to be consistent with their RWIS data collection interval.

Overall, the WeatherCloud RWIS met or exceeded the design criteria established by the team. As a result, it was decided to test the system in an even harsher climate using alternate communications and power systems.

Phase II Overview

Based on Phase I results, DOT&PF, the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF), and WeatherCloud decided to test the system further by placing a system on Eagle Summit, about 120 miles north of Fairbanks on the Steese Highway. The plan was to use the satellite Internet at Montana Creek Maintenance Camp and place a cellular-based transmitter/receiver system at the maintenance camp, a repeater at Twelve-Mile Summit, and a transmitter/receiver at Eagle Summit. Using a topographical map of the area, the RF path appeared feasible. However, when the RF path was tested in the spring of 2017, it was found that the path did not exist. The use of satellite communications proved to be the next best alternative. The project budget simply did not allow that alternative to be implemented. In the end, it was decided to put a stand-alone system at Montana Creek Maintenance Station and use the wireless Internet as the communications interface.

The goals of this installation were to:

- Test solar and wind power systems
- Test an alternate communication system
- Test the system in an environment that is somewhat more severe than in Fairbanks
- Prove the system is fully functional off-grid.
The design criteria were the same as those noted in Phase I. However, WeatherCloud was requested to provide a prototype, commercial ready system. The DOT&PF required that the system be integrated into their RWIS. The system provided was like the ones provided for Fairbanks, except the system had been made commercial ready and the system included solar panels and wind generators. The communications system linked into the Wi-Fi at Montana Creek.

The system was installed on April 26, 2018, inside the maintenance compound. Installation included clearing the site of snow by DOT&PF, placing the tripod mounting hardware, mounting the solar panels, wind generator sensors and the control box, connecting wiring, establishing communications, and testing the system. Installation took a little over 4 hours. Figure 6 shows the installation. The system was mounted on a tripod to allow for easy relocation. The DOT&PF plans to move the system to the roadway sometime in the summer of 2019. The system was not placed on the roadway this year due to lack of funding for communications. It is planned that WiFi will be extended in the maintenance buildings to cover the roadway just outside the camp.

Unfortunately, the wind generator/solar panel regulator failed forcing the system to be installed on battery power. The WeatherCloud technician returned the next day with a solar panel regulator which allowed the solar panels to be connected. WeatherCloud later shipped a wind generator regulator to UAF for installation at a convenient time. While not planned, this did allow the collection of baseline data for a solar-powered system in the summer. Figure 6 shows the system voltage between May 1 and July 31, 2018. Note that the voltage never dropped below 12.5 volts, indicating that the panels were providing plenty of power for the system.

![Figure 6 System voltage over the summer months](image)

The lack of data around July 20 was due to the loss of Internet from a satellite outage (the satellite provides Internet to the Maintenance Camp). Otherwise, the system has worked flawlessly.
Figure 8–Figure 13 show the data collected between May 1 and July 31, 2018. As with the first two sites, the data are recorded on 15-minute intervals. A review of the data shows the data are consistent with what is expected at this site.

Figure 7 Montana Creek installation

Figure 8 Barometric pressure
Figure 9 Wind speed

Figure 10 Wind direction
Figure 11 Cumulative precipitation

Figure 12 Air temperature
Figure 13 Relative humidity

As a side note, the roadway is treated with calcium chloride for dust management. Calcium chloride begins to lose its effectiveness below 35% relative humidity. By tracking the humidity as shown in Figure 13, one can predict when the roadway may be dusty.

Future
The systems will continue to be monitored for performance over the next few years. The Alaska DOT&PF plans to move the Phase II system to the roadway adjacent to the maintenance station in the summer of 2019, assuming no issues arise over the winter months. This move makes battery maintenance easy should the solar and wind power be insufficient. The move will also minimize the cost of communications, since Wi-Fi should be easily extended to the proposed site. Low-power radio communications would also be a viable alternative.

Now that the integration of the Phase I systems into the DOT&PF RWIS has been proven, the Phase I systems will also be moved to a location as yet to be determined. It is anticipated the new locations will be on secondary roads near Fairbanks that do not have coverage at this time.

Potential users have requested the addition of a low-cost/low-power camera. While this addition has not been fully integrated, these cameras are available and should be adaptable to the system. The greatest barrier is in cold regions where the camera must be heated to keep the lens clear. However, the size of the camera should keep the heating power demand low.

Fog prediction and detection has been a problem for DOT&PF in many areas. While MDSS predicts fog, the predictions are unreliable due to several factors. Consequently, DOT&PF is asking for low-cost fog detection. Conversations with WeatherCloud have indicated that the cost of the fog sensors is not low enough at this time for them to be widely deployed. WeatherCloud is looking into potential technologies.
Summary and Conclusions

This project has shown that a low-cost, low-power, and reliable RWIS can be made commercially. The anticipated base cost of the system is around $10,000, which includes air temperature, ground temperature, wind speed and direction, relative humidity, snow depth, and solar insolation. This system uses line power and cellular technology. The power demand for this system averages about 10 watts at 12 volts. The system can be powered by wind or solar energy using battery buffers in most climates. In Alaska, the battery capacity may need to increase if wind power is not available during the winter months.

Phase II of this project proved that an off-grid system can be installed for less than $35,000 in Alaska. The system includes wind and solar power, mounting hardware, air temperature, wind speed and direction, relative humidity, Wi-Fi communications, and system voltage monitoring. The system has one spare port that can be used for ground temperature, snow depth, or other sensors. The power budget for this system remains under 10 watts at 12 volts.

While in most cases cellular technologies are the most cost-effective for data transmission, cell coverage is not available along all roadways. In some cases other communication modes must be employed including VHF/UHF radio or satellite communications. The system has been designed to adapt to these communications modes. However, at this point, modes other than cellular have not been employed.

Mounting options for the WeatherCloud RWIS are quite flexible. The site and agency mounting requirements may have a significant impact on the installation costs. Consequently, mounting requires some care when developing the system budget.

Overall, the system is working well. As indicated, there is room for improvement, but it is clear that the system can be commercialized.
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